Proving and Finding Algebraic Dependencies of Combinatorial Sequences

> Manuel Kauers RISC-Linz

Let $f_1(n), f_2(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ be sequences in a field \mathbb{K} .

Let $f_1(n), f_2(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ be sequences in a field \mathbb{K} . Suppose that $p(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is such that $p(f_1(n), f_2(n), \ldots, f_m(n)) = 0 \qquad (n \ge 0).$

Let $f_1(n), f_2(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ be sequences in a field \mathbb{K} . Suppose that $p(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is such that

$$p(f_1(n), f_2(n), \ldots, f_m(n)) = 0$$
 $(n \ge 0).$

Then p is called an *algebraic relation* of the sequences $f_i(n)$.

Let $f_1(n), f_2(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ be sequences in a field \mathbb{K} .

Suppose that $p(x_1,\ldots,x_m)\in\mathbb{K}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ is such that

$$p(f_1(n), f_2(n), \ldots, f_m(n)) = 0$$
 $(n \ge 0).$

Then p is called an *algebraic relation* of the sequences $f_i(n)$. Observations:

Let $f_1(n), f_2(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ be sequences in a field \mathbb{K} .

Suppose that $p(x_1,\ldots,x_m)\in\mathbb{K}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ is such that

$$p(f_1(n), f_2(n), \dots, f_m(n)) = 0$$
 $(n \ge 0).$

Then p is called an *algebraic relation* of the sequences $f_i(n)$. Observations:

 \blacktriangleright If p and q are algebraic relations, then so is p+q

Let $f_1(n), f_2(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ be sequences in a field \mathbb{K} .

Suppose that $p(x_1,\ldots,x_m)\in\mathbb{K}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ is such that

$$p(f_1(n), f_2(n), \ldots, f_m(n)) = 0$$
 $(n \ge 0).$

Then p is called an *algebraic relation* of the sequences $f_i(n)$. Observations:

- \blacktriangleright If p and q are algebraic relations, then so is p+q
- If p is an algebraic relation, then so is $r \cdot p$ for any polynomial r

Let $f_1(n), f_2(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ be sequences in a field \mathbb{K} .

Suppose that $p(x_1,\ldots,x_m)\in\mathbb{K}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ is such that

$$p(f_1(n), f_2(n), \ldots, f_m(n)) = 0$$
 $(n \ge 0).$

Then p is called an *algebraic relation* of the sequences $f_i(n)$. Observations:

- \blacktriangleright If p and q are algebraic relations, then so is p+q
- If p is an algebraic relation, then so is $r \cdot p$ for any polynomial r
- If p^n is an algebraic relation, then so is p

Let $f_1(n), f_2(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ be sequences in a field \mathbb{K} .

Suppose that $p(x_1,\ldots,x_m)\in\mathbb{K}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ is such that

$$p(f_1(n), f_2(n), \ldots, f_m(n)) = 0$$
 $(n \ge 0).$

Then p is called an *algebraic relation* of the sequences $f_i(n)$. Observations:

- \blacktriangleright If p and q are algebraic relations, then so is p+q
- If p is an algebraic relation, then so is $r \cdot p$ for any polynomial r
- If p^n is an algebraic relation, then so is p

Consequence: The set of all algebraic relations forms a radical ideal.

Let $f_1(n), f_2(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ be sequences in a field \mathbb{K} .

Let $f_1(n), f_2(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ be sequences in a field \mathbb{K} .

Consider the ring homomorphism defined via

$$\phi \colon \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_m] \to \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}},$$

Let $f_1(n), f_2(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ be sequences in a field \mathbb{K} .

Consider the ring homomorphism defined via

$$\phi \colon \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_m] \to \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}}, \\ c \mapsto (c)_{n \ge 0} \quad (c \in \mathbb{K}),$$

Let $f_1(n), f_2(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ be sequences in a field \mathbb{K} .

Consider the ring homomorphism defined via

$$\begin{split} \phi \colon \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_m] &\to \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}}, \\ c &\mapsto (c)_{n \ge 0} \quad (c \in \mathbb{K}), \\ x_i &\mapsto (f_i(n))_{n \ge 0} \quad (i = 1, \dots, m). \end{split}$$

Let $f_1(n), f_2(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ be sequences in a field \mathbb{K} .

Consider the ring homomorphism defined via

$$\phi \colon \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_m] \to \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}},$$

$$c \mapsto (c)_{n \ge 0} \quad (c \in \mathbb{K}),$$

$$x_i \mapsto (f_i(n))_{n \ge 0} \quad (i = 1, \dots, m).$$

The ideal of algebraic relations among $f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ is precisely the kernel of this map, ker ϕ .

Let $f_1(n), f_2(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ be sequences in a field \mathbb{K} .

Let $f_1(n), f_2(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ be sequences in a field \mathbb{K} .

Consider the set of points

$$P := \{ (f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)) : n \in \mathbb{N} \} \subseteq \mathbb{K}^m.$$

Let $f_1(n), f_2(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ be sequences in a field \mathbb{K} .

Consider the set of points

$$P := \{ (f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)) : n \in \mathbb{N} \} \subseteq \mathbb{K}^m.$$

The ideal of algebraic relations among $f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ is precisely the vanishing ideal of this set, I(P).

Let $f_1(n), f_2(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ be sequences in a field \mathbb{K} .

Consider the set of points

$$P := \{ (f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)) : n \in \mathbb{N} \} \subseteq \mathbb{K}^m.$$

The ideal of algebraic relations among $f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ is precisely the vanishing ideal of this set, I(P).

Summary: $\{p \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n] : p(f_1, \dots, f_m) \equiv 0\} = \ker \phi = I(P).$

Let F_n denote the *n*th Fibonacci number $(n \in \mathbb{Z})$.

Let F_n denote the *n*th Fibonacci number $(n \in \mathbb{Z})$.

