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## Seismic inversion

Recovery of subsurface geological structure from seismic records

seismic waves can be modeled by the elastic wave equation

## Linear elastic wave equation

The linear elastic wave equation in isotropic medium

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}-\nabla \cdot S^{L}(x, u)=0, \quad(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \Omega \\
& u(t, x)=f(t, x), \quad(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \partial \Omega \\
& u(0, x)=\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(0, x)=0, \quad x \in \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is bounded.

- $u$ : displacement, vector
- $\varepsilon(u)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla u+(\nabla u)^{T}\right)$ : strain
- $S^{L}(x, u)=\lambda(x) \operatorname{tr}\{\varepsilon(u)\} I+2 \mu(x) \varepsilon(u)$ : stress
- $\rho$ : density
- $\lambda, \mu$ : Lamé moduli
- $\lambda, \mu, \rho$ encode the mechanical properties of the elastic materials


## Inverse problem for the linear equation

Define the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map

$$
\Lambda^{\operatorname{lin}}:\left.f \mapsto S^{L}(x, u) \cdot \nu\right|_{(0, T) \times \partial \Omega}
$$

where $\nu$ is the outer unit normal to the boundary. Assume $T$ is large enough, and $\lambda, \mu, \rho$ are all smooth functions

## Determine $\lambda, \mu, \rho$ from $\Lambda^{\text {lin }}$

Boundary Control Method does not work!
Study the propagation of singularities of the solutions; related with certain geometrical inverse problems.

## Reduction to geometrical inverse problems

There are two wavespeeds $S$-wave speed $c_{S}=\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\rho}}, P$-wave speed
$c_{P}=\sqrt{\frac{\lambda+2 \mu}{\rho}}$.
Determination of $c_{S}$ and $c_{P}$ : lens data for $c_{S}$ and $c_{P}$ can be recovered from $\Lambda^{\text {lin }}$. (Rachele, 2000; Stefanov-Uhlmann-Vasy, 2017)

lens data: $\{\alpha(x, \xi)=(y, \eta)\} \cup\{\tau(x, \xi)\}$.
recover $c$ from the lens data (lens rigidity problem):

- if ( $\Omega, c^{-2} \mathrm{~d} s^{2}$ ) is simple (Muhometov-Romanov, 1978)
- if $\left(\Omega, c^{-2} \mathrm{~d} s^{2}\right)$ admits a strictly convex function (the foliation condition) (Stefanov-Uhlmann-Vasy, 2016)


## Determination of $\rho$

Using $P$-wave measurements: $(\lambda \neq 2 \mu)$ related with geodesic ray transform of 2-tensors (Rachele, 2000; Bhattacharyya, 2018).
$(M, g)$ is a compact 3 -dimensional Riemannian manifold with boundary $\partial M$. The geodesic ray transform of a symmetric 2 -tensor $f$ is

$$
I_{2} f(\gamma)=\int_{\gamma} f^{i j}(\gamma(t)) \dot{\gamma}_{i}(t) \dot{\gamma}_{j}(t) \mathrm{d} t,
$$

where $\gamma$ runs over all geodesics with endpoints on $\partial M$.
$s$-injectivity of $I_{2}$ on 3 -dimensional manifolds:

- generically true on simple manifolds (Stefanov-Uhlmann, 2005)
- under extra curvature conditions on simple manifolds (Sharafutdinov 94; Paternain-Salo-Uhlmann, 2015)
- true under the foliation condition (Stefanov-Uhlmann-Vasy, 2018)


## Summary for the linear equation $(\operatorname{dim}=3)$

Determination of $\frac{\lambda}{\rho}$ and $\frac{\mu}{\rho}$ : related to the lens rigidity problem

- $\left(\Omega, c_{P / S}^{-2} \mathrm{~d} s^{2}\right)$ is simple (Rachele, 2000);
- $\left(\Omega, c_{P / S}^{-2} \mathrm{~d} s^{2}\right)$ admits a strictly convex function (Stefanov-Uhlmann-Vasy, 2017).

Determination of $\rho$ separately: related to some tensor tomography problem

- $\lambda \neq 2 \mu,\left(\Omega, c_{P}^{-2} \mathrm{~d} s^{2}\right)$ is simple, and has some explicit upper bound on the sectional curvature (Rachele, 2003);
- $\lambda \neq 2 \mu,\left(\Omega, c_{P}^{-2} \mathrm{~d} s^{2}\right)$ admits a strictly convex function (Bhattacharyya, 2018).


