

A Robust Predictable L^∞ -Martingale
Representation Property for Marked Point
Processes and Super-Additive Insurance
Markets

Johannes Leitner
TU Vienna

December 2, 2008

Content

1. The Economic Model
2. Marked Point Process / Random Measures
3. Super-Additive Markets, No-Arbitrage
4. Irreversible / Dynamic Insurance Markets
5. Completeness / Hedging, Replication

The Economic Model

- New information arrives at stopping times,
- **Super-additive** (insurance) market,
- No-arbitrage, completeness, replication of contingent claims.

Marked Point Process

- (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) probability space. (E, \mathcal{B}) separable metric space, $\bar{E} := E \cup \{\Delta\}$, $\Delta \notin E$. E.g. $E = \mathbf{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$.
- Sequence $\mathbf{R}_+ \times \bar{E}$ -valued random variables $(T_n, X_n)_{n \geq 1}$ with
 1. Points: $T_0 := 0 < T_n < T_{n+1}$ on $\{T_n < \infty\}$, $n \geq 1$,
 2. Marks: $\{X_n = \Delta\} = \{T_n = \infty\}$, $n \geq 1$.
- Interpretation: price, interest rate, etc., jumps by X_n at T_n .

Random Measure

Corresponding random measure:

$$\mu(\omega, dt, dx) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \epsilon_{(T_n(\omega), X_n(\omega))}(dt, dx) \mathbf{1}_{\{T_n(\omega) < \infty\}}, \quad \omega \in \Omega,$$

$\epsilon_{(t,x)}$ probability measure concentrated in $(t, x) \in \mathbf{R}_+ \times \bar{E}$.

μ is optional w.r.t.

$$\mathcal{F}_t := \mathcal{F}_0 \vee \sigma\left(X_n \mathbf{1}_{[T_n, \infty)}(t), n \geq 1\right), t \geq 0.$$

Stochastic Integrals w.r.t. Random Measures

H predictable (optional) process, define **pathwise**
(if integral exists):

$$H * \mu_t(\omega) := \int_{[0,t] \times E} H(\omega, s, x) \mu(\omega; ds, dx), \quad \omega \in \Omega.$$

Marked point process: On $[0, \sup_{n \geq 1} T_n)$

$$H * \mu = \sum_{n \geq 1} H(T_n, X_n) \mathbf{1}_{[T_n, \infty)}.$$

Compensator of a Random Measures

Let μ be optional, σ -finite. There exists a unique **predictable** random measure $\hat{\mu}$ such that

$H * \mu - H * \hat{\mu}$ is a local **martingale**

for all predictable H such that $H * \mu$ is of locally integrable total variation.

$\hat{\mu}$ is the predictable compensator of μ .

\exists kernel K from $(\Omega \times \mathbf{R}_+, \mathcal{P})$ into (E, \mathcal{B}) and non-decreasing predictable process A such that:

$$\hat{\mu}(\omega; dt, dx) = K(\omega, t, dx) dA_t(\omega).$$

Counting process $N := \sum_{n \geq 1} \mathbf{1}_{[T_n, \infty)}$, Compensator $\hat{N} = A$

Assume throughout

- \hat{N} is continuous.
- \hat{N}_∞ is uniformly bounded.

Insurance Market

$K(t, dx)$ describes the law of X_n given \mathcal{F}_{t-} and $T_n = t$.

$H(\omega, t, x) = x\mathbf{1}_{x>0}$, $\lambda \geq 0$ **security loading**:

$$H * \mu - H * \left((1 + \lambda) \cdot \hat{\mu} \right) = H * \mu - \left(E_K[H \cdot] (1 + \lambda) \right) \cdot \hat{N}$$

is a **risk process**.

Spaces of predictable integrands

$H : \Omega \times \mathbf{R}_+ \times E \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ measurable w.r.t. $\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ (predictable)

Insurance claim equals $H(\omega, t, x)$ if $(T_n(\omega), X_n(\omega)) = (t, x)$

$G_\infty := \{H \text{ predictable}\} \cap L^\infty$, and for $p < \infty$

$$G_p := \{H \text{ predictable} \mid \int_E |H(\cdot; x)|^p K(\cdot; dx) \in L^\infty\}.$$

Change of measure

For q conjugate to p set

$$G_q^{++} := \{Y \in G_q | Y > 0\}.$$

For $Y \in G_1^{++}$ and $M^Y := (Y - 1) * (\mu - \hat{\mu})$ define Q^Y by

$$\frac{dQ^Y}{dP} = \mathcal{E}(M^Y)_\infty > 0.$$

W.r.t. Q^Y the compensator $\hat{\mu}^{Q^Y}$ of μ is given as $Y \cdot \hat{\mu}$.