Exercise 6.81: (Graham/Knuth/Patashnik) Let P(x, y) be a polynomial in x and y with integer coefficients. Find a necessary and sufficient condition that $P(F_{n+1}, F_n) = 0$ for all $n \ge 0$.

Let F_n denote the *n*th Fibonacci number $(n \in \mathbb{Z})$.

Exercise 6.81: (Graham/Knuth/Patashnik) Let P(x, y) be a polynomial in x and y with integer coefficients. Find a necessary and sufficient condition that $P(F_{n+1}, F_n) = 0$ for all $n \ge 0$.

In other words: Find the ideal $\mathfrak{a} \leq \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ of algebraic relations among $(F_n)_{n\geq 0}$ and $(F_{n+1})_{n\geq 0}$.

Let F_n denote the *n*th Fibonacci number $(n \in \mathbb{Z})$.

Exercise 6.81: (Graham/Knuth/Patashnik) Let P(x, y) be a polynomial in x and y with integer coefficients. Find a necessary and sufficient condition that $P(F_{n+1}, F_n) = 0$ for all $n \ge 0$.

In other words: Find the ideal $\mathfrak{a} \leq \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ of algebraic relations among $(F_n)_{n\geq 0}$ and $(F_{n+1})_{n\geq 0}$.

Answer:
$$\mathfrak{a} = \langle (x^2 - xy - y^2 - 1)(x^2 - xy - y^2 + 1) \rangle$$
.

Answer:
$$a = \langle (x^2 - xy - y^2 - 1)(x^2 - xy - y^2 + 1) \rangle$$
.



Answer:
$$a = \langle (x^2 - xy - y^2 - 1)(x^2 - xy - y^2 + 1) \rangle$$
.



This is $V(\mathfrak{a})$.

It consists of two irreducible components.

Answer:
$$a = \langle (x^2 - xy - y^2 - 1)(x^2 - xy - y^2 + 1) \rangle$$
.



This is $V(\mathfrak{a})$.

It consists of two irreducible components.

Each component carries "half" of the points (F_{n+1}, F_n)

Answer:
$$\mathfrak{a} = \langle (x^2 - xy - y^2 - 1)(x^2 - xy - y^2 + 1) \rangle$$
.
This is $V(\mathfrak{a})$.

It consists of two irreducible components.

Each component carries "half" of the points (F_{n+1}, F_n)

Based on the geometric interpretation, it is straightforward to prove that ${\mathfrak a}$ is really the ideal claimed above.



Because they can be used for doing summation!

Let $u, v, p, q \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ and $f_1(k), \dots, f_m(k) \in \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{u(f_1(k), \dots, f_m(k))}{v(f_1(k), \dots, f_m(k))} = \frac{p(f_1(n), \dots, f_m(n))}{q(f_1(n), \dots, f_m(n))}.$$

Let $u, v, p, q \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ and $f_1(k), \dots, f_m(k) \in \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{u(f_1(k), \dots, f_m(k))}{v(f_1(k), \dots, f_m(k))} = \frac{p(f_1(n), \dots, f_m(n))}{q(f_1(n), \dots, f_m(n))}.$$

Then

$$qig(f_1(n),\ldots,f_m(n)ig)S(n)-pig(f_1(n),\ldots,f_m(n)ig)=0$$

is an algebraic relation between $f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ and the sum S(n).

Let $u, v, p, q \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ and $f_1(k), \dots, f_m(k) \in \mathbb{K}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{u(f_1(k), \dots, f_m(k))}{v(f_1(k), \dots, f_m(k))} = \frac{p(f_1(n), \dots, f_m(n))}{q(f_1(n), \dots, f_m(n))}.$$

Then

$$qig(f_1(n),\ldots,f_m(n)ig)S(n)-pig(f_1(n),\ldots,f_m(n)ig)=0$$

is an algebraic relation between $f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ and the sum S(n).

Consequence: If we can prove [discover] algebraic relations for a certain class of sequences, then we can prove [discover] summation identities for that class.

Program for today

Program for today

Proving Algebraic Relations automatically

Program for today

- Proving Algebraic Relations automatically
- Discovering Algebraic Relations automatically

1. Proving Algebraic Relations


INPUT:

• Sequences $f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ over \mathbb{K} .

INPUT:

- Sequences $f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ over \mathbb{K} .
- A multivariate polynomial $p \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$

INPUT:

- Sequences $f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ over \mathbb{K} .
- A multivariate polynomial $p \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$

OUTPUT:

INPUT:

- Sequences $f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ over \mathbb{K} .
- A multivariate polynomial $p \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ OUTPUT:

• "True" if
$$p(f_1(n), \dots, f_m(n)) = 0 \ (n \ge 0)$$
,

INPUT:

- Sequences $f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ over \mathbb{K} .
- A multivariate polynomial $p \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ OUTPUT:
 - "True" if $p(f_1(n), ..., f_m(n)) = 0 \ (n \ge 0)$,
 - "False" otherwise.

INPUT:

- Sequences $f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ over \mathbb{K} .
- A multivariate polynomial $p \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ OUTPUT:

• "True" if
$$p(f_1(n), \dots, f_m(n)) = 0 \; (n \ge 0)$$
,

"False" otherwise.

In other words: If a is the ideal of algebraic relations of $f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$, we wish to decide

$$p \stackrel{?}{\in} \mathfrak{a}.$$

INPUT:

- Sequences $f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ over \mathbb{K} .
- A multivariate polynomial $p \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ OUTPUT:

• "True" if
$$p(f_1(n), \dots, f_m(n)) = 0 \ (n \ge 0)$$
,

"False" otherwise.

In other words: If a is the ideal of algebraic relations of $f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$, we wish to decide

$$p \stackrel{?}{\in} \mathfrak{a}.$$

(Trivial Gröbner basis computation if we knew \mathfrak{a} . But in general, we don't.)