## Nonlinear elastic wave equations

The nonlinear elastic wave equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}-\nabla \cdot S(x, u)=0, \quad(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \Omega \\
& u(t, x)=f(t, x), \quad(t, x) \in(0, T) \times \partial \Omega \\
& u(0, x)=\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(0, x)=0, \quad x \in \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

The stress tensor $S$ has the form (Gol'dberg 1961)

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{i j}= & S_{i j}^{L}+\frac{\lambda+\mathscr{B}}{2} \frac{\partial u_{m}}{\partial x_{n}} \frac{\partial u_{m}}{\partial x_{n}} \delta_{i j}+\mathscr{C} \frac{\partial u_{m}}{\partial x_{m}} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x_{n}} \delta_{i j}+\frac{\mathscr{B}}{2} \frac{\partial u_{m}}{\partial x_{n}} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial x_{m}} \delta_{i j} \\
& +\mathscr{B} \frac{\partial u_{m}}{\partial x_{m}} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{i}}+\frac{\mathscr{A}}{4} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{m}} \frac{\partial u_{m}}{\partial x_{i}}+(\lambda+\mathscr{B}) \frac{\partial u_{m}}{\partial x_{m}} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \\
& +\left(\mu+\frac{\mathscr{A}}{4}\right)\left(\frac{\partial u_{m}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u_{m}}{\partial x_{j}}+\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{m}} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{m}}+\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{m}} \frac{\partial u_{m}}{\partial x_{j}}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(u^{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Determine $\lambda, \mu, \rho, \mathscr{A}, \mathscr{B}, \mathscr{C}$ from the (nonlinear) Dirichlet-to-Neumann map

$$
\Lambda:\left.f \rightarrow S(x, u) \cdot \nu\right|_{(0, T) \times \partial \Omega} .
$$

## Recent development in nonlinear equations

- Recovery of a Lorentzian metric $g$ in the semilinear wave equation $\square_{g} u+a u^{2}=0$ from the source-to-solution map (Kurylev-Lassas-Uhlmann, 2018; inverse problem for the corresponding linear equation is still open! the nonlinearity helps!)
- Other nonlinear hyperbolic equations
- Einstein's equation (Kurylev, Lassas, Oksanen, Uhlmann, Wang)
- Yang-Mills equations (Chen, Lassas, Oksanen, Paternain)
- etc.


## The main result

## Theorem (Uhlmann-Z, 2021, 2022)

Assume $T>2 \operatorname{diam}_{S}(\Omega), \partial \Omega$ is strictly convex with respect to $c_{S}^{-2} d s^{2}$ and $c_{P}^{-2} d s^{2}$, and either of the following conditions holds
(1) $\left(\Omega, c_{P / S}^{-2} d s^{2}\right)$ is simple;
(2) $\left(\Omega, c_{P / S}^{-2} d s^{2}\right)$ admits a strictly convex function.

Then the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map determines $\lambda, \mu, \rho, \mathscr{A}, \mathscr{B}, \mathscr{C}$ in $\bar{\Omega}$ uniquely.
previous result: assume $\rho \equiv 1$, uniqueness of $\lambda, \mu, \mathscr{A}, \mathscr{B}$ under the simplicity condition (de Hoop-Uhlmann-Wang, 2020: nonlinear interaction of distorted plane waves)

## First version of our result

Assume $\lambda, \mu, \rho$ are already known (note that one can recover $\Lambda^{\text {lin }}$ from $\Lambda$ )

## Theorem (Uhlmann-Z, 2021)

Assume $T>2 \operatorname{diam}_{S}(\Omega), \partial \Omega$ is strictly convex with respect to $c_{S}^{-2} d s^{2}$ and $c_{P}^{-2} d s^{2}$, and either of the following conditions holds
(1) $\left(\Omega, c_{P / S}^{-2} d s^{2}\right)$ is simple;
(2) $\left(\Omega, c_{P / S}^{-2} d s^{2}\right)$ admits a strictly convex function.

Assume that $\lambda, \mu, \rho$ are already known. Then the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map determines $\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{B}, \mathscr{C}$ in $\bar{\Omega}$ uniquely.

## Second order linearization and an integral identity

We have (using integration by parts)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\partial \Omega}\left(\left.\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \epsilon_{1} \partial \epsilon_{2}} \Lambda\left(\epsilon_{1} u^{(1)}+\epsilon_{2} u^{(2)}\right)\right|_{\epsilon_{1}=\epsilon_{2}=0}\right) u^{(0)} d S d t \\
= & \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{G}\left(\nabla u^{(1)}, \nabla u^{(2)}, \nabla u^{(0)}\right) d x d t,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $u^{(1)}, u^{(2)}, u^{(0)}$ are solutions to the linear elastic wave equations.