Integrability Condition

$(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{Y}) := (G_p, G_q^{++})$ satisfies the following condition

(INT) For all $(H, Y) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Y}$, we have $Q^Y \sim P$ and $H * (\mu - \hat{\mu}^{Q^Y}) = H * \mu - (HY) * \hat{\mu}$ is a uniformly integrable Q^Y -martingale.

Super-Additive Markets

Linear space $\mathcal{V}_0 \subseteq L^1(\mathcal{F}_0)$ of initial capitals, \mathcal{H} linear space (of actions in a market)

Functional $W : \mathcal{V}_0 \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L^0, (v, H) \mapsto W_v^H$ such that $W_v^0 = v$ and

$$W_{v+\hat{v}}^{H+\hat{H}} \geq W_v^H + W_{\hat{v}}^{\hat{H}}.$$

EMM Condition

$\mathcal{Z} \neq \emptyset$, $Z > 0$ for all $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$.

(EMM) For all $(v, H) \in \mathcal{V}_0 \times \mathcal{H}$ there exists a $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$ such that

(i) $ZW_v^H \in L^1$,

(ii) $E[ZW_v^H | \mathcal{F}_0] = v$.

Abstract No-Arbitrage

Let $(v, H), (\tilde{v}, \tilde{H}) \in \mathcal{V}_0 \times \mathcal{H}$.

Proposition. *Under Condition (EMM) we have*

$$W_v^H \leq W_{\tilde{v}}^{\tilde{H}} \text{ and } v \geq \tilde{v} \text{ imply } v = \tilde{v} \text{ and } W_v^H = W_{\tilde{v}}^{\tilde{H}}.$$

I.e. a no-domination property holds:

$$W_v^H \leq W_{\tilde{v}}^{\tilde{H}} \text{ and } P(W_v^H < W_{\tilde{v}}^{\tilde{H}}) > 0 \text{ imply } P(v < \tilde{v}) > 0.$$

In particular the no-arbitrage property holds:

$$W_v^H \geq v = W_v^0 \text{ implies } W_v^H = v.$$

Worst Case Scenario Condition

Let $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Y} \subseteq G_p \times G_q^{++}$, (INT).

(WCS) For all $H \in \mathcal{H}$ there exists a $Y^H \in \mathcal{Y}$ such that
 $(HY^H) * \hat{\mu}_\infty \leq (HY) * \hat{\mu}_\infty$ for all $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$.

The Irreversible Insurance Market

Assume $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{Y})$ to satisfy property (WCS).

For $(v, H) \in L^p(\mathcal{F}_0) \times \mathcal{H}$, define

$$\begin{aligned} W_v^H &:= v + (H * \mu)_\infty - (HY^{-H}) * \hat{\mu}_\infty \\ &= v + (H * \mu)_\infty - \left(\int_E HY^{-H} dK \right) \cdot \hat{N}_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Let $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{Y})$ satisfy Conditions (INT) and (WCS).

Set $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{Y}} := \left\{ \frac{dQ^Y}{dP} \mid Y \in \mathcal{Y} \right\}$:

Proposition. *$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ satisfies property (EMM). I.e. the insurance market described by $\{W_v^H \mid v \in L^p(\mathcal{F}_0), H \in \mathcal{H}\}$ satisfies the **no-domination** condition.*

Irreversible Contracts

Y^{-H} does in general not equal $Y^{-H}\mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}$ on $[0, t]$.

Change of contract not possible.

Pricing in general not compatible with starting and stopping.

Decomposability

Definition. We say that \mathcal{Y} is \mathcal{P} -decomposable if $\mathcal{Y} \neq \emptyset$ and for all $A \in \mathcal{P}$ and $Y, \tilde{Y} \in \mathcal{Y}$, $\mathbf{1}_A Y + \mathbf{1}_{A^c} \tilde{Y} \in \mathcal{Y}$ holds.

Set $\mathcal{Y}_H := \{Y^H \in \mathcal{Y} \mid \langle H, Y^H \rangle_K = \text{essinf}_{Y \in \mathcal{Y}} \langle H, Y \rangle_K > -\infty\}$ for all $H \in \mathcal{H}$.

Proposition. If $\mathcal{H} \subseteq L^p$ and \mathcal{Y} is \mathcal{P} -decomposable and weakly compact in L^q , then it satisfies Condition (WCS) and $\mathcal{Y}_H \neq \emptyset$ for all $H \in \mathcal{H}$. Furthermore, under Condition (INT) no-arbitrage holds.

Dynamic Insurance Markets

Assume \mathcal{Y} to be \mathcal{P} -decomposable weakly compact in L^q .

For $(v, H) \in L^p(\mathcal{F}_0) \times L^p$ choose $Y^{-H} \in \mathcal{Y}_{-H}$ and define the semimartingale value process

$$\begin{aligned} V^{v,H} &:= v + H * \mu - (HY^{-H}) * \hat{\mu} \\ &= v + H * \mu - \left(\int_E HY^{-H} dK \right) \cdot \hat{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Starting and Stopping

Proposition. *Assume \mathcal{Y} to be \mathcal{P} -decomposable, weakly compact in L^q .*

Then for all $(v, H) \in L^p(\mathcal{F}_0) \times L^p$ and all stopping times $\tau_0 \leq \tau_1$,

$V^{v,H} - V_{\tau_0}^{v,H}$ equals $V^{0,H} \mathbf{1}_{(\tau_0, \tau_1]}$ on $[\tau_0, \tau_1]$.

Robustness and Uniqueness

Assume $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{Y})$ to satisfy (INT), and \mathcal{Y} to be \mathcal{P} -decomposable, weakly compact in L^q .

Theorem. *For all $(v, H) \in L^p(\mathcal{F}_0) \times \mathcal{H}$, $V^{v, H}$ is a local Q^Y -supermartingale for all $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ and there exists a $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ such that $V^{v, H}$ is a uniformly integrable Q^Y -martingale. No-arbitrage holds and uniqueness: $V_\infty^{v, H} = V_\infty^{\tilde{v}, \tilde{H}}$ for $(v, H), (\tilde{v}, \tilde{H}) \in L^p(\mathcal{F}_0) \times \mathcal{H}$ implies $V^{v, H} = V^{\tilde{v}, \tilde{H}}$.*

Robust Compensator

Define a *time-additive/spatially super-additive* random measure $\mathcal{Y} \cdot \hat{\mu}$ by

$$H * (\mathcal{Y} \cdot \hat{\mu}) := \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{Y \in \mathcal{Y}} \langle H, Y \rangle_K \cdot \hat{N}, \quad H \in \mathcal{H}.$$

$\mathcal{Y} \cdot \hat{\mu}$ can be interpreted as a **robust** compensator for μ w.r.t. the probability measures in the closed convex hull of $\{Q^Y \mid Y \in \mathcal{Y}\}$.

$V^{v,H} = v + H * \mu - H * (\mathcal{Y} \cdot \hat{\mu})$ is a local Q^Y -**super-martingale** for all $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$, resp. a uniformly integrable Q^Y -martingale for all $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_H$.

Example

Assume insurance contracts, described by $H^i \in \mathcal{H}, 1 \leq i \leq N$, to be given. Consider a market where trading in $V^i := V^{0,H^i}$ is possible under a **short-sale restriction**:

We assume for all $W^i \in L_+^\infty$, that $V := \sum_{i=1}^N W^i \cdot V^i$ is an attainable value process. Since $V = \sum_{i=1}^N V^{0,W^i H^i} \leq V^{0,H}$ for $H := \sum_{i=1}^N W^i H^i$, the resulting market is still arbitrage free, an investor never loses and possibly gains, buying the insurance H instead of trading in the single contracts V^i .

Main Result

Assume $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq G_1^{++}$ to be \mathcal{P} -decomposable and $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ (or $Q^{\mathcal{Y}} := \{Q^Y \mid Y \in \mathcal{Y}\}$) to be weakly compact in $L^1(\Omega)$, and

$(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in \mathbf{R}_+}$ to equal the internal filtration generated by μ and \mathcal{F}_0 :

Theorem. *μ has the robust predictable martingale representation property for $L^\infty(\mathcal{F}_\infty)$ with respect to the closed convex hull of $Q^{\mathcal{Y}}$.*

Hedging in Dynamic Insurance Markets.

Definition. We say that \mathcal{Y} is \mathcal{P} -**additive** if \mathcal{Y} is \mathcal{P} -decomposable and if the predictable process $\Lambda := \langle \mathbf{1}, Y \rangle_K$ does not depend on $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$.

\mathcal{P} -additive $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq G_1^+$, Q^Y -compensator of N :

$$\hat{N}^{Q^Y} = \Lambda \cdot \hat{N}, \quad Y \in \mathcal{Y}.$$

The law of N , resp. $(T_i)_{i \geq 1}$, under Q^Y does not depend on $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$.

Coherent Risk Measures

\mathcal{Y} \mathcal{P} -additive, weakly compact in G_q^{++} , $H \in G_p$:

$$\rho.(H) := -\text{essinf}_{Y \in \mathcal{Y}} \langle H, Y \rangle_K \Lambda^{-1} \in L^1(\hat{\Omega}),$$

$(v, H) \in L^p(\mathcal{F}_0) \times G_p$:

$$V^{v,H} = v + H * \mu - \rho.(-H) \cdot (\Lambda \cdot \hat{N}).$$

Random Set Theory:

$H.(x) := H(\cdot; x), x \in E, \tilde{\rho}_t : L^p(dK_t) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}:$

$$\tilde{\rho}.(H.) = \rho.(H).$$

E.g. law invariant **risk measure**:

$$\tilde{\rho}.(H.) := - \int_0^1 F.^{\leftarrow}(u)g.(1 - u)du,$$

Representation: Finite Jump Case

$$\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{Y} \subseteq L^\infty(\tilde{\Omega}) \times G_1^{++}. \quad v \in L^\infty(\mathcal{F}_0) \text{ and } H \in L^\infty(\tilde{\Omega})$$

Consider the following SDE:

$$V = v + (H - V_-) * \mu + \text{essinf}_{Y \in \mathcal{Y}} \langle V_- - H, Y \rangle_K \cdot \hat{N}. \quad (1)$$

with terminal condition $V_\infty = Z \in L^\infty$.

Translation invariance of ρ implies $Y^{-H} = Y^{V_- - H}$!

Linear Inhomogeneous ODE

On $[T_i, T_{i+1}]$, wlog $i = 0$, $(T, X) := (T_1, X_1)$:

$$\begin{aligned} V &= v + (H - V_-) * \mu - \langle H, Y^{-H} \rangle_K \cdot \hat{N} + V_- \cdot (\Lambda \cdot \hat{N}) \\ &= v + (H - V_-) * \mu - (\rho \cdot (-H) - V_-) \cdot (\Lambda \cdot \hat{N}). \end{aligned}$$

$$V_T = V_{T-} + \Delta V_T = H(T, X) \text{ on } \{T < \infty\}.$$

We can try to choose v such that $V_\infty = Z$ on $\{T = \infty\}$ too !

Internal Filtration

Condition $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ generated by \mathcal{F}_0 and $\mu: Z \in L^\infty(\mathcal{F}_T)$

$$Z = \tilde{H}(T, X)\mathbf{1}_{\{T < \infty\}} + \tilde{H}_\infty\mathbf{1}_{\{T = \infty\}},$$

for $\tilde{H} : \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is uniformly bounded and $\mathcal{F}_0 \otimes \mathcal{B}_+ \otimes \mathcal{B}$ -measurable and $H_\infty : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is uniformly bounded and \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable.

Explicit Solution

$$v := \frac{\tilde{H}_\infty}{\mathcal{E}(\tilde{\Lambda} \cdot \tilde{N})_\infty} + \frac{\langle \tilde{H}, \tilde{Y}^{-H} \rangle_{\tilde{K}}}{\mathcal{E}(\tilde{\Lambda} \cdot \tilde{N})} \cdot \tilde{N}_\infty. \quad (2)$$

Define

$$R := v - \frac{\langle \tilde{H}, \tilde{Y}^{-H} \rangle_{\tilde{K}}}{\mathcal{E}(\tilde{\Lambda} \cdot \tilde{N})} \cdot \tilde{N}. \quad (3)$$

For $\tilde{V} := \mathcal{E}(\tilde{\Lambda} \cdot \tilde{N})R$, we have on $[0, T)$:

$$V = \tilde{V}.$$

Applications

- **Term structure** of (defaultable) zero bonds,
- Time-Discretized versions can be applied to CDS-pricing, **risk transfer** problems.