

Johann Radon Institute for Computational and Applied Mathematics Austrian Academy of Sciences (ÖAW)



On alternative quantization for doubly weighted approximation and integration over unbounded domains

P. Kritzer, F. Pillichshammer, L. Plaskota, G.W. Wasilkowski

RICAM-Report 2019-23

On alternative quantization for doubly weighted approximation and integration over unbounded domains

P. Kritzer,* F. Pillichshammer,* L. Plaskota,* G. W. Wasilkowski

July 9, 2019

Abstract

It is known that for a ρ -weighted L_q -approximation of single variable functions f with the rth derivatives in a ψ -weighted L_p space, the minimal error of approximations that use n samples of f is proportional to $\|\omega^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1}^{\alpha}\|f^{(r)}\psi\|_{L_p}n^{-r+(1/p-1/q)_+}$, where $\omega = \rho/\psi$ and $\alpha = r - 1/p + 1/q$. Moreover, the optimal sample points are determined by quantiles of $\omega^{1/\alpha}$. In this paper, we show how the error of best approximations changes when the sample points are determined by a quantizer κ other than ω . Our results can be applied in situations when an alternative quantizer has to be used because ω is not known exactly or is too complicated to handle computationally. The results for q = 1 are also applicable to ρ -weighted integration over unbounded domains.

Keywords: quantization, weighted approximation, weighted integration, unbounded domains, piecewise Taylor approximation *MSC 2010:* 41A25, 41A55, 41A60

1 Introduction

In various applications, continuous objects (signals, images, etc.) are represented (or approximated) by their discrete counterparts. That is, we deal with *quantization*. From a pure mathematics point of view, quantization often leads to approximating functions from a given space by step functions or, more generally, by (quasi-)interpolating piecewise polynomials of certain degree. Then it is important to know which quantizer should be used, or how to select n break points (knots) to make the error of approximation as small as possible.

It is well known that for L_q approximation on a compact interval D = [a, b] in the space $F_p^r(D)$ of real-valued functions f such that $f^{(r)} \in L_p(D)$, the choice of an optimal quantizer is not a big issue, since equidistant knots lead to approximations with optimal L_q error

$$c(b-a)^{\alpha} \|f^{(r)}\|_{L_q} n^{-r+(1/p-1/q)_+}$$
 with $\alpha := r - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q},$ (1)

^{*}P. Kritzer is supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): Project F5506-N26, which is a part of the Special Research Program "Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods: Theory and Applications".

[†]F. Pillichshammer is supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): Project F5509-N26, which is a part of the Special Research Program "Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods: Theory and Applications".

[‡]L. Plaskota is supported by the National Science Centre, Poland: Project 2017/25/B/ST1/00945.

where c depends only on r, p, and q, and where $x_+ := \max(x, 0)$. The problem becomes more complicated if we switch to weighted approximation on unbounded domains. A generalization of (1) to this case was given in [5], and it reads as follows. Assume for simplicity that the domain $D = \mathbb{R}_+ := [0, +\infty)$. Let $\psi, \varrho : D \to (0, +\infty)$ be two positive and integrable weight functions. For a positive integer r and $1 \leq p, q \leq +\infty$, consider the ϱ -weighted L_q approximation in the linear space $F_{p,\psi}^r(D)$ of functions $f : D \to \mathbb{R}$ with absolutely (locally) continuous (r-1)st derivative and such that the ψ -weighted L_p norm of $f^{(r)}$ is finite, i.e., $||f^{(r)}\psi||_{L_p} < +\infty$. Note that the spaces $F_{p,\psi}^r(D)$ have been introduced in [7], and the role of ψ is to moderate their size.

Denote

$$\omega := \frac{\varrho}{\psi},\tag{2}$$

and suppose that ω and ψ are nonincreasing on D, and that

$$\|\omega^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1} := \int_D \omega^{1/\alpha}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x < +\infty.$$
(3)

It was shown in [5, Theorem 1] that then one can construct approximations using n knots with ρ -weighted L_q error at most

$$c_1 \| \omega^{1/\alpha} \|_{L_1}^{\alpha} \| f^{(r)} \psi \|_{L_p} n^{-r + (1/p - 1/q)_+}$$

This means that if (3) holds true, then the upper bound on the worst-case error is proportional to $\|\omega^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1}^{\alpha} n^{-r+(1/p-1/q)_+}$. The convergence rate $n^{-r+(1/p-1/q)_+}$ is optimal and a corresponding lower bound implies that if (3) is not satisfied then the rate $n^{-r+(1/p-1/q)_+}$ cannot be reached (see [5, Theorem 3]).

The optimal knots

$$0 = x_0^* < x_1^* < \ldots < x_{n-1}^* < x_n^* = +\infty$$

are determined by quantiles of $\omega^{1/\alpha}$, to be more precise,

$$\int_{0}^{x_{i}^{*}} \omega^{1/\alpha}(t) \, \mathrm{d}t = \frac{i}{n} \, \|\omega^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_{1}}.$$
(4)

In order to use the optimal quantizer (4) one has to know ω ; otherwise he has to rely on some approximations of ω . Moreover, even if ω is known, it may be a complicated and/or non-monotonic function and therefore difficult to handle computationally. Driven by this motivation, the purpose of the present paper is to generalize the results of [5] even further to see how the quality of best approximations will change if the optimal quantizer ω is replaced in (4) by another quantizer κ .

A general answer to the aforementioned question is given in Theorems 1 and 3 of Section 2. They show, respectively, tight (up to a constant) upper and lower bounds for the error when a quantizer κ with $\|\kappa^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1} < +\infty$ instead of ω is used to determine the knots. To be more specific, define

$$\mathcal{E}_p^q(\omega,\kappa) = \left\|\frac{\omega}{\kappa}\right\|_{L_\infty} \quad \text{for } p \le q,$$
(5)

and

$$\mathcal{E}_p^q(\omega,\kappa) = \left(\int_D \frac{\kappa^{1/\alpha}(x)}{\|\kappa^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1}} \left(\frac{\omega(x)}{\kappa(x)}\right)^{\frac{1}{1/q-1/p}} \mathrm{d}x \right)^{1/q-1/p} \quad \text{for } p \ge q.$$
(6)

(Note that (5) and (6) are consistent for p = q.) If $\mathcal{E}_p^q(\omega, \kappa) < +\infty$ then the best achievable error is proportional to

$$\|\kappa^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1}^{\alpha} \mathcal{E}_p^q(\omega,\kappa) \|f^{(r)}\psi\|_{L_p} n^{-r+(1/p-1/q)_+}.$$

This means, in particular, that for the error to behave as $n^{-r+(1/p-1/q)_+}$ it is sufficient (but not necessary) that $\kappa(x)$ decreases no faster than $\omega(x)$ as $|x| \to +\infty$. For instance, if the optimal quantizer is Gaussian, $\omega(x) = \exp(-x^2/2)$, then the optimal rate is still preserved if its exponential substitute $\kappa(x) = \exp(-a|x|)$ with arbitrary a > 0 is used. It also shows that, in case ω is not exactly known, it is much safer to overestimate than underestimate it, see also Example 5.