- $\mathcal{G}$ contains information of $\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{B}, \mathscr{C}$
- general strategy: construct special solutions $u^{(1)}, u^{(2)}, u^{(0)}$ and try to extract information about $\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{B}, \mathscr{C}$
- a lot of freedoms in choosing $u^{(1)}, u^{(2)}, u^{(0)}: P-P-P, P-P-S, P-S-S$, $P-S-P, S-S-P, S-S-S$


## Explicit form of $\mathscr{G}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{G}\left(\nabla u^{(1)}, \nabla u^{(2)}, \nabla u^{(0)}\right)=(\lambda+\mathscr{B})\left(\nabla u^{(1)}: \nabla u^{(2)}\right)\left(\nabla \cdot u^{(0)}\right)+2 \mathscr{C}\left(\nabla \cdot u^{(1)}\right)\left(\nabla \cdot u^{(2)}\right)\left(\nabla \cdot u^{(0)}\right) \\
& +\mathscr{B}\left(\left(\nabla \cdot u^{(1)}\right)\left(\nabla u^{(2)}: \nabla^{T} u^{(0)}\right)+\left(\nabla \cdot u^{(2)}\right)\left(\nabla u^{(1)}: \nabla^{T} u^{(0)}\right)+\left(\nabla u^{(1)}: \nabla^{T} u^{(2)}\right)\left(\nabla \cdot u^{(0)}\right)\right. \\
& +\mathscr{B}\left(\nabla u^{(1)}: \nabla^{T} u^{(2)}\right)\left(\nabla \cdot u^{(0)}\right)+\frac{\mathscr{A}}{4}\left(\frac{\partial u_{j}^{(1)}}{\partial x_{m}} \frac{\partial u_{m}^{(2)}}{\partial x_{i}}+\frac{\partial u_{j}^{(2)}}{\partial x_{m}} \frac{\partial u_{m}^{(1)}}{\partial x_{i}}\right) \frac{\partial u_{i}^{(0)}}{\partial x_{j}} \\
& +(\lambda+\mathscr{B})\left(\left(\nabla \cdot u^{(1)}\right)\left(\nabla u^{(2)}: \nabla u^{(0)}\right)+\left(\nabla \cdot u^{(2)}\right)\left(\nabla u^{(1)}: \nabla u^{(0)}\right)\right) \\
& +\left(\mu+\frac{\mathscr{A}}{4}\right)\left(\frac{\partial u_{m}^{(1)}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u_{m}^{(2)}}{\partial x_{j}}+\frac{\partial u_{m}^{(2)}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u_{m}^{(1)}}{\partial x_{j}}+\frac{\partial u_{i}^{(1)}}{\partial x_{m}} \frac{\partial u_{j}^{(2)}}{\partial x_{m}}+\frac{\partial u_{i}^{(2)}}{\partial x_{m}} \frac{\partial u_{j}^{(1)}}{\partial x_{m}}+\frac{\partial u_{i}^{(1)}}{\partial x_{m}} \frac{\partial u_{m}^{(2)}}{\partial x_{j}}+\frac{\partial u_{i}^{(2)}}{\partial x_{m}} \frac{\partial u_{m}^{(1)}}{\partial x_{j}}\right) \frac{\partial u_{i}^{(0)}}{\partial x_{j}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

- $S$-waves are divergence-free
- can only recover $\mathscr{C}$ using P-P-P


## Gaussian beam solutions

Solutions of the form

$$
u(t, x)=\underbrace{e^{\mathrm{i} \varrho \varphi(t, x)} \mathfrak{a}_{\varrho}(t, x)}_{\text {principle term }}+\underbrace{R_{\varrho}(t, x)}_{\text {remainder }}
$$

with a large parameter $\varrho$.

- the principal term is supported near a null geodesic $\vartheta$ in $\left((0, T) \times \Omega,-\mathrm{d} t^{2}+c_{P / S}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s^{2}\right)$
- $\varphi$ : phase function, complex-valued
- $\operatorname{Im}\left(D^{2} \varphi\right)(X, X)>0$ if $X$ is normal to $\vartheta$
- $R_{\varrho} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varrho \rightarrow+\infty$



## Recovery of $\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{B}$

- $u_{\varrho}^{(1), P}=e^{\mathrm{i} \varrho \varphi^{(1), P}} \mathfrak{a}_{\varrho}^{(1)}+R_{\varrho}^{(1)}$ representing $P$-waves;
- $u_{\varrho}^{(2), S}=e^{\mathrm{i} \varrho \varphi^{(2), S}} \mathfrak{a}_{\varrho}^{(2)}+R_{\varrho}^{(2)}$ representing $S$-waves;
- $u_{\varrho}^{(0), S}=e^{\mathrm{i} \varrho \varphi^{(0), S}} \mathfrak{a}_{\varrho}^{(0)}+R_{\varrho}^{(0)}$ representing $S$-waves.