What do we mean by "Given sequences $f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ "?

What do we mean by "Given sequences $f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ "?

We assume that the sequences are defined by a system of difference equations of the form

$$f_1(n+1) = r_1(f_1(n), \dots, f_1(n-r), \dots, f_m(n), \dots, f_m(n-r)),$$

$$f_2(n+1) = r_2(f_1(n), \dots, f_1(n-r), \dots, f_m(n), \dots, f_m(n-r)),$$

$$\vdots$$

$$f_m(n+1) = r_m(f_1(n), \dots, f_1(n-r), \dots, f_m(n), \dots, f_m(n-r)),$$

where r_1, \ldots, r_m are fixed explicit rational functions.

What do we mean by "Given sequences $f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ "?

We assume that the sequences are defined by a system of difference equations of the form

$$f_1(n+1) = r_1(f_1(n), \dots, f_1(n-r), \dots, f_m(n), \dots, f_m(n-r)),$$

$$f_2(n+1) = r_2(f_1(n), \dots, f_1(n-r), \dots, f_m(n), \dots, f_m(n-r)),$$

$$\vdots$$

$$f_m(n+1) = r_m(f_1(n), \dots, f_1(n-r), \dots, f_m(n), \dots, f_m(n-r)),$$

where r_1, \ldots, r_m are fixed explicit rational functions.

Together with a suitable number of initial values, such a system *uniquely* defines m sequences $f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$.

What do we mean by "Given sequences $f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ "?

We assume that the sequences are defined by a system of difference equations of the form

$$f_1(n+1) = r_1(f_1(n), \dots, f_1(n-r), \dots, f_m(n), \dots, f_m(n-r)),$$

$$f_2(n+1) = r_2(f_1(n), \dots, f_1(n-r), \dots, f_m(n), \dots, f_m(n-r)),$$

$$\vdots$$

$$f_m(n+1) = r_m(f_1(n), \dots, f_1(n-r), \dots, f_m(n), \dots, f_m(n-r)),$$

where r_1, \ldots, r_m are fixed explicit rational functions.

Together with a suitable number of initial values, such a system *uniquely* defines m sequences $f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$.

(We assume that application of the recurrence equations will never lead to a division by zero.)

Here are some members of this class:

Here are some members of this class:

f(n) = n

Here are some members of this class:

$$f(n) = n$$

by

$$f(n+1) = f(n) + 1$$

Here are some members of this class:

$$f(n) = H_n = \sum_{k=1}^n 1/k$$

Here are some members of this class:

$$f(n) = H_n = \sum_{k=1}^n 1/k$$

by

$$f_1(n+1) = f_1(n) + 1$$

$$f(n+1) = f(n) + 1/f_1(n)$$

Here are some members of this class:

$$f(n) = 2^{2^n}$$

Here are some members of this class:

$$f(n) = 2^{2^n}$$

by

$$f(n+1) = f(n)^2$$

Here are some members of this class:

f(n) = Fibonacci(Fibonacci(n))

Here are some members of this class:

f(n) = Fibonacci(Fibonacci(n))

by

$$f_1(n+1) = f(n)f_1(n-1) + f_1(n)f(n-1) - f_1(n)f_1(n-1)$$

$$f(n+1) = f_1(n)f_1(n-1) + f(n)f(n-1)$$

The class is closed under the following operations:

• arithmetic operations $+, -, \cdot, \div$

- arithmetic operations $+, -, \cdot, \div$
- indefinite summation and products Σ, Π

- arithmetic operations $+, -, \cdot, \div$
- indefinite summation and products Σ, Π
- continued fractions

- arithmetic operations $+, -, \cdot, \div$
- indefinite summation and products Σ, Π
- continued fractions
- ▶ affine translations $f(\lfloor an + b \rfloor)$ $(a, b \in \mathbb{Q})$

The class is closed under the following operations:

- arithmetic operations $+, -, \cdot, \div$
- indefinite summation and products Σ, Π
- continued fractions
- ▶ affine translations $f(\lfloor an + b \rfloor)$ $(a, b \in \mathbb{Q})$

Example:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\left(\sum_{i=0}^{3k+1} \frac{i+1}{i!+(-2)^{i}}\right)^{17} + K_{i=1}^{2k}(2^{2^{i}}; F_{F_{i}}) + 2H_{k}}{\left(P_{k}^{(a,b)}(x) + \prod_{i=1}^{\lfloor k/3 \rfloor} P_{i}^{(b,a)}(x)\right)(3^{F_{k}} + F_{3^{k}})} \binom{2k}{k}$$

Proving Algebraic Relations

Proving Algebraic Relations

Observation: If $f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ are *admissible sequences* and $p \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$, then

$$f_{m+1}(n) := p(f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n))$$

is admissible, too.

Proving Algebraic Relations

Observation: If $f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ are *admissible sequences* and $p \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$, then

$$f_{m+1}(n) := p(f_1(n), \dots, f_m(n))$$

is admissible, too.

Deciding whether p is an algebraic relation is hence nothing more than deciding *zero equivalence* of an admissible sequence.

To show:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : f(n) = \mathbf{0}.$$

To show:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : f(n) = 0.$$

To do: Eliminate the quantifier.

