# Retrieving coupled Yang-Mills-Higgs fields 

Chen, Xi<br>Shanghai Centre for Mathematical Sciences<br>Fudan University

Joint work with Matti Lassas (Helsinki), Lauri Oksanen
(Helsinki), and Gabriel Paternain (Cambridge)

Workshop 4 "Geometrical Inverse Problems"
Special Semester on "Tomography Across the Scales" RICAM, The 8th November 2022

The Standard Model (of particle physics)

The Standard Model (of particle physics)

- 4 fundamental interactions (forces):


## The Standard Model (of particle physics)

- 4 fundamental interactions (forces):
- gravitational: General Relativity (curvature of spacetime)


## The Standard Model (of particle physics)

- 4 fundamental interactions (forces):
- gravitational: General Relativity (curvature of spacetime)
- electromagnetic, strong, weak: Standard Model


## The Standard Model (of particle physics)

- 4 fundamental interactions (forces):
- gravitational: General Relativity (curvature of spacetime)
- electromagnetic, strong, weak: Standard Model
- Grand Unification Theory


## The Standard Model (of particle physics)

- 4 fundamental interactions (forces):
- gravitational: General Relativity (curvature of spacetime)
- electromagnetic, strong, weak: Standard Model
- Grand Unification Theory
- Standard Model: describes electromagnetic $\mathrm{U}(1)$, weak $\mathrm{SU}(2)$, strong $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ interactions, and classifies elementary particles


## The Standard Model (of particle physics)

- 4 fundamental interactions (forces):
- gravitational: General Relativity (curvature of spacetime)
- electromagnetic, strong, weak: Standard Model
- Grand Unification Theory
- Standard Model: describes electromagnetic $\mathrm{U}(1)$, weak $\mathrm{SU}(2)$, strong $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ interactions, and classifies elementary particles
- Abelian U(1): Maxwell


## The Standard Model (of particle physics)

- 4 fundamental interactions (forces):
- gravitational: General Relativity (curvature of spacetime)
- electromagnetic, strong, weak: Standard Model
- Grand Unification Theory
- Standard Model: describes electromagnetic U(1), weak SU(2), strong SU(3) interactions, and classifies elementary particles
- Abelian U(1): Maxwell
- Non-Abelian $\mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(3)$ : Yang-Mills


## The Standard Model (of particle physics)

- 4 fundamental interactions (forces):
- gravitational: General Relativity (curvature of spacetime)
- electromagnetic, strong, weak: Standard Model
- Grand Unification Theory
- Standard Model: describes electromagnetic $\mathrm{U}(1)$, weak $\mathrm{SU}(2)$, strong $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ interactions, and classifies elementary particles
- Abelian U(1): Maxwell
- Non-Abelian U(1) $\times \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(3)$ : Yang-Mills
- Bosons (tensor fields, force carriers):


## The Standard Model (of particle physics)

- 4 fundamental interactions (forces):
- gravitational: General Relativity (curvature of spacetime)
- electromagnetic, strong, weak: Standard Model
- Grand Unification Theory
- Standard Model: describes electromagnetic U(1), weak SU(2), strong $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ interactions, and classifies elementary particles
- Abelian U(1): Maxwell
- Non-Abelian $\mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(3)$ : Yang-Mills
- Bosons (tensor fields, force carriers):
- Gauge bosons (Yang-Mills theory, challenged by Pauli)


## The Standard Model (of particle physics)

- 4 fundamental interactions (forces):
- gravitational: General Relativity (curvature of spacetime)
- electromagnetic, strong, weak: Standard Model
- Grand Unification Theory
- Standard Model: describes electromagnetic U(1), weak SU(2), strong SU(3) interactions, and classifies elementary particles
- Abelian U(1): Maxwell
- Non-Abelian U(1) $\times \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(3)$ : Yang-Mills
- Bosons (tensor fields, force carriers):
- Gauge bosons (Yang-Mills theory, challenged by Pauli)
- Massless : gluon (strong), photon (electromagnetic)