The use of a quantizer κ as above results in approximations that are worse than the optimal approximations by the factor of

$$\operatorname{FCTR}(p,q,\omega,\kappa) = \frac{\|\kappa^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1}^{\alpha}}{\|\omega^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1}^{\alpha}} \mathcal{E}_p^q(\omega,\kappa) \ge 1.$$

In Section 3, we calculate the exact values of this factor for various combinations of weights ρ , ψ , and κ , including: Gaussian, exponential, log-normal, logistic, and *t*-Student. It turns out that in many cases FCTR(p, q, ω, κ) is quite small, so that the loss in accuracy of approximation is well compensated by simplification of the weights.

The results for q = 1 are also applicable for problems of approximating ρ -weighted integrals

$$\int_D f(x) \,\varrho(x) \,\mathrm{d}x \quad \text{for} \quad f \in F^r_{p,\psi}(D).$$

More precisely, the worst case errors of quadratures that are integrals of the corresponding piecewise interpolation polynomials approximating functions $f \in F^r_{p,\psi}(D)$ are the same as the errors for the ρ -weighted $L_1(D)$ approximations. Hence their errors, proportional to n^{-r} , are (modulo a constant) the best possible among all quadratures. These results are especially important for unbounded domains, e.g., $D = \mathbb{R}_+$ or $D = \mathbb{R}$. For such domains, the integrals are often approximated by Gauss-Laguerre rules and Gauss-Hermite rules, respectively, see, e.g., [1, 3, 6]; however, their efficiency requires smooth integrands and the results are asymptotic. Moreover, it is not clear which Gaussian rules should be used when ψ is not a constant function. But, even for $\psi \equiv 1$, it is likely that the worst case errors (with respect to $F_{p,\psi}^r$) of Gaussian rules are much larger than $O(n^{-r})$, since the Weierstrass theorem holds only for compact D. A very interesting extension of Gaussian rules to functions with singularities has been proposed in [2]. However, the results of [2] are also asymptotic and it is not clear how the proposed rules behave for functions from spaces $F_{p,\psi}^r$. In the present paper, we deal with functions of bounded smoothness $(r < +\infty)$ and provide worst-case error bounds that are minimal. We stress here that the regularity degree r is a fixed but arbitrary positive integer. The paper [4] proposes a different approach to the weighted integration over unbounded domains; however, it is restricted to regularity r = 1 only.

The paper is organized as follows. In the following section, we present ideas and results about alternative quantizers. The main results are Theorems 1 and 3. In Section 3, we apply our results to some specific cases for which numerical values of $FCTR(p, q, \omega, \kappa)$ are calculated.

2 Optimal versus alternative quantizers

We consider ρ -weighted L_q approximation in the space $F_{p,\psi}^r(D)$ as defined in the introduction; however, in contrast to [5], we do not assume that the weights ψ and ω are nonincreasing. Although the results of this paper pertain to domains D being an arbitrary interval, to begin with we assume that

$$D = \mathbb{R}_+$$

We will explain later what happens in the general case including $D = \mathbb{R}$.

Let the knots $0 = x_0 < \ldots < x_n = +\infty$ be determined by a nonincreasing function (quantizer) $\kappa : D \to (0, +\infty)$ satisfying $\|\kappa^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1} < +\infty$, i.e.,

$$\int_0^{x_i} \kappa^{1/\alpha}(t) \, \mathrm{d}t = \frac{i}{n} \, \|\kappa^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1} \quad \text{with} \quad \alpha = r - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}. \tag{7}$$

Let $\mathcal{T}_n f$ be a piecewise Taylor approximation of $f \in F^r_{p,\psi}(D)$ with break-points (7),

$$\mathcal{T}_n f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]}(x) \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \frac{f^{(k)}(x_{i-1})}{k!} (x - x_{i-1})^k.$$

We remind the reader of the definition of the quantity $\mathcal{E}_p^q(\omega, \kappa)$ in (5) and (6), which will be of importance in the following theorem.

Theorem 1 Suppose that

$$\mathcal{E}_p^q(\omega,\kappa) < +\infty.$$

Then for every $f \in F_{p,\psi}^q(D)$ we have

$$\|(f - \mathcal{T}_n f)\varrho\|_{L_q} \le c_1 \|\kappa^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1}^{\alpha} \mathcal{E}_p^q(\omega, \kappa) \|f^{(r)}\psi\|_{L_p} n^{-r + (1/p - 1/q)_+},$$
(8)

where

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{(r-1)! ((r-1)p^* + 1)^{1/p^*}}$$

Proof. We proceed as in the proof of [5, Theorem 1] to get that for $x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i)$

$$\begin{split} \varrho(x)|f(x) - \mathcal{T}_n f(x)| &= \varrho(x) \left| \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} f^{(r)}(t) \frac{(x-t)_+^{r-1}}{(r-1)!} \mathrm{d}t \right| \\ &\leq c_1 \frac{\omega(x)}{\kappa(x)} \left(\int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} |f^{(r)}(t)\psi(t)|^p \mathrm{d}t \right)^{1/p} \kappa(x) (x-x_{i-1})^{r-1/p}. \end{split}$$

Since (cf. [5, p.36])

$$\kappa(x)(x-x_i)^{r-1/p} \le (\kappa^{1/\alpha}(x))^{1/q} \left(\frac{\|\kappa^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1}}{n}\right)^{r-1/p}$$

the error is upper bounded as follows:

$$\|(f - \mathcal{T}_n f)\varrho\|_{L_q} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} \varrho^q(x) |f(x) - \mathcal{T}_n f(x)|^q \mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/q}$$

$$\leq c_1 \left(\frac{\|\kappa^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1}}{n}\right)^{r-1/p} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} \kappa^{1/\alpha}(x) \left(\frac{\omega(x)}{\kappa(x)}\right)^q \mathrm{d}x\right) \left(\int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} |f^{(r)}(t)\psi(t)|^p \mathrm{d}t\right)^{q/p}\right)^{1/q}.$$
 (9)

Now we maximize the right hand side of (9) subject to

$$\|f^{(r)}\psi\|_{L_p}^p = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} |f^{(r)}(t)\psi(t)|^p \mathrm{d}t = 1.$$

After the substitution

$$A_i := \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} \kappa^{1/\alpha}(x) \left(\frac{\omega(x)}{\kappa(x)}\right)^q \mathrm{d}x, \qquad B_i := \left(\int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} |f^{(r)}(t)\psi(t)|^p \mathrm{d}t\right)^{q/p},$$

this is equivalent to

maximizing $\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i B_i$ subject to $\sum_{i=1}^{n} B_i^{p/q} = 1$.