To show:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : f(n) = \mathbf{0}.$$

To do: Eliminate the quantifier. Find $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\Big(orall n \in \mathbb{N} : f(n) = 0 \Big) \iff \Big(f(1) = 0 \land f(2) = 0 \land \cdots \land f(N) = 0 \Big).$$

To show:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : f(n) = \mathbf{0}.$$

To do: Eliminate the quantifier. Find $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : f(n) = 0) \iff (f(1) = 0 \land f(2) = 0 \land \cdots \land f(N) = 0).$$

For this, it is clearly sufficient if \boldsymbol{N} is such that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : (f(n) = \mathbf{0} \land \dots \land f(n+N-1) = \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow f(n+N) = \mathbf{0}).$$
To show:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : f(n) = \mathbf{0}.$$

To do: Eliminate the quantifier. Find $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\Big(\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : f(n) = 0 \Big) \iff \Big(f(1) = 0 \land f(2) = 0 \land \cdots \land f(N) = 0 \Big).$$

For this, it is clearly sufficient if \boldsymbol{N} is such that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : (f(n) = 0 \land \dots \land f(n+N-1) = 0 \Rightarrow f(n+N) = 0).$$

For this, it is clearly sufficient if

$$\forall x_0,\ldots,x_N\in\mathbb{K}: x_0=0\wedge\cdots\wedge x_{N-1}=0\Rightarrow x_N=0.$$

To show:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : f(n) = \mathbf{0}.$$

To do: Eliminate the quantifier. Find $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\Big(\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : f(n) = 0 \Big) \iff \Big(f(1) = 0 \land f(2) = 0 \land \cdots \land f(N) = 0 \Big).$$

For this, it is clearly sufficient if N is such that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : (f(n) = 0 \land \dots \land f(n+N-1) = 0 \Rightarrow f(n+N) = 0).$$

For this, it is clearly sufficient if

$$\forall x_0,\ldots,x_N\in\mathbb{K}: x_0=0\wedge\cdots\wedge x_{N-1}=0\Rightarrow x_N=0.$$

But this is clearly false :-(

To show:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : f(n) = 0.$$

Fix: We can securely put algebraic relations $p_1, \ldots, p_k \in \mathbb{K}[x_0, \ldots, x_N]$ into the assumption part:

To show:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : f(n) = 0.$$

Fix: We can securely put algebraic relations $p_1, \ldots, p_k \in \mathbb{K}[x_0, \ldots, x_N]$ into the assumption part:

$$\forall x_0, \dots, x_N \in \mathbb{K} : p_1(x_0, \dots, x_N) = 0 \land \dots \land p_k(x_0, \dots, x_N) = 0$$
$$\land x_0 = 0 \land \dots \land x_{N-1} = 0 \Rightarrow x_N = 0$$

To show:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : f(n) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Fix: We can securely put algebraic relations $p_1, \ldots, p_k \in \mathbb{K}[x_0, \ldots, x_N]$ into the assumption part:

$$\forall x_0, \dots, x_N \in \mathbb{K} : p_1(x_0, \dots, x_N) = 0 \land \dots \land p_k(x_0, \dots, x_N) = 0$$
$$\land x_0 = 0 \land \dots \land x_{N-1} = 0 \Rightarrow x_N = 0$$

This can be decided with Gröbner bases:

$$x_N \stackrel{?}{\in} \mathsf{Rad}\langle p_1, \ldots, p_k, x_0, \ldots, x_{N-1} \rangle.$$

To show:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : f(n) = 0.$$

Fix: We can securely put algebraic relations $p_1, \ldots, p_k \in \mathbb{K}[x_0, \ldots, x_N]$ into the assumption part:

$$\forall x_0, \dots, x_N \in \mathbb{K} : p_1(x_0, \dots, x_N) = 0 \land \dots \land p_k(x_0, \dots, x_N) = 0$$
$$\land x_0 = 0 \land \dots \land x_{N-1} = 0 \Rightarrow x_N = 0$$

This can be decided with Gröbner bases:

$$x_N \stackrel{?}{\in} \mathsf{Rad}\langle p_1, \ldots, p_k, x_0, \ldots, x_{N-1} \rangle.$$

Suitable polynomials p_i can be obtained form the defining recurrence equation system of f(n)

To show:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : f(n) = 0.$$

Theorem: For sufficiently large N, the above radical membership test will yield True.

To show:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : f(n) = 0.$$

Theorem: For sufficiently large N, the above radical membership test will yield True.

This gives the decision procedure:

To show:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : f(n) = 0.$$

Theorem: For sufficiently large N, the above radical membership test will yield True.

This gives the decision procedure:

1. Check
$$x_N \in \mathsf{Rad}\langle p_1, \ldots, p_k, x_0, \ldots, x_{N-1} \rangle$$
 for $N = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ until the result is True.

To show:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : f(n) = 0.$$

Theorem: For sufficiently large N, the above radical membership test will yield True.

This gives the decision procedure:

1. Check
$$x_N \stackrel{?}{\in} \mathsf{Rad}\langle p_1, \ldots, p_k, x_0, \ldots, x_{N-1} \rangle$$
 for $N = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ until the result is True.

2. Evaluate $f(0), \ldots, f(N)$ and compare them to zero.

Let us show that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : (F_{n+1}^2 - F_{n+1}F_n - F_n^2 - 1)(F_{n+1}^2 - F_{n+1}F_n - F_n^2 + 1) = 0,$$

where F_n are again the Fibonacci numbers.

Let us show that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : (F_{n+1}^2 - F_{n+1}F_n - F_n^2 - 1)(F_{n+1}^2 - F_{n+1}F_n - F_n^2 + 1) = 0,$$

where F_n are again the Fibonacci numbers.

Introduce variables x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots representing the terms $F_n, F_{n+1}, F_{n+2}, \ldots$

Let us show that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : (F_{n+1}^2 - F_{n+1}F_n - F_n^2 - 1)(F_{n+1}^2 - F_{n+1}F_n - F_n^2 + 1) = 0,$$

where F_n are again the Fibonacci numbers.