## The Standard Model (of particle physics)

- 4 fundamental interactions (forces):
- gravitational: General Relativity (curvature of spacetime)
- electromagnetic, strong, weak: Standard Model
- Grand Unification Theory
- Standard Model: describes electromagnetic $\mathrm{U}(1)$, weak $\mathrm{SU}(2)$, strong SU(3) interactions, and classifies elementary particles
- Abelian U(1): Maxwell
- Non-Abelian $\mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(3)$ : Yang-Mills
- Bosons (tensor fields, force carriers):
- Gauge bosons (Yang-Mills theory, challenged by Pauli)
- Massless : gluon (strong), photon (electromagnetic)
- Massive : W and Z bosons (weak)


## The Standard Model (of particle physics)

- 4 fundamental interactions (forces):
- gravitational: General Relativity (curvature of spacetime)
- electromagnetic, strong, weak: Standard Model
- Grand Unification Theory
- Standard Model: describes electromagnetic U(1), weak SU(2), strong SU(3) interactions, and classifies elementary particles
- Abelian U(1): Maxwell
- Non-Abelian $\mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(3)$ : Yang-Mills
- Bosons (tensor fields, force carriers):
- Gauge bosons (Yang-Mills theory, challenged by Pauli)
- Massless : gluon (strong), photon (electromagnetic)
- Massive : W and Z bosons (weak)
- Scalar bosons (Higgs mechanism, verified at CERN in 2012)


## The Standard Model (of particle physics)

- 4 fundamental interactions (forces):
- gravitational: General Relativity (curvature of spacetime)
- electromagnetic, strong, weak: Standard Model
- Grand Unification Theory
- Standard Model: describes electromagnetic U(1), weak SU(2), strong SU(3) interactions, and classifies elementary particles
- Abelian U(1): Maxwell
- Non-Abelian $\mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(3)$ : Yang-Mills
- Bosons (tensor fields, force carriers):
- Gauge bosons (Yang-Mills theory, challenged by Pauli)
- Massless : gluon (strong), photon (electromagnetic)
- Massive : W and Z bosons (weak)
- Scalar bosons (Higgs mechanism, verified at CERN in 2012)
- Higgs bosons ( W and Z bosons acquire mass via interactions with Higgs bosons)


## The Standard Model (of particle physics)

- 4 fundamental interactions (forces):
- gravitational: General Relativity (curvature of spacetime)
- electromagnetic, strong, weak: Standard Model
- Grand Unification Theory
- Standard Model: describes electromagnetic $\mathrm{U}(1)$, weak $\mathrm{SU}(2)$, strong SU(3) interactions, and classifies elementary particles
- Abelian U(1): Maxwell
- Non-Abelian $\mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(3)$ : Yang-Mills
- Bosons (tensor fields, force carriers):
- Gauge bosons (Yang-Mills theory, challenged by Pauli)
- Massless : gluon (strong), photon (electromagnetic)
- Massive: W and Z bosons (weak)
- Scalar bosons (Higgs mechanism, verified at CERN in 2012)
- Higgs bosons ( W and Z bosons acquire mass via interactions with Higgs bosons)
- Fermions (spinor fields, generations of matters):


## The Standard Model (of particle physics)

- 4 fundamental interactions (forces):
- gravitational: General Relativity (curvature of spacetime)
- electromagnetic, strong, weak: Standard Model
- Grand Unification Theory
- Standard Model: describes electromagnetic $\mathrm{U}(1)$, weak $\mathrm{SU}(2)$, strong SU(3) interactions, and classifies elementary particles
- Abelian U(1): Maxwell
- Non-Abelian $\mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(3)$ : Yang-Mills
- Bosons (tensor fields, force carriers):
- Gauge bosons (Yang-Mills theory, challenged by Pauli)
- Massless : gluon (strong), photon (electromagnetic)
- Massive: W and Z bosons (weak)
- Scalar bosons (Higgs mechanism, verified at CERN in 2012)
- Higgs bosons ( W and Z bosons acquire mass via interactions with Higgs bosons)
- Fermions (spinor fields, generations of matters):
- Quarks \& anitquarks, leptons \& antileptons (Dirac equation)