The three waves intersect at a single point $p$


## Pointwise recovery

Extract the oscillatory integral

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} e^{\mathrm{i} \varrho\left(\varphi^{(1), P}+\varphi^{(2), S}+\varphi^{(0), S}\right)} \mathcal{A}_{\varrho}(t, x) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} t+o(1)
$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{\varrho}$ is supported in a neighborhood of $p$. Need

$$
\nabla\left(\varphi^{(1), P}+\varphi^{(2), S}+\varphi^{(0), S}\right)(p)=0
$$

to apply the method of stationary phase to recover $\mathcal{A}_{\varrho}(p)$. Can be done by choosing $\vartheta^{(1)}, \vartheta^{(2)}, \vartheta^{(0)}$ properly.
(Impossible to have $\nabla\left(\varphi^{(1), P}+\varphi^{(2), P}+\varphi^{(0), P}\right)(p)=0$ !)

Recover the parameters $\mathscr{A}$ and $\mathscr{B}$ at the point $p$ (actually at $x_{p}$, $\left.p=\left(t_{p}, x_{p}\right)\right)$.

## Recovery of $\mathscr{C}$

- $u_{\varrho}^{(1), P}=e^{i \varrho \varphi^{(1), P}} \mathfrak{a}_{\varrho}^{(1)}+R_{\varrho}^{(1)}$ representing $P$-waves;
- $u_{\varrho}^{(2), P}=e^{\mathrm{i} \varrho \varphi^{(2), P}} \mathfrak{a}_{\varrho}^{(2)}+R_{\varrho}^{(2)}$ representing $P$-waves;
- $u_{\varrho}^{(0), P}=e^{\mathrm{i} \varrho \varphi^{(0), P}} \mathfrak{a}_{\varrho}^{(0)}+R_{\varrho}^{(0)}$ representing $P$-waves.

The three waves are concentrated near the same null geodesic $\vartheta$.


## Weighted geodesic ray transform

Obtain the Jacobi weighted ray transform

$$
\int_{\gamma_{x, \xi}} \mathscr{C} c_{P}^{-9 / 2} \rho^{-3 / 2}(\operatorname{det} Y(t))^{-1 / 2} \mathrm{~d} t
$$

where $\gamma_{x, \xi}$ is the projection of $\vartheta$ onto $\left(\Omega, c_{P}^{-2} \mathrm{ds}{ }^{2}\right)$. $Y(t)$ : some complex tensor field along $\gamma_{x, \xi}$ satisfying the Jacobi equation: many weights

recover $\mathscr{C}$ from the above ray transform (Feizmohammadi-Oksanen, 2020)

## Second version of our result

- assume that $\frac{\lambda}{\rho}$ and $\frac{\mu}{\rho}$ are already recovered from $\Lambda^{\text {lin }}$.
- need extra technical assumptions to determine $\rho$ in the linear model
- to use the nonlinearity to determine $\rho$


## Difficulties

- geometries are known - the trajectories of the waves are known
- linear model is not fully known - hard to control the reflection of the waves
- mode conversion at the boundary
- evanescent waves
- carefully choose the trajectories to avoid multiple intersections



## Determination of the parameters

Amplitudes of $P$ and $S$ waves (leading order term):

$$
\left|\mathbf{a}_{P}\right|=\operatorname{det}\left(Y_{P}\right)^{-1 / 2} c_{P}^{-3 / 2} \rho^{-1 / 2}, \quad\left|\mathbf{a}_{S}\right|=\operatorname{det}\left(Y_{S}\right)^{-1 / 2} c_{S}^{-3 / 2} \rho^{-1 / 2}
$$

- use $S$ - $S$ - $P$ waves to recover $\rho^{-3 / 2}(\lambda+\mathscr{B})$ and $\rho^{-3 / 2}(4 \mu+\mathscr{A})$
- use $P-S$ - $P$ waves to recover $\rho^{-3 / 2}(3 \mu+\lambda+\mathscr{A}+2 \mathscr{B})$
- determine $\rho^{-3 / 2}(\lambda+\mu)$ from above
- $\rho$ is determined since $\frac{\lambda+\mu}{\rho}$ is known
- $\mathscr{A}$ and $\mathscr{B}$ can be determined also
- determine $\mathscr{C}$ finally


## Summary

Recovery of the six parameters $\lambda, \mu, \rho, \mathscr{A}, \mathscr{B}, \mathscr{C}$ :

- recover $\Lambda^{\text {lin }}$ from $\Lambda$ by first order linearization
- recovery of $\frac{\lambda}{\rho}, \frac{\mu}{\rho}$ from $\Lambda^{\text {lin }}$ : reduced to lens rigidity problem
- recovery of $\rho, \mathscr{A}, \mathscr{B}$ from second order linearization of $\Lambda$ : pointwise recovery
- recovery of $\mathscr{C}$ : invert a weighted ray transform