We have two cases:

For $p \leq q$, we set $i^* = \arg \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} A_i$, and use Jensen's inequality to obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i B_i \le A_{i^*} \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_i \le A_{i^*} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} B_i^{p/q} \right)^{q/p} = A_{i^*}.$$

Hence the maximum equals A_{i^*} and it is attained at $B_i^* = 1$ for $i = i^*$, and $B_i^* = 0$ otherwise. In this case, the maximum is upper bounded by $\|\omega/\kappa\|_{L_{\infty}}^q \|\kappa^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1}/n$, which means that

$$\|(f-\mathcal{T}_n f)\varrho\|_{L_q} \leq c_1 \left(\frac{\|\kappa^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1}}{n}\right)^{\alpha} \left\|\frac{\omega}{\kappa}\right\|_{L_{\infty}} \|f^{(r)}\psi\|_{L_p}$$

For p > q we use the method of Lagrange multipliers and find this way that the maximum equals

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i^{\frac{1}{1-q/p}}\right)^{1-q/p} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} \kappa^{1/\alpha}(x) \left(\frac{\omega(x)}{\kappa(x)}\right)^q \mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{1}{1-q/p}}\right)^{1-q/p},$$
and at

and is attained at

$$B_{i}^{*} = \left(\frac{A_{i}^{\frac{1}{1-q/p}}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{j}^{\frac{1}{1-q/p}}}\right)^{q/p}, \qquad 1 \le i \le n.$$

Since 1/(1-q/p) > 1, by the probabilistic version of Jensen's inequality with density $n \kappa^{1/\alpha} / \|\kappa^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1}$, we have

$$\left(\int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} \kappa^{1/\alpha}(x) \left(\frac{\omega(x)}{\kappa(x)}\right)^q \mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{1}{1-q/p}} \le \left(\frac{\|\kappa^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1}}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{p/q-1}} \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} \kappa^{1/\alpha}(x) \left(\frac{\omega(x)}{\kappa(x)}\right)^{\frac{1}{1/q-1/p}} \mathrm{d}x.$$

This implies that

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n A_i^{\frac{1}{1-q/p}}\right)^{1-q/p} \le \left(\frac{\|\kappa^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1}}{n}\right)^{q/p} \left(\int_0^{+\infty} \kappa^{1/\alpha}(x) \left(\frac{\omega(x)}{\kappa(x)}\right)^{\frac{1}{1/q-1/p}} \mathrm{d}x\right)^{1-q/p},$$

and finally

$$\|(f - \mathcal{T}_n f)\varrho\|_{L_q} \le c_1 \left(\frac{\|\kappa^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1}}{n}\right)^r \left(\int_0^{+\infty} \kappa^{1/\alpha}(x) \left(\frac{\omega(x)}{\kappa(x)}\right)^{\frac{1}{1/q-1/p}} \mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/q-1/p} \|f^{(r)}\psi\|_{L_p},$$

as claimed since $1/q - 1/p = \alpha - r$.

Remark 2 If derivatives of f are difficult to compute or to sample, a piecewise Lagrange interpolation \mathcal{L}_n can be used, as in [5]. Then the result is slightly weaker than that of the present Theorem 1; namely (cf. [5, Theorem 2]), there exists $c'_1 > 0$ depending only on p, q, qand r, such that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sup_{f \in F_{p,\psi}^r(D)} \frac{\|(f - \mathcal{L}_n f)\varrho\|_{L_q}}{\|f^{(r)}\psi\|_{L_p}} n^{r + (1/p - 1/q)_+} \le c_1' \|\kappa^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1}^{\alpha} \mathcal{E}_p^q(\omega, \kappa).$$

We now show that the error estimate of Theorem 1 cannot be improved.

Theorem 3 There exists $c_2 > 0$ depending only on p, q, and r with the following property. For any approximation \mathcal{A}_n that uses only information about function values and/or its derivatives (up to order r-1) at the knots x_0, \ldots, x_n given by (7), we have

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \sup_{f \in F_{p,\psi}^r(D)} \frac{\|(f - \mathcal{A}_n f)\varrho\|_{L_q}}{\|f^{(r)}\psi\|_{L_p}} n^{r - (1/p - 1/q)_+} \ge c_2 \|\kappa^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1}^{\alpha} \mathcal{E}_p^q(\omega, \kappa).$$
(10)

Proof. We fix n and consider first the weighted L_q approximation on $[0, x_{n-1})$ assuming that in this interval the weights are step functions with break points x_i given by (7). Let ψ_i , ϱ_i , $\omega_i = \rho_i/\psi_i$, and κ_i be correspondingly the values of ψ , ρ , ω , and κ on successive intervals $[x_{i-1}, x_i)$. Then we clearly have that $(x_i - x_{i-1})\kappa_i^{1/\alpha} = \|\kappa^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1(0, x_{n-1})}/(n-1)$. For simplicity, we write $I_i := (x_{i-1}, x_i)$. Let $f_i, 1 \le i \le n-1$, be functions supported on I_i ,

such that $f_i^{(j)}(x_{i-1}) = 0 = f_i^{(j)}(x_i)$ for $0 \le j \le r-1$, and

$$||f_i||_{L_q(I_i)} \ge c_2 (x_i - x_{i-1})^{\alpha} ||f_i^{(r)}||_{L_p(I_i)}.$$
(11)

We also normalize f_i so that $||f_i^{(r)}||_{L_p(I_i)} = 1/\psi_i$. We stress that a positive c_2 in (11) exists and depends only on r, p, and q.

Since all $f_i^{(j)}$ nullify at the knots x_k , the 'sup' (worst case error) in (10) is bounded from below by

$$\operatorname{Sup}(n) := \sup \left\{ \| f \varrho \|_{L_q} : f = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_i f_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |\beta_i|^p = 1 \right\},\$$

where we used the fact that $\|f^{(r)}\psi\|_{L_p} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |\beta_i|^p\right)^{1/p}$. For such f we have

$$\begin{split} \|f\varrho\|_{L_{q}} &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \beta_{i}^{q} \|f_{i}\varrho\|_{L_{q}(I_{i})}^{q}\right)^{1/q} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(|\beta_{i}|\varrho_{i}\|f_{i}\|_{L_{q}(I_{i})}\right)^{q}\right)^{1/q} \\ &\geq c_{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(|\beta_{i}|\varrho_{i}(x_{i}-x_{i-1})^{\alpha}\|f_{i}^{(r)}\|_{L_{p}(I_{i})}\right)^{q}\right)^{1/q} \\ &= c_{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(|\beta_{i}|\frac{\omega_{i}}{\kappa_{i}}\kappa_{i}(x_{i}-x_{i-1})^{\alpha}\right)^{q}\right)^{1/q} \\ &= c_{2} \left(\frac{\|\kappa^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_{1}}}{n-1}\right)^{\alpha} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |\beta_{i}|^{q} \left(\frac{\omega_{i}}{\kappa_{i}}\right)^{q}\right)^{1/q}. \end{split}$$

Thus we arrive at a maximization problem that we already had in the proof of Theorem 1.