Introduce variables x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots representing the terms $F_n, F_{n+1}, F_{n+2}, \ldots$

N = 0:

$$(x_1^2 - x_1x_0 - x_0^2 - 1)(x_1^2 - x_1x_0 - x_0^2 + 1) \in \mathsf{Rad}\langle\rangle = \{0\}$$

Let us show that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : (F_{n+1}^2 - F_{n+1}F_n - F_n^2 - 1)(F_{n+1}^2 - F_{n+1}F_n - F_n^2 + 1) = 0,$$

where F_n are again the Fibonacci numbers.

Introduce variables x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots representing the terms $F_n, F_{n+1}, F_{n+2}, \ldots$

N = 0:

$$(x_1^2 - x_1x_0 - x_0^2 - 1)(x_1^2 - x_1x_0 - x_0^2 + 1) \in \mathsf{Rad}\langle\rangle = \{0\}$$

This is false.

Let us show that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : (F_{n+1}^2 - F_{n+1}F_n - F_n^2 - 1)(F_{n+1}^2 - F_{n+1}F_n - F_n^2 + 1) = 0,$$

where F_n are again the Fibonacci numbers.

Introduce variables x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots representing the terms $F_n, F_{n+1}, F_{n+2}, \ldots$

N = 1:

$$\begin{aligned} (x_2^2 - x_2 x_1 - x_1^2 - 1)(x_2^2 - x_2 x_1 - x_1^2 + 1) \\ &\in \mathsf{Rad}\langle x_2 - x_1 - x_0, \\ & (x_1^2 - x_1 x_0 - x_0^2 - 1)(x_1^2 - x_1 x_0 - x_0^2 + 1) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Let us show that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : (F_{n+1}^2 - F_{n+1}F_n - F_n^2 - 1)(F_{n+1}^2 - F_{n+1}F_n - F_n^2 + 1) = 0,$$

where F_n are again the Fibonacci numbers.

Introduce variables x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots representing the terms $F_n, F_{n+1}, F_{n+2}, \ldots$

N = 1:

$$\begin{aligned} (x_2^2 - x_2 x_1 - x_1^2 - 1)(x_2^2 - x_2 x_1 - x_1^2 + 1) \\ &\in \mathsf{Rad}\langle x_2 - x_1 - x_0, \\ & (x_1^2 - x_1 x_0 - x_0^2 - 1)(x_1^2 - x_1 x_0 - x_0^2 + 1) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

This is true.

Let us show that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : (F_{n+1}^2 - F_{n+1}F_n - F_n^2 - 1)(F_{n+1}^2 - F_{n+1}F_n - F_n^2 + 1) = 0,$$

where F_n are again the Fibonacci numbers.

Introduce variables x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots representing the terms $F_n, F_{n+1}, F_{n+2}, \ldots$

Checking of a single initial value completes the proof:

$$(F_{1+1}^2 - F_{1+1}F_1 - F_1^2 - 1)(F_{1+1}^2 - F_{1+1}F_1 - F_1^2 + 1)$$

= (1 - 1 - 1 - 1)(1 - 1 - 1 + 1) = 0.

2. Finding Algebraic Relations

INPUT:	

INPUT:

• Sequences $f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ over \mathbb{K} .

INPUT:

• Sequences $f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ over \mathbb{K} . OUTPUT:

INPUT:

- Sequences $f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ over \mathbb{K} . OUTPUT:
 - ▶ Polynomials $p_1, \ldots, p_k \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$ which generate the ideal of algebraic relations amongst the $f_i(n)$.

INPUT:

- Sequences $f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ over \mathbb{K} . OUTPUT:
 - ▶ Polynomials $p_1, \ldots, p_k \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$ which generate the ideal of algebraic relations amongst the $f_i(n)$.

We consider the same class of sequences as before.

INPUT:

- Sequences $f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ over \mathbb{K} . OUTPUT:
 - Polynomials $p_1, \ldots, p_k \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$ which generate the ideal of algebraic relations amongst the $f_i(n)$.

We consider the same class of sequences as before.

From now on, let $f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ be given, and let $\mathfrak{a} \leq \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$ be the ideal of their algebraic relations.

INPUT:

- Sequences $f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ over \mathbb{K} . OUTPUT:
 - Polynomials $p_1, \ldots, p_k \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$ which generate the ideal of algebraic relations amongst the $f_i(n)$.

We consider the same class of sequences as before.

From now on, let $f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ be given, and let $\mathfrak{a} \trianglelefteq \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_m]$ be the ideal of their algebraic relations. We want to find a basis for \mathfrak{a} .

Recall:

$$V(\mathfrak{a}) = \overline{\{(f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}$$

Recall:

$$V(\mathfrak{a}) = \overline{\{(f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}$$

Consequence: For every $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$V(\mathfrak{a}) \supseteq \overline{\{(f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)) : n \leq N\}}$$

Recall:

$$V(\mathfrak{a}) = \overline{\{(f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}$$

Consequence: For every $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$V(\mathfrak{a}) \supseteq \overline{\{(f_1(n), \dots, f_m(n)) : n \leq N\}}$$

= { (f_1(n), \dots, f_m(n)) : n \leq N }

Recall:

$$V(\mathfrak{a}) = \overline{\{(f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}$$

Consequence: For every $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$V(\mathfrak{a}) \supseteq \overline{\{(f_1(n), \dots, f_m(n)) : n \leq N\}} \\= \{(f_1(n), \dots, f_m(n)) : n \leq N\}$$

Consequence: For every $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathfrak{a} \subseteq igcap_{n=1}^N \langle x_1 - f_1(n), \dots, x_m - f_m(n)
angle := \mathfrak{a}_N$$

Recall:

$$V(\mathfrak{a}) = \overline{\{(f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}$$

Consequence: For every $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$V(\mathfrak{a}) \supseteq \overline{\{(f_1(n), \dots, f_m(n)) : n \leq N\}} \\= \{(f_1(n), \dots, f_m(n)) : n \leq N\}$$