## The Standard Model (of particle physics)

- 4 fundamental interactions (forces):
- gravitational: General Relativity (curvature of spacetime)
- electromagnetic, strong, weak: Standard Model
- Grand Unification Theory
- Standard Model: describes electromagnetic $\mathrm{U}(1)$, weak $\mathrm{SU}(2)$, strong SU(3) interactions, and classifies elementary particles
- Abelian U(1): Maxwell
- Non-Abelian $\mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(3)$ : Yang-Mills
- Bosons (tensor fields, force carriers):
- Gauge bosons (Yang-Mills theory, challenged by Pauli)
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- Higgs bosons ( W and Z bosons acquire mass via interactions with Higgs bosons)
- Fermions (spinor fields, generations of matters):
- Quarks \& anitquarks, leptons \& antileptons (Dirac equation)
- Mass gained via coupling with Higgs bosons (Yukawa coupling)
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- To describe massive bosons, a mass term $\frac{m^{2}}{2}\langle A, A\rangle_{\mathrm{Ad}}$ is required in the Lagrangian. However, $A \notin \Omega^{1}(M, A d)$.
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- The active measurement is encoded in the well-defined Source-to-Solution map $L^{A, \Phi}:(J, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow(W, \Upsilon)$.
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- For two Yang-Mills-Higgs fields $(A, \Phi),(B, \equiv)$, there holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{D}_{(A, \Phi)}=\mathcal{D}_{(B, \equiv)} \Longleftrightarrow(A, \Phi) \sim(B, \equiv) \text { in } \mathbb{D} \\
& \quad \Longleftrightarrow \exists \mathrm{U} \in G^{0}(\mathbb{D}, p) \text { s.t. }(B, \equiv)=\left(\mathrm{U}^{-1} d \mathrm{U}+\mathrm{U}^{-1} A \mathrm{U}, \rho\left(\mathrm{U}^{-1}\right) \Phi\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Remark on the representation in the Standard Model

The hypotheses on the representation $\rho_{*}$ are natural in physics.

## Remark on the representation in the Standard Model

The hypotheses on the representation $\rho_{*}$ are natural in physics.

- The electroweak model : $G=\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathbb{S}^{1}$ and $\mathcal{W}=\mathbb{C}^{2}$


## Remark on the representation in the Standard Model

The hypotheses on the representation $\rho_{*}$ are natural in physics.

- The electroweak model : $G=\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathbb{S}^{1}$ and $\mathcal{W}=\mathbb{C}^{2}$
- The representation $\rho$ is given by

$$
\rho\left(g, e^{\imath \theta}\right) w=e^{\imath n \curlyvee \theta} g w \quad \text { with }\left(g, e^{\imath \theta}\right) \in \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathbb{S}^{1} .
$$

## Remark on the representation in the Standard Model

The hypotheses on the representation $\rho_{*}$ are natural in physics.

- The electroweak model : $G=\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathbb{S}^{1}$ and $\mathcal{W}=\mathbb{C}^{2}$
- The representation $\rho$ is given by

$$
\rho\left(g, e^{\imath \theta}\right) w=e^{\imath n \gamma \theta} g w \quad \text { with }\left(g, e^{\imath \theta}\right) \in \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathbb{S}^{1} .
$$

- The derivative $\rho_{*}$ is easily computed as

$$
\rho_{*}(X, \imath x) w=\left(X+\imath n_{Y} \times \operatorname{Id}\right) w \quad \text { with }(X, \imath x) \in \mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s u}(2) \times \imath \mathbb{R} .
$$

## Remark on the representation in the Standard Model

The hypotheses on the representation $\rho_{*}$ are natural in physics.