For $p \leq q$ we have

$$\operatorname{Sup}(n) = c_2 \left(\frac{\|\kappa^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1}}{n-1}\right)^{\alpha} \max_{1 \le i \le n-1} \frac{\omega_i}{\kappa_i} = c_2 \left(\frac{\|\kappa^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1}}{n-1}\right)^{\alpha} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 \le x < x_{n-1}} \frac{\omega(x)}{\kappa(x)},$$

while for p > q we have

$$\operatorname{Sup}(n) = c_2 \left(\frac{\|\kappa^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1}}{n-1}\right)^{\alpha} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\omega_i}{\kappa_i}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-r}}\right)^{\alpha-r}$$
$$= c_2 \left(\frac{\|\kappa^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1}}{n-1}\right)^r \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\|\kappa^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1}}{n-1}\right) \left(\frac{\omega_i}{\kappa_i}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-r}}\right)^{\alpha-r}$$
$$= c_2 \left(\frac{\|\kappa^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1}}{n-1}\right)^r \left(\int_0^{x_{n-1}} \kappa^{1/\alpha}(x) \left(\frac{\omega(x)}{\kappa(x)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-r}} \mathrm{d}x\right)^{\alpha-r},$$

as claimed.

For arbitrary weights, we replace ψ , ρ , and κ with the corresponding step functions with

$$\psi_{i} = \underset{x \in (x_{i-1}, x_{i})}{\operatorname{ess sup}} \psi(x), \quad \varrho_{i} = \underset{x \in (x_{i-1}, x_{i})}{\operatorname{ess sup}} \varrho(x), \quad \kappa_{i} = \left(\frac{\|\kappa^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_{1}}}{n(x_{i} - x_{i-1})}\right)^{\alpha}, \qquad 1 \le i \le n-1,$$

and go with n to $+\infty$.

We now comment on what happens when the domain is different from \mathbb{R}_+ . It is clear that Theorems 1 and 3 remain valid for D being a compact interval, say D = [0, c] with $c < +\infty$. Consider

$$D = \mathbb{R}$$

In this case, we assume that κ is nonincreasing on $[0, +\infty)$ and nondecreasing on $(-\infty, 0]$. We have 2n + 1 knots x_i , which are determined by the condition

$$\int_{0}^{x_{i}} \kappa^{1/\alpha}(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \, = \, \frac{i}{2n} \, \|\kappa^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_{1}(\mathbb{R})}, \qquad |i| \le n \tag{12}$$

(where $\int_0^{-a} = -\int_a^0$). Note that (12) automatically implies $x_0 = 0$. The piecewise Taylor approximation is also correspondingly defined for negative arguments. With these modifications, the corresponding Theorems 1 and 3 have literally the same formulation for $D = \mathbb{R}$ and for $D = \mathbb{R}_+$.

Observe that the error estimates of Theorems 1 and 3 for arbitrary κ differ from the error for optimal $\kappa = \omega$ by the factor

$$\operatorname{FCTR}(p,q,\omega,\kappa) := \frac{\|\kappa^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1}^{\alpha}}{\|\omega^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1}^{\alpha}} \mathcal{E}_p^q(\omega,\kappa).$$

From this definition it is clear that for any s, t > 0 we have

$$FCTR(p, q, s \,\omega, t \,\kappa) = FCTR(p, q, \omega, \kappa).$$

This quantity satisfies the following estimates.

Proposition 4 We have

$$1 = \operatorname{FCTR}(p, q, \omega, \omega) \leq \operatorname{FCTR}(p, q, \omega, \kappa) \leq \frac{\|\kappa^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1}^{\alpha}}{\|\omega^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1}^{\alpha}} \left\|\frac{\omega}{\kappa}\right\|_{L_{\infty}}.$$
 (13)

The rightmost inequality is actually an equality whenever $p \leq q$.

Proof. Assume without loss of generality that $\|\kappa^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1} = \|\omega^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1} = 1$, so that $\text{FCTR}(p, q, \omega, \kappa) = \mathcal{E}_p^q(\omega, \kappa)$. Then for any p and q

$$1 = \|\omega^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1}^{\alpha} \le \|\kappa^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1}^{\alpha} \left\|\frac{\omega^{1/\alpha}}{\kappa^{1/\alpha}}\right\|_{L_{\infty}}^{\alpha} = \left\|\frac{\omega}{\kappa}\right\|_{L_{\infty}},$$

which equals $\mathcal{E}_p^q(\omega,\kappa)$ for $p \leq q$. For p > q we have $(1/q - 1/p)/\alpha = 1 - r/\alpha < 1$, so that we can use Jensen's inequality to get

$$\mathcal{E}_p^q(\omega,\kappa) = \left(\int_D \kappa^{1/\alpha}(x) \left(\frac{\omega^{1/\alpha}(x)}{\kappa^{1/\alpha}(x)}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-r}} \mathrm{d}x\right)^{\left(\frac{\alpha-r}{\alpha}\right)\alpha} \ge \left(\int_D \kappa^{1/\alpha}(x) \left(\frac{\omega^{1/\alpha}(x)}{\kappa^{1/\alpha}(x)}\right) \mathrm{d}x\right)^{\alpha} = 1.$$

The remaining inequality $\mathcal{E}_p^q(\omega,\kappa) \leq \left\|\frac{\omega}{\kappa}\right\|_{L_{\infty}}$ is obvious.

Although the main idea of this paper is to replace ω by another function κ that is easier to handle, our results allow a further interesting observation that is illustrated in the following example.

Example 5 Let $D = \mathbb{R}$,

$$r = 1, \qquad p = +\infty, \qquad q = 1,$$

and the weights

$$\varrho(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(\frac{-x^2}{2}\right), \qquad \psi(x) = 1.$$

Then $\alpha = 2$ and 1/q - 1/p = 1, and $\omega(x) = \varrho(x)$. Suppose that instead of ω we use

$$\kappa_{\sigma}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(\frac{-x^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \quad \text{with} \quad \sigma^2 > 0$$

Since p > q, we have

$$FCTR(p,q,\omega,\kappa_{\sigma}) = \frac{\|\kappa_{\sigma}^{1/2}\|_{L_{1}}^{2}}{\|\omega^{1/2}\|_{L_{1}}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\kappa_{\sigma}^{1/2}(x)}{\|\kappa_{\sigma}^{1/2}\|_{L_{1}}} \frac{\omega(x)}{\kappa_{\sigma}(x)} \,\mathrm{d}x = \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } \sigma^{2} \le 1/2, \\ \frac{\sigma^{2}}{\sqrt{2\sigma^{2}-1}} & \text{if } \sigma^{2} > 1/2. \end{cases}$$

The graph of FCTR($p, q, \omega, \kappa_{\sigma}$) is drawn in Fig. 1. It follows that it is safer to overestimate the actual variance $\sigma^2 = 1$ than to underestimate it.