Consequence: For every $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathfrak{a} \subseteq igcap_{n=1}^N \langle x_1 - f_1(n), \dots, x_m - f_m(n)
angle := \mathfrak{a}_N$$

Theorem: For sufficiently large N, a Gröbner basis for \mathfrak{a}_N will contain a Gröbner basis for \mathfrak{a} .

$$\mathfrak{a}_N := \bigcap_{n=1}^N \langle x - F_{n+1}, y - F_n \rangle$$



... for
$$N = 1$$
.

$$\mathfrak{a}_N := \bigcap_{n=1}^N \langle x - F_{n+1}, y - F_n \rangle$$



$$\ldots$$
 for $N = 2$.

$$\mathfrak{a}_N := \bigcap_{n=1}^N \langle x - F_{n+1}, y - F_n \rangle$$



... for
$$N = 3$$
.

$$\mathfrak{a}_N := \bigcap_{n=1}^N \langle x - F_{n+1}, y - F_n \rangle$$



... for
$$N = 4$$
.
$$\mathfrak{a}_N := \bigcap_{n=1}^N \langle x - F_{n+1}, y - F_n \rangle$$



... for
$$N = 5$$
.

$$\mathfrak{a}_N := \bigcap_{n=1}^N \langle x - F_{n+1}, y - F_n \rangle$$



... for
$$N = 6$$
.

$$\mathfrak{a}_N := \bigcap_{n=1}^N \langle x - F_{n+1}, y - F_n \rangle$$



... for
$$N = 7$$
.

$$\mathfrak{a}_N := \bigcap_{n=1}^N \langle x - F_{n+1}, y - F_n \rangle$$



... for
$$N = 8$$
.

$$\mathfrak{a}_N := \bigcap_{n=1}^N \langle x - F_{n+1}, y - F_n \rangle$$



... for
$$N = 9$$
.

$$\mathfrak{a}_N := \bigcap_{n=1}^N \langle x - F_{n+1}, y - F_n \rangle$$



... for
$$N = 10$$
.

$$\mathfrak{a}_N := \bigcap_{n=1}^N \langle x - F_{n+1}, y - F_n \rangle$$





$$\mathfrak{a}_N := \bigcap_{n=1}^N \langle x - F_{n+1}, y - F_n \rangle$$



... for
$$N = 12$$
.

$$\mathfrak{a}_N := \bigcap_{n=1}^N \langle x - F_{n+1}, y - F_n \rangle$$



... for
$$N = 13$$
.

$$\mathfrak{a}_N := \bigcap_{n=1}^N \langle x - F_{n+1}, y - F_n \rangle$$



... for
$$N = 14$$
.

$$\mathfrak{a}_N := \bigcap_{n=1}^N \langle x - F_{n+1}, y - F_n \rangle$$



... for
$$N = 15$$
.

$$\mathfrak{a}_N := \bigcap_{n=1}^N \langle x - F_{n+1}, y - F_n \rangle$$



... for
$$N = 16$$
.

$$\mathfrak{a}_N := \bigcap_{n=1}^N \langle x - F_{n+1}, y - F_n \rangle$$



... for
$$N = 17$$
.

$$\mathfrak{a}_N := \bigcap_{n=1}^N \langle x - F_{n+1}, y - F_n \rangle$$



... for
$$N = 18$$
.

$$\mathfrak{a}_N := \bigcap_{n=1}^N \langle x - F_{n+1}, y - F_n \rangle$$



... for
$$N = 19$$
.

$$\mathfrak{a}_N := \bigcap_{n=1}^N \langle x - F_{n+1}, y - F_n \rangle$$



... for
$$N = 20$$
.

$$\mathfrak{a}_N := \bigcap_{n=1}^N \langle x - F_{n+1}, y - F_n \rangle$$



... for
$$N = 21$$
.

$$\mathfrak{a}_N := \bigcap_{n=1}^N \langle x - F_{n+1}, y - F_n \rangle$$



... for
$$N = 22$$
.

$$\mathfrak{a}_N := \bigcap_{n=1}^N \langle x - F_{n+1}, y - F_n \rangle$$



... for
$$N = 23$$
.

$$\mathfrak{a}_N := \bigcap_{n=1}^N \langle x - F_{n+1}, y - F_n \rangle$$



... for
$$N = 24$$
.

$$\mathfrak{a}_N := \bigcap_{n=1}^N \langle x - F_{n+1}, y - F_n \rangle$$



... for
$$N = 25$$
.

$$\mathfrak{a}_N := \bigcap_{n=1}^N \langle x - F_{n+1}, y - F_n \rangle$$



... for
$$N = 26$$
.

$$\mathfrak{a}_N := \bigcap_{n=1}^N \langle x - F_{n+1}, y - F_n \rangle$$



... for
$$N = 27$$
.

$$\mathfrak{a}_N := \bigcap_{n=1}^N \langle x - F_{n+1}, y - F_n \rangle$$



... for
$$N = 28$$
.

$$\mathfrak{a}_N := \bigcap_{n=1}^N \langle x - F_{n+1}, y - F_n \rangle$$



... for
$$N = 29$$
.

$$\mathfrak{a}_N := \bigcap_{n=1}^N \langle x - F_{n+1}, y - F_n \rangle$$



... for
$$N = 30$$
.

Consider the leading term ideal of

$$\mathfrak{a}_N := \bigcap_{n=1}^N \langle x - F_{n+1}, y - F_n \rangle$$



... for N = 30.

The cone of x^4 will not disappear as $N \rightarrow \infty$, because it belongs to a generator of \mathfrak{a} .

Consider the leading term ideal of

$$\mathfrak{a}_N := \bigcap_{n=1}^N \langle x - F_{n+1}, y - F_n \rangle$$



... for N = 30.

The cone of x^4 will not disappear as $N \rightarrow \infty$, because it belongs to a generator of \mathfrak{a} .

Remark: A Gröbner basis for a_N can be efficiently computed by the Buchberger-Möller algorithm.

For $d \in \mathbb{N}$, let now $\mathfrak{a}_d := \langle p \in \mathfrak{a} : \deg p < d \rangle$.

For $d \in \mathbb{N}$, let now $\mathfrak{a}_d := \langle p \in \mathfrak{a} : \deg p < d \rangle$. Generators for \mathfrak{a}_d can be obtained by an ansatz

$$p = \sum_{\substack{0 \le e_1, \dots, e_m \\ m \le d}} a_{e_1, \dots, e_m} x_1^{e_1} x_2^{e_2} \dots x_m^{e_m}.$$



For $d \in \mathbb{N}$, let now $\mathfrak{a}_d := \langle p \in \mathfrak{a} : \deg p < d \rangle$. Generators for \mathfrak{a}_d can be obtained by an ansatz

$$p = \sum_{0 \le e_1 + \dots + e_m \le d} a_{e_1, \dots, e_m} x_1^{e_1} x_2^{e_2} \dots x_m^{e_m}.$$



Applying the zero equivalence prover to

$$p(f_1(n),\ldots,f_m(n))=0$$

gives a number N of initial values that need to be satisfied.

For $d \in \mathbb{N}$, let now $\mathfrak{a}_d := \langle p \in \mathfrak{a} : \deg p < d \rangle$. Generators for \mathfrak{a}_d can be obtained by an ansatz

$$p = \sum_{\substack{0 \le e_1 + \dots + e_m \le d}} a_{e_1, \dots, e_m} x_1^{e_1} x_2^{e_2} \dots x_m^{e_m}.$$



Applying the zero equivalence prover to

$$p(f_1(n),\ldots,f_m(n))=0$$

gives a number N of initial values that need to be satisfied.

Forcing $p(f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)) = 0$ for $n = 1, \ldots, N$ leads to a linear system for the coefficients whose solutions are generators of \mathfrak{a}_d .

For $d \in \mathbb{N}$, let now $\mathfrak{a}_d := \langle p \in \mathfrak{a} : \deg p < d \rangle$. Generators for \mathfrak{a}_d can be obtained by an ansatz

$$p = \sum_{\substack{0 \le e_1 + \dots + e_m \le d}} a_{e_1, \dots, e_m} x_1^{e_1} x_2^{e_2} \dots x_m^{e_m}.$$



Applying the zero equivalence prover to

$$p(f_1(n),\ldots,f_m(n))=0$$

gives a number N of initial values that need to be satisfied.

Forcing $p(f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)) = 0$ for $n = 1, \ldots, N$ leads to a linear system for the coefficients whose solutions are generators of \mathfrak{a}_d .

Note: If d is sufficiently large, then $\mathfrak{a}_d = \mathfrak{a}$.

Specification not quite fulfilled...

None of the methods above actually delivers, in a finite number of steps, a basis for ${\mathfrak a}.$

Specification not quite fulfilled...

None of the methods above actually delivers, in a finite number of steps, a basis for \mathfrak{a} .

The best we can do is to *recursively enumerate* a basis.
None of the methods above actually delivers, in a finite number of steps, a basis for \mathfrak{a} .

The best we can do is to *recursively enumerate* a basis.

This is possible with both methods described.

None of the methods above actually delivers, in a finite number of steps, a basis for ${\mathfrak a}.$

The best we can do is to *recursively enumerate* a basis.

This is possible with both methods described.

We should better not hope for more, because:

None of the methods above actually delivers, in a finite number of steps, a basis for ${\mathfrak a}.$

The best we can do is to *recursively enumerate* a basis.

This is possible with both methods described.

We should better not hope for more, because:

Theorem: If there exists an algorithm, which computes, in a finite number of steps, a basis for the ideal of algebraic relations among $f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$, then there exists an algorithm which decides

$$\exists n \in \mathbb{N} : f(n) = 0$$

for given sequences f(n).

None of the methods above actually delivers, in a finite number of steps, a basis for ${\mathfrak a}.$

The best we can do is to *recursively enumerate* a basis.

This is possible with both methods described.

We should better not hope for more, because:

Theorem: If there exists an algorithm, which computes, in a finite number of steps, a basis for the ideal of algebraic relations among $f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$, then there exists an algorithm which decides

$$\exists n \in \mathbb{N} : f(n) = 0$$

for given sequences f(n).

Deciding the existence of roots is very difficult.

The C-finite Case (joint work with B. Zimmermann)

The C-finite Case (joint work with B. Zimmermann)

A sequence f(n) is called C-finite, if

$$f(n+r) = a_0 f(n) + a_1 f(n+1) + \dots + a_{r-1} f(n+r-1)$$

for some constants $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$.

A sequence f(n) is called C-finite, if

$$f(n+r) = a_0 f(n) + a_1 f(n+1) + \dots + a_{r-1} f(n+r-1)$$

for some constants $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Let $f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ be C-finite, and $\mathfrak{a} \leq \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be the ideal of their algebraic relations.

A sequence f(n) is called C-finite, if

$$f(n+r) = a_0 f(n) + a_1 f(n+1) + \dots + a_{r-1} f(n+r-1)$$

for some constants $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Let $f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ be C-finite, and $\mathfrak{a} \leq \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be the ideal of their algebraic relations.

Then, a basis of a can be computed from defining recurrence equations and initial values of the $f_i(n)$.

A sequence f(n) is called C-finite, if

$$f(n+r) = a_0 f(n) + a_1 f(n+1) + \dots + a_{r-1} f(n+r-1)$$

for some constants $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Let $f_1(n), \ldots, f_m(n)$ be C-finite, and $\mathfrak{a} \leq \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be the ideal of their algebraic relations.

Then, a basis of a can be computed from defining recurrence equations and initial values of the $f_i(n)$.

Consequence: In this class, we can also prove automatically that certain quantities are *not* related.

3. An Example

A sequence C_n satisfying a nonlinear recurrence of the form

$$C_{n+r}C_n = \alpha_1 C_{n+r-1}C_{n+1} + \alpha_2 C_{n+r-2}C_{n+2} + \cdots$$
$$\cdots + \alpha_{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor}C_{n+r-\lfloor r/2 \rfloor}C_{n+\lfloor r/2 \rfloor}$$

with $r \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed and $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor}$ is called a *Somos sequence* of order r.

A sequence C_n satisfying a nonlinear recurrence of the form

$$C_{n+r}C_n = \alpha_1 C_{n+r-1}C_{n+1} + \alpha_2 C_{n+r-2}C_{n+2} + \cdots$$
$$\cdots + \alpha_{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor}C_{n+r-\lfloor r/2 \rfloor}C_{n+\lfloor r/2 \rfloor}$$

with $r \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed and $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor}$ is called a *Somos sequence* of order r.

Question: Can a given Somos sequence of order r also be viewed as a Somos sequence for some different order r'?

A sequence C_n satisfying a nonlinear recurrence of the form

$$C_{n+r}C_n = \alpha_1 C_{n+r-1}C_{n+1} + \alpha_2 C_{n+r-2}C_{n+2} + \cdots$$
$$\cdots + \alpha_{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor}C_{n+r-\lfloor r/2 \rfloor}C_{n+\lfloor r/2 \rfloor}$$

with $r \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed and $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor}$ is called a *Somos sequence* of order r.

Question: Can a given Somos sequence of order r also be viewed as a Somos sequence for some different order r'?

Example: Consider C_n defined via

$$C_{n+4}C_n = C_{n+3}C_{n+1} + C_{n+2}^2, \qquad C_0 = C_1 = C_2 = C_3 = 1.$$

Does this sequence satisfy a Somos-like recurrence of orders 5, 6, 7, 8?

Idea: Compute the algebraic relations of total degree ≤ 2 among the terms

$$C_n, C_{n+1}, \ldots, C_{n+7}, C_{n+8}.$$

Idea: Compute the algebraic relations of total degree ≤ 2 among the terms

$$C_n, C_{n+1}, \ldots, C_{n+7}, C_{n+8}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{a} = \langle p_1, \ldots, p_k \rangle \trianglelefteq \mathbb{Q}[x_0, \ldots, x_8]$ be a Gröbner basis for the ideal generated by the quadratic relations.

Idea: Compute the algebraic relations of total degree ≤ 2 among the terms

$$C_n, C_{n+1}, \ldots, C_{n+7}, C_{n+8}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{a} = \langle p_1, \ldots, p_k \rangle \trianglelefteq \mathbb{Q}[x_0, \ldots, x_8]$ be a Gröbner basis for the ideal generated by the quadratic relations.

Make an ansatz with indetermined coefficients for the desired relation, e.g.,

$$C_{n+5}C_n = a_1C_{n+4}C_{n+1} + a_2C_{n+3}C_{n+2}$$

Idea: Compute the algebraic relations of total degree ≤ 2 among the terms

$$C_n, C_{n+1}, \ldots, C_{n+7}, C_{n+8}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{a} = \langle p_1, \ldots, p_k \rangle \trianglelefteq \mathbb{Q}[x_0, \ldots, x_8]$ be a Gröbner basis for the ideal generated by the quadratic relations.

Make an ansatz with indetermined coefficients for the desired relation, e.g.,

$$C_{n+5}C_n = a_1C_{n+4}C_{n+1} + a_2C_{n+3}C_{n+2}$$

Reduction modulo a gives

$$x_5x_0 - a_1x_4x_1 - a_2x_3x_2 \longrightarrow_{\mathfrak{a}} (1 - \frac{1}{5}a_2)x_0x_5 - (a_1 + \frac{1}{5}a_2)x_1x_4$$

Idea: Compute the algebraic relations of total degree ≤ 2 among the terms

$$C_n, C_{n+1}, \ldots, C_{n+7}, C_{n+8}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{a} = \langle p_1, \ldots, p_k \rangle \trianglelefteq \mathbb{Q}[x_0, \ldots, x_8]$ be a Gröbner basis for the ideal generated by the quadratic relations.

Make an ansatz with indetermined coefficients for the desired relation, e.g.,

$$C_{n+5}C_n = a_1C_{n+4}C_{n+1} + a_2C_{n+3}C_{n+2}$$

Reduction modulo a gives

$$x_5x_0 - a_1x_4x_1 - a_2x_3x_2 \longrightarrow_{\mathfrak{a}} (1 - \frac{1}{5}a_2)x_0x_5 - (a_1 + \frac{1}{5}a_2)x_1x_4$$

Comparing coefficients gives $a_1 = -1, a_2 = 5$.



 For a large class of sequences, algebraic relations can be proven automatically.

- For a large class of sequences, algebraic relations can be proven automatically.
- For the same class of sequences, algebraic relations up to a prescribed degree can be *found* automatically.

- For a large class of sequences, algebraic relations can be proven automatically.
- For the same class of sequences, algebraic relations up to a prescribed degree can be *found* automatically.
- A basis for the whole ideal is hard to find

- For a large class of sequences, algebraic relations can be proven automatically.
- For the same class of sequences, algebraic relations up to a prescribed degree can be *found* automatically.
- A basis for the whole ideal is hard to find
- It can, however, be obtained for the small class of C-finite sequences.

- For a large class of sequences, algebraic relations can be proven automatically.
- For the same class of sequences, algebraic relations up to a prescribed degree can be *found* automatically.
- A basis for the whole ideal is hard to find
- It can, however, be obtained for the small class of C-finite sequences.
- All this stuff is implemented in a Mathematica package.