- The electroweak model : $G=\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathbb{S}^{1}$ and $\mathcal{W}=\mathbb{C}^{2}$
- The representation $\rho$ is given by

$$
\rho\left(g, e^{\imath \theta}\right) w=e^{\imath n \gamma \theta} g w \quad \text { with }\left(g, e^{\imath \theta}\right) \in \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathbb{S}^{1} .
$$

- The derivative $\rho_{*}$ is easily computed as

$$
\rho_{*}(X, \imath x) w=\left(X+\imath n_{Y} \times \operatorname{Id}\right) w \quad \text { with }(X, \imath x) \in \mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s u}(2) \times \imath \mathbb{R} .
$$

- For $n_{Y} \neq 0$ we see that $\rho_{*}$ is fully charged and $\operatorname{Ker} \rho_{*}=\{0\}$.


## Remark on the representation in the Standard Model

The hypotheses on the representation $\rho_{*}$ are natural in physics.

- The electroweak model : $G=\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathbb{S}^{1}$ and $\mathcal{W}=\mathbb{C}^{2}$
- The representation $\rho$ is given by

$$
\rho\left(g, e^{\imath \theta}\right) w=e^{\imath n \gamma \theta} g w \quad \text { with }\left(g, e^{\imath \theta}\right) \in \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathbb{S}^{1} .
$$

- The derivative $\rho_{*}$ is easily computed as

$$
\rho_{*}(X, \imath x) w=\left(X+\imath n_{Y} \times \operatorname{Id}\right) w \quad \text { with }(X, \imath x) \in \mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s u}(2) \times \imath \mathbb{R} .
$$

- For $n_{Y} \neq 0$ we see that $\rho_{*}$ is fully charged and $\operatorname{Ker} \rho_{*}=\{0\}$.
- The centre of the Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{u}(1)=\imath \mathbb{R}$.


## Remark on the representation in the Standard Model

The hypotheses on the representation $\rho_{*}$ are natural in physics.

- The electroweak model : $G=\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathbb{S}^{1}$ and $\mathcal{W}=\mathbb{C}^{2}$
- The representation $\rho$ is given by

$$
\rho\left(g, e^{\imath \theta}\right) w=e^{\imath n_{\gamma} \theta} g w \quad \text { with }\left(g, e^{\imath \theta}\right) \in \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathbb{S}^{1}
$$

- The derivative $\rho_{*}$ is easily computed as

$$
\rho_{*}(X, \imath x) w=\left(X+\imath n_{Y} x \operatorname{Id}\right) w \quad \text { with }(X, \imath x) \in \mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s u}(2) \times \imath \mathbb{R}
$$

- For $n_{Y} \neq 0$ we see that $\rho_{*}$ is fully charged and $\operatorname{Ker} \rho_{*}=\{0\}$.
- The centre of the Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{u}(1)=\imath \mathbb{R}$.
- The Standard Model : $G=\mathrm{SU}(3) \times \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathbb{S}^{1}$.


## Remark on the representation in the Standard Model

The hypotheses on the representation $\rho_{*}$ are natural in physics.

- The electroweak model : $G=\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathbb{S}^{1}$ and $\mathcal{W}=\mathbb{C}^{2}$
- The representation $\rho$ is given by

$$
\rho\left(g, e^{\imath \theta}\right) w=e^{\imath n_{\gamma} \theta} g w \quad \text { with }\left(g, e^{\imath \theta}\right) \in \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathbb{S}^{1}
$$

- The derivative $\rho_{*}$ is easily computed as

$$
\rho_{*}(X, \imath x) w=\left(X+\imath n_{Y} x \operatorname{Id}\right) w \quad \text { with }(X, \imath x) \in \mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s u}(2) \times \imath \mathbb{R}
$$

- For $n_{Y} \neq 0$ we see that $\rho_{*}$ is fully charged and $\operatorname{Ker} \rho_{*}=\{0\}$.
- The centre of the Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{u}(1)=\imath \mathbb{R}$.
- The Standard Model: $G=\mathrm{SU}(3) \times \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathbb{S}^{1}$.
- $\rho_{*}$ is fully charged and $\operatorname{Ker} \rho_{*}=\mathfrak{s u}(3)$