3 Special cases

Below we apply our results to specific weights ρ, ψ , and specific values of p and q.



Figure 1: Plot of $\operatorname{FCTR}(p,q,\omega,\kappa_{\sigma})$ versus σ^2 from Example 5

3.1 Gaussian ρ and ψ

Consider $D = \mathbb{R}$,

$$\varrho(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(\frac{-x^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(x) = \exp\left(\frac{-x^2}{2\lambda^2}\right)$$

for positive σ and λ . Since

$$\omega(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(\frac{-x^2}{2}\left(\sigma^{-2} - \lambda^{-2}\right)\right),$$

for $\|\omega^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1} < \infty$ we have to have $\lambda > \sigma$, and then

$$\|\omega^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1}^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\frac{\alpha 2\pi}{\sigma^{-2} - \lambda^{-2}}\right)^{\alpha/2}.$$

We propose using

$$\kappa(x) = \kappa_a(x) = \exp(-|x|a) \quad \text{for} \quad a > 0.$$

Then $\|\kappa_a^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1(D)} = 2\alpha/a$ and the points x_{-n}, \ldots, x_n satisfying (12),

$$\int_0^{x_i} \kappa_a^{1/\alpha}(t) \, \mathrm{d}t = \frac{i}{2n} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \kappa_a^{1/\alpha}(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \quad \text{for} \quad |i| \le n,$$

are given by

$$x_i = -x_{-i} = -\frac{\alpha}{a} \ln\left(1 - \frac{i}{n}\right) \quad \text{for } 0 \le i \le n.$$
(14)

In particular, we have

 $x_{-n} = -\infty$, $x_0 = 0$, and $x_n = \infty$.

We now consider the two cases $p \leq q$ and p > q separately:

3.1.1 Case of $p \le q$

Clearly

$$\mathcal{E}_p^q(\omega,\kappa_a) = \left\|\frac{\omega}{\kappa_a}\right\|_{L_{\infty}(D)} = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(\frac{a^2}{2\left(\sigma^{-2}-\lambda^{-2}\right)}\right)$$

and

$$\min_{a>0} \|\kappa_a^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1(D)}^{\alpha} \left\|\frac{\omega}{\kappa_a}\right\|_{L_{\infty}} \quad \text{is attained at} \quad a_* = \sqrt{\alpha \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{\lambda^2}\right)}.$$

Hence, for $p \leq q$ we have that

$$\operatorname{FCTR}(p, q, \omega, \kappa_{a_*}) = \left(\frac{2 \operatorname{e}}{\pi}\right)^{\alpha/2}.$$

Note that $FCTR(p, q, \omega, \kappa_{a_*})$ does not depend on σ and λ (as long as $\lambda > \sigma$). For instance, we have the following rounded values:

3.1.2 Case of p > q

We have now

$$\mathcal{E}_p^q(\omega,\kappa_a) = \left(\frac{a}{\alpha}\right)^{\alpha-r} \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} A^{\alpha-r},$$

where

$$\begin{split} A &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{x^{2} \left(\sigma^{-2} - \lambda^{-2}\right)}{2 \left(\alpha - r\right)} + \frac{a x r}{\alpha \left(\alpha - r\right)}\right) dx \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma^{-2} - \lambda^{-2}}{2 \left(\alpha - r\right)} \left(x - \frac{a r}{\alpha \left(\sigma^{-2} - \lambda^{-2}\right)}\right)^{2} + \frac{a^{2} r^{2}}{2 \alpha^{2} \left(\alpha - r\right) \left(\sigma^{-2} - \lambda^{-2}\right)}\right) dx \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{a^{2} r^{2}}{2 \alpha^{2} \left(\alpha - r\right) \left(\sigma^{-2} - \lambda^{-2}\right)}\right) \int_{-\frac{\alpha \left(\sigma^{-2} - \lambda^{-2}\right)}{\alpha \left(\sigma^{-2} - \lambda^{-2}\right)}}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{\left(\sigma^{-2} - \lambda^{-2}\right) t^{2}}{2 \left(\alpha - r\right)}\right) dt \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{a^{2} r^{2}}{2 \alpha^{2} \left(\alpha - r\right) \left(\sigma^{-2} - \lambda^{-2}\right)}\right) \sqrt{\frac{\pi \left(\alpha - r\right)}{2 \left(\sigma^{-2} - \lambda^{-2}\right)}} \left[1 + \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{a r}{\alpha \sqrt{2 \left(\alpha - r\right) \left(\sigma^{-2} - \lambda^{-2}\right)}}\right)\right], \end{split}$$

where $\operatorname{erf}(z) := \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^z e^{-t^2} dt$. This gives

$$\mathcal{E}_{p}^{q}(\omega,\kappa_{a}) = \left(\frac{a^{2}\pi(\alpha-r)}{\alpha^{2}2(\sigma^{-2}-\lambda^{-2})}\right)^{(\alpha-r)/2} \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(\frac{a^{2}r^{2}}{\alpha^{2}2(\sigma^{-2}-\lambda^{-2})}\right) \times \left[1 + \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{ar}{\alpha\sqrt{2(\alpha-r)(\sigma^{-2}-\lambda^{-2})}}\right)\right]^{\alpha-r}.$$

Since

$$\frac{\|\kappa_a^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1(D)}^{\alpha}}{\|\omega^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1(D)}^{\alpha}} = \sigma\sqrt{2\pi} \left(\frac{2\alpha(\sigma^{-2}-\lambda^{-2})}{\pi a^2}\right)^{\alpha/2}$$

we obtain

$$\operatorname{FCTR}(p,q,\omega,\kappa_a) = \left(\frac{2\alpha(\sigma^{-2}-\lambda^{-2})}{\pi a^2}\right)^{r/2} \left(\frac{\alpha-r}{\alpha}\right)^{(\alpha-r)/2} \exp\left(\frac{a^2 r^2}{2\alpha^2(\sigma^{-2}-\lambda^{-2})}\right) \\ \times \left[1 + \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{a r}{\alpha\sqrt{2(\alpha-r)(\sigma^{-2}-\lambda^{-2})}}\right)\right]^{\alpha-r}.$$

We provide some numerical tests for q = 1 and p = 2 or $p = \infty$. Then $\alpha = r + 1/2$ or $\alpha = r + 1$, respectively. Recall that results for q = 1 are also applicable to the ρ -integration problem.

For $r \in \{1, 2\}$, $p \in \{2, \infty\}$, $\lambda = 2$ and $\sigma = 1$, we vary *a* and obtain the following rounded values:

a	1	2	3	4		
$\overline{\text{FCTR}(2, 1, \omega, \kappa_a)}$	1.135	1.476	4.361	26.036	r = 1	n = 2
$FCTR(2, 1, \omega, \kappa_a)$	1.645	1.552	5.836	65.061	r = 2	p-2
$\overline{\mathrm{FCTR}(\infty, 1, \omega, \kappa_a)}$	1.172	1.179	1.979	4.920	r = 1	$n - \infty$
$\operatorname{FCTR}(\infty, 1, \omega, \kappa_a)$	1.733	1.269	2.617	11.826	r = 2	$p - \infty$

3.2 Gaussian ρ and Exponential ψ

Consider $D = \mathbb{R}$,

$$\varrho(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(\frac{-x^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(x) = \exp\left(\frac{-|x|}{\lambda}\right)$$

for positive λ and σ . Now

$$\omega(x) = \frac{\varrho(x)}{\psi(x)} = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{|x|}{\lambda}\right),\tag{15}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \|\omega^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1(D)}^{\alpha} &= \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(2\int_0^\infty \exp\left(\frac{-x^2}{2\sigma^2\alpha} + \frac{x}{\lambda\alpha}\right) \mathrm{d}x\right)^{\alpha} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(2\int_0^\infty \exp\left(\frac{-(x/\sigma - \sigma/\lambda)^2}{2\alpha} + \frac{\sigma^2}{2\lambda^2\alpha}\right) \mathrm{d}x\right)^{\alpha} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{2\lambda^2}\right) \left(\sigma\sqrt{2\pi\alpha}\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\int_{-\sigma/(\lambda\sqrt{2\alpha})}^\infty \exp(-y^2) \mathrm{d}y\right)^{\alpha} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{2\lambda^2}\right) \left(\sigma\sqrt{2\pi\alpha}\left(1 + \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{\sigma}{\lambda\sqrt{2\alpha}}\right)\right)\right)^{\alpha}. \end{split}$$

As before, we propose using $\kappa_a(x) = \exp(-|x|a)$. Hence $\|\kappa_a^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1} = 2\alpha/a$ and the points x_i are given by (14).

3.2.1 Case of $p \leq q$

We have

$$\mathcal{E}_p^q(\omega,\kappa_a) = \left\| \frac{\omega}{\kappa_a} \right\|_{L_{\infty}(D)} = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(\frac{\sigma^2 (a+\lambda^{-1})^2}{2}\right).$$

It is easy to verify that the minimum over a > 0 satisfies

$$\min_{a>0} \|\kappa_a^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1(D)}^{\alpha} \left\|\frac{\omega}{\kappa_a}\right\|_{L_{\infty}(D)} = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\frac{2\alpha}{a_*}\right)^{\alpha} \exp\left(\frac{\sigma^2 \left(a_* + \lambda^{-1}\right)^2}{2}\right)$$
$$a_* = \frac{\sqrt{1 + 4\alpha\lambda^2/\sigma^2} - 1}{2\lambda}.$$

Therefore

for

$$FCTR(p,q,\omega,\kappa_{a_*}) = \left(\sqrt{\frac{2\alpha}{\pi}} \frac{1}{a_*\sigma \left(1 + \operatorname{erf}(\sigma/\sqrt{2\alpha\lambda})\right)}\right)^{\alpha} \exp\left(\frac{\sigma^2 a_*(a_* + 2/\lambda)}{2}\right).$$

Note that the value of FCTR depends on p and q only via α . Rounded values of FCTR for $\alpha \in \{1, 2\}$ and $\sigma = 1$ and various λ 's are¹:

λ	1	5	10	20	30	100	
FCTR	1.723	1.183	1.162	1.174	1.188	1.231	$\alpha = 1$
FCTR	2.468	1.460	1.436	1.465	1.491	1.573	$\alpha = 2$

3.2.2 Case of p > q

We have

$$\mathcal{E}_p^q(\omega,\kappa_a) = \left(\frac{a}{\alpha}\right)^{\alpha-r} \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} A^{\alpha-r},$$

where now

$$A = \int_0^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\,\sigma^2\,(\alpha-r)} + x\left(\frac{a}{\alpha-r} + \frac{1}{\lambda\,(\alpha-r)} - \frac{a}{\alpha}\right)\right) dx$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\,\sigma^2\,(\alpha-r)}\left(x^2 - 2\,x\,\sigma^2\,\left(\frac{a\,r}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\lambda}\right)\right)\right) dx$$

$$= \exp\left(\frac{\sigma^2\left(\frac{a\,r}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^2}{2\,(\alpha-r)}\right) \int_0^\infty \exp\left(-\frac{(x-\sigma^2\left(\frac{a\,r}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\lambda}\right))^2}{2\,\sigma^2\,(\alpha-r)}\right) dx$$

$$= \exp\left(\frac{\sigma^2\left(\frac{a\,r}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^2}{2\,(\alpha-r)}\right) \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2\,\pi\,(\alpha-r)}{2}} \left[1 + \exp\left(\frac{\sigma\left(\frac{a\,r}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\lambda}\right)}{\sqrt{2\,(\alpha-r)}}\right)\right].$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{E}_{p}^{q}(\omega,\kappa) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\frac{a^{2}\pi\sigma^{2}(\alpha-r)}{2\alpha^{2}}\right)^{(\alpha-r)/2} \exp\left(\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2}\left(\frac{ar}{\alpha}+\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^{2}\right) \times \left[1 + \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{\sigma\left(\frac{ar}{\alpha}+\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)}{\sqrt{2(\alpha-r)}}\right)\right]^{\alpha-r}.$$

Since

$$\frac{\|\kappa_a^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1(D)}^{\alpha}}{\|\omega^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1(D)}^{\alpha}} = \left(\frac{2\alpha}{a}\right)^{\alpha} \sigma \sqrt{2\pi} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma^2}{2\lambda^2}\right) \left(\sigma \sqrt{2\pi\alpha} \left(1 + \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{\sigma}{\lambda\sqrt{2\alpha}}\right)\right)\right)^{-\alpha}$$

¹Computed with MATHEMATICA

we obtain

$$FCTR(p,q,\omega,\kappa_a) = \left(\frac{1}{a\sigma}\sqrt{\frac{2\alpha}{\pi}}\right)^{\alpha} \left(\frac{a^2 \pi \sigma^2 (\alpha - r)}{2 \alpha^2}\right)^{(\alpha - r)/2} \exp\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{2} \left(\left(\frac{a r}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{\lambda^2}\right)\right) \\ \times \frac{\left[1 + \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{\sigma(\frac{a r}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\lambda})}{\sqrt{2(\alpha - r)}}\right)\right]^{\alpha - r}}{\left[1 + \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{\sigma}{\lambda\sqrt{2\alpha}}\right)\right]^{\alpha}}.$$

We again provide numerical results, first for the case p = 2 and q = 1, i.e., $\alpha = r + 1/2$. For $r \in \{1, 2\}$ and varying a, we obtain the following rounded values:

a	1	2	3	4		
$\operatorname{FCTR}(2, 1, \omega, \kappa_a)$	1.273	2.426	9.570	66.233	$\lambda = 1, \ \sigma = 1$	r = 1
$\operatorname{FCTR}(2, 1, \omega, \kappa_a)$	1.181	1.642	4.652	23.070	$\lambda = 2, \ \sigma = 1$	
$\operatorname{FCTR}(2, 1, \omega, \kappa_a)$	1.747	2.546	12.473	146.677	$\lambda = 1, \ \sigma = 1$	r = 2
$\operatorname{FCTR}(2, 1, \omega, \kappa_a)$	1.747	1.729	5.683	44.797	$\lambda = 2, \ \sigma = 1$	

We now change p to $p = \infty$, and choose again q = 1, which implies $\alpha = r+1$. For $r \in \{1, 2\}$ and varying a we obtain the following rounded values:

a	1	2	3	4		
$\operatorname{FCTR}(\infty, 1, \omega, \kappa_a)$	1.203	1.512	3.156	9.409	$\lambda = 1, \ \sigma = 1$	r = 1
$\operatorname{FCTR}(\infty, 1, \omega, \kappa_a)$	1.199	1.242	2.081	4.888	$\lambda = 2, \ \sigma = 1$	I = 1
$\operatorname{FCTR}(\infty, 1, \omega, \kappa_a)$	1.724	1.700	4.509	23.434	$\lambda = 1, \ \sigma = 1$	r = 2
$\operatorname{FCTR}(\infty, 1, \omega, \kappa_a)$	1.827	1.366	2.647	9.897	$\lambda = 2, \ \sigma = 1$	1 — 2

3.3 Log-Normal ρ and constant ψ

Consider $D = \mathbb{R}_+, \psi(x) = 1$ and

$$\varrho(x) = \omega(x) = \frac{1}{x \,\sigma \sqrt{2 \,\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{(\ln x - \mu)^2}{2 \,\sigma^2}\right) \tag{16}$$

for given $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma > 0$.

For κ we take

$$\kappa_c(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in [0, e^{\mu}],\\ \exp(c\left(\mu - \ln x\right)\right) & \text{if } x > e^{\mu}, \end{cases}$$

for positive c. For $\kappa_c^{1/\alpha}$ to be integrable we have to restrict c so that

$$c > \alpha$$
.

It can be checked that

$$\|\kappa_c^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1(D)}^{\alpha} = \left(\frac{c}{c-\alpha}\right)^{\alpha} e^{\alpha \mu}.$$

Then the points x_i for i = 0, 1, ..., n that satisfy (7) are given by

$$x_i = \begin{cases} \frac{c}{c-\alpha} e^{\mu} \frac{i}{n} & \text{for } i \le n \frac{c-\alpha}{c}, \\ e^{\mu} \left(\frac{\alpha}{c} \frac{n}{n-i}\right)^{\alpha/(c-\alpha)} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

3.3.1 Case of $p \le q$

We determine $\|\omega/\kappa_c\|_{L_{\infty}(D)}$. For $x \leq e^{\mu}$ we have

$$\frac{\omega(x)}{\kappa_c(x)} = \omega(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{(t-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} - t\right) \quad \text{with} \quad t = \ln x \le \mu.$$

Its maximum is attained at $t=\mu-\sigma^2$ and

$$\max_{x \le e^{\mu}} \frac{\omega(x)}{\kappa_c(x)} = \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{2} - \mu\right).$$

For $x > e^{\mu}$,

$$\frac{\omega(x)}{\kappa_c(x)} = \frac{1}{\exp(c\,\mu)\,\sigma\,\sqrt{2\,\pi}}\,\exp\left(-\frac{(t-\mu)^2}{2\,\sigma^2} + t\,(c-1)\right) \quad \text{with } t = \ln x > \mu.$$

The maximum of the expression above is attained at $t = \mu + \sigma^2 (c - 1)$ and

$$\sup_{x>e^{\mu}} \frac{\omega(x)}{\kappa_c(x)} = \frac{1}{\exp(c\,\mu)\,\sigma\,\sqrt{2\,\pi}} \exp\left(\left(c-1\right)\mu + \frac{(c-1)^2\,\sigma^2}{2}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sigma\,\sqrt{2\,\pi}} \exp\left(-\mu + \frac{(c-1)^2\,\sigma^2}{2}\right).$$

This yields that

$$\left\|\frac{\omega}{\kappa_c}\right\|_{L_{\infty}(D)} = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\max(1, (c-1)^2)\right).$$

To find the optimal value of c, note that

$$\left\|\frac{\omega}{\kappa_c}\right\|_{L_{\infty}(D)} \|\kappa_c^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1(D)}^{\alpha} = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{(\alpha-1)\mu}}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} (f(c))^{\alpha},$$

where f(c) is given by

$$f(c) = \exp\left(\frac{\sigma^2 \max(1, (c-1)^2)}{2\alpha}\right) \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{c-\alpha}\right).$$

Consider first $\alpha \geq 2$ and recall the restriction $c > \alpha$. For such values of c we have

$$f(c) = \exp\left(\frac{\sigma^2 (c-1)^2}{2\alpha}\right) \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{c-\alpha}\right)$$

and hence

$$f'(c) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\alpha (c-\alpha)^2} \exp\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{2\alpha} (c-1)^2\right) \left(c (c-1) (c-\alpha) - \frac{\alpha^2}{\sigma^2}\right).$$

Therefore,

$$\min_{c > \alpha} f(c) = f(c_*) = \exp\left(\frac{\sigma^2 (c_* - 1)^2}{2 \alpha}\right) \frac{c_*}{c_* - \alpha}$$

for c_* such that

$$c_* > \alpha$$
 and $c_* (c_* - 1) (c_* - \alpha) = \frac{\alpha^2}{\sigma^2}$. (17)

Consider next $\alpha \in (0, 2)$. Then for $c \leq 2$, the minimum of f(c) is attained in c = 2, and it is a global minimum if $2(2 - \alpha) \geq \alpha^2/\sigma^2$. Otherwise, the minimum is at c_* given by (17).

In summary, for $\alpha > 0$, we have

$$\min_{c>\alpha} \left\| \frac{\omega}{\kappa_c} \right\|_{L_{\infty}(D)} \|\kappa_c^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1(D)}^{\alpha} = \frac{\mathrm{e}^{(\alpha-1)\mu}}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \times \begin{cases} \exp\left(\frac{\sigma^2 (c_*-1)^2}{2}\right) \left(\frac{c_*}{c_*-\alpha}\right)^{\alpha} & \text{if } \alpha \ge 2\\ & \text{or } 2(2-\alpha) \le \frac{\alpha^2}{\sigma^2}, \\ \exp\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right) \left(\frac{2}{2-\alpha}\right)^{\alpha} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

To derive the value of the L_1 norm of $\omega^{1/\alpha}$, we will use the following well-known facts: If $\mathbf{X}_{\sigma,\mu}$ is a log-normally distributed random variable with parameters σ and μ , then the mean value and the variance of $\mathbf{X}_{\sigma,\mu}$ are, respectively, equal to

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X}_{\sigma,\mu}) = \exp\left(\sigma^2/2 + \mu\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{X}_{\sigma,\mu} - \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{X}_{\sigma,\mu})\right)^2 = \left(\exp\left(\sigma^2\right) - 1\right) \exp\left(\sigma^2 + 2\mu\right).$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\mathbf{X}_{\sigma,\mu}^{2}\right) = \exp\left(2\sigma^{2} + 2\,\mu\right).$$
(18)

If $\alpha = 1$, then $\|\omega^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1(D)}^{\alpha} = 1$, and then

$$\operatorname{FCTR}(p,q,\omega,\kappa_{c_*}) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \begin{cases} \frac{c_*}{c_*-1} \exp\left(\frac{\sigma^2 (c_*-1)^2}{2}\right) & \text{if } 2 \leq \frac{1}{\sigma^2}, \\ 2 \exp\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For $\alpha \in (1,2)$, to simplify the notation, we will use, in the following, parameters s and γ given by

$$s = \frac{2\alpha}{\alpha - 1}$$
 and $\gamma = \frac{\sigma\sqrt{\alpha}}{s}$.

The change of the variable $x = t^s$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma \sqrt{2\pi})^{1/\alpha} \| \omega^{1/\alpha} \|_{L_1(D)} &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{x^{1/\alpha}} \exp\left(\frac{-(\ln x - \mu)^2}{2\alpha \sigma^2}\right) \mathrm{d}x \\ &= s \int_0^\infty t^{s - s/\alpha - 1} \exp\left(\frac{-(\ln t^s - \mu)^2}{2\alpha \sigma^2}\right) \mathrm{d}t \\ &= s \int_0^\infty t \exp\left(\frac{-(\ln t - \mu/s)^2}{2(\sigma \sqrt{\alpha}/s)^2}\right) \mathrm{d}t \\ &= s \gamma \sqrt{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^2}{t \gamma \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(\frac{-(\ln t - \mu/s)^2}{2\gamma^2}\right) \mathrm{d}t. \end{aligned}$$

The last integral is the expected value of the square of a log-normal random variable $\mathbf{X}_{\gamma,\mu/s}$ with the parameter σ replaced by γ and μ replaced by μ/s . Hence

$$\|\omega^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1(D)}^{\alpha} = \frac{(s\,\gamma\,\sqrt{2\,\pi})^{\alpha}}{\sigma\,\sqrt{2\,\pi}}\,\exp\left(2\,\gamma^2\,\alpha + \frac{2\,\mu\,\alpha}{s}\right) = \frac{(\sigma\,\sqrt{2\,\pi\,\alpha})^{\alpha}}{\sigma\,\sqrt{2\,\pi}}\,\exp\left(\frac{\sigma^2\,(\alpha-1)^2}{2} + \mu\,(\alpha-1)\right).$$

This gives us

$$\operatorname{FCTR}(p,q,\omega,\kappa_{c_*}) = \left(\frac{c_*}{(c_*-\alpha)\,\sigma\,\sqrt{2\,\pi\,\alpha}}\right)^{\alpha} \exp\left(\frac{\sigma^2\left((c_*-1)^2 - (\alpha-1)^2\right)}{2}\right)$$

if either $\alpha \geq 2$ or $\alpha < 2$ and $2(2 - \alpha) \leq \alpha^2 / \sigma^2$, and

$$FCTR(p,q,\omega,\kappa_2) = \left(\frac{2}{(2-\alpha)\sigma\sqrt{2\pi\alpha}}\right)^{\alpha} \exp\left(\frac{\sigma^2\left(1-(\alpha-1)^2\right)}{2}\right)$$

if $\alpha < 2$ and $2(2 - \alpha) > \alpha^2 / \sigma^2$.

Rounded values for FCTR for various σ and α are²:

3.3.2 Case of p > q

Now

$$\mathcal{E}_p^q(\omega,\kappa_c) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\frac{c-\alpha}{c\,\mathrm{e}^{\mu}}\right)^{\alpha-r} (I_1+I_2)^{\alpha-r},$$

where

$$I_1 = \int_0^{e^{\mu}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\alpha - r} \left[\frac{(\ln x - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} + \ln x\right]\right) dx$$

and

$$I_2 = \int_{e^{\mu}}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\alpha - r} \left[\frac{(\ln x - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} + \ln x\right] - \frac{rc}{\alpha(\alpha - r)}(\mu - \ln x)\right) \mathrm{d}x.$$

In what follows, for both integrals, we will use first the change of variables $y = \ln x - \mu$. We have

$$\begin{split} I_1 &= \int_{-\infty}^0 \exp(y+\mu) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\alpha-r} \left[\frac{y^2}{2\sigma^2} + y + \mu\right]\right) \mathrm{d}x \\ &= \exp\left(\mu \frac{\alpha-r-1}{\alpha-r}\right) \int_{-\infty}^0 \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\alpha-r} \left[\frac{y^2}{2\sigma^2} + (1+r-\alpha)y\right]\right) \mathrm{d}x \\ &= \exp\left(\mu \frac{\alpha-r-1}{\alpha-r}\right) \int_{-\infty}^0 \exp\left(-\frac{y^2+2y\sigma^2(1+r-\alpha)}{2\sigma^2(\alpha-r)}\right) \mathrm{d}x \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{1+r-\alpha}{\alpha-r} \left(\frac{\sigma^2(1+r-\alpha)}{2} - \mu\right)\right) \int_{-\infty}^0 \exp\left(-\frac{[y+\sigma^2(1+r-\alpha)]^2}{(\alpha-r)2\sigma^2}\right) \mathrm{d}y \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{1+r-\alpha}{\alpha-r} \left(\frac{\sigma^2(1+r-\alpha)}{2} - \mu\right)\right) \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2(\alpha-r)\pi}{2}} \left[1+\exp\left(\frac{\sigma(1+r-\alpha)}{\sqrt{2(\alpha-r)}}\right)\right]. \end{split}$$

 $^{2}\mathrm{Computed}$ with MATHEMATICA.

Similarly for I_2 we get

$$I_{2} = \exp\left(\mu\frac{\alpha-r-1}{\alpha-r}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\alpha-r}\left[\frac{y^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}+y-y\left(\alpha-r+\frac{rc}{\alpha}\right)\right]\right) dy$$
$$= \frac{\exp\left(\frac{\sigma^{2}\left(1+r-\alpha-rc/\alpha\right)^{2}}{2\left(\alpha-r\right)}\right)}{\exp\left(\frac{1+r-\alpha}{\alpha-r}\mu\right)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{\left[y+\sigma^{2}\left(1+r-\alpha-rc/\alpha\right)\right]^{2}}{\left(\alpha-