## Remark on the representation in the Standard Model

The hypotheses on the representation $\rho_{*}$ are natural in physics.
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- The representation $\rho$ is given by
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- For $n_{Y} \neq 0$ we see that $\rho_{*}$ is fully charged and Ker $\rho_{*}=\{0\}$.
- The centre of the Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{u}(1)=\imath \mathbb{R}$.
- The Standard Model: $G=\mathrm{SU}(3) \times \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathbb{S}^{1}$.
- $\rho_{*}$ is fully charged and $\operatorname{Ker} \rho_{*}=\mathfrak{s u}(3)$
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- CLOP simplified KLU's four-wave linearization to three-wave linearization for cubic wave equations (e.g. the Higgs equation)
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$$

- In previous projects, CLOP recovered the gauge field $A$ for decoupled YM equations and H equations by using the three-wave linearization and the method of broken X-ray.
- The challenge of coupled YMH is the coupling term $J_{\rho}(\cdot, \Phi)$.
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- The parallel transport equation of weighted principal symbols
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\begin{aligned}
\dot{w}_{\beta}+\left[A_{\gamma}(\dot{\gamma}), w_{\beta}\right]-\frac{1}{2} \dot{\gamma}_{\beta}(t) J_{\rho}(v, \Phi(\gamma(t))) & =0 \\
\dot{v}+\rho_{*}\left(A_{\gamma}(\dot{\gamma})\right) v & =0
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- The coupled parallel transport with Higgs fields

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\gamma}^{A, \Phi, \rho}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{P}_{\gamma}^{A, \mathrm{Ad}} & \left(\mathrm{P}_{\gamma}^{A, \Phi, \rho}\right)_{12} \\
0 & \mathrm{P}_{\gamma}^{A, \rho}
\end{array}\right)
$$
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- Direct strategy: recover $(A, \Phi)$ from the coupled broken X-ray?

$$
\mathrm{S}_{z \leftarrow y \leftarrow x}^{A, \Phi, \rho}=\mathrm{P}_{z \leftarrow y}^{A, \Phi, \rho} \circ \mathrm{P}_{y \leftarrow x}^{A, \Phi, \rho}
$$

- Smart strategy: invoke sources such that one can gain $A$ first and then use other sources to recover $\Phi$ provided $A$ known.
- This amounts to making holes on the matrix $\mathrm{S}_{z \leftarrow y \leftarrow x}^{A, \Phi, \rho}$ to obtain solutions to linear equations with fewer coupling terms.
- Source 1: $(J, 0)$
- It marginalizes the coupling term.
- We can extract the decoupled X-ray transform $\mathrm{S}_{2 \leftarrow y \leftarrow x}^{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{Ad}}$.
- Source 2: $\left(0, J_{(2)}, J_{(3)}, \mathcal{F}_{(1)}, 0,0\right)$ with $J$ abelian
- All of the commutator terms vanish.
- The broken X-ray $S_{z \&-\infty<x}^{A, \Phi_{,} \rho}$ has only one off-diagonal term.
- But the (2,2)-entry $S_{z \leftarrow y \leftarrow x}^{A, \rho}$ is intact.
- $A$ is recovered via $S_{z \leftarrow y \leftarrow x}^{A, A d \oplus \rho}$ as $Z(\mathfrak{g}) \cap \operatorname{Ker} \rho_{*}=\{0\}$.
- The measured off-diagonal contribution reads explicitly

$$
\left(\mathrm{P}_{z \leftarrow y}^{A, \Phi, \rho}\right)_{12}(v)=-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{P}_{z \leftarrow y}^{A, A d} \int_{t_{y}}^{t_{z}} J_{\rho}\left(\dot{\gamma}_{\beta}(s) v, \rho\left(U_{\gamma}^{A}(s)\right)^{-1} \Phi(\gamma(s))\right) d s
$$

- The non-degeneracy of $J_{\rho}$ (i.e. $\rho_{*}$ is fully charged) yields $\Phi$.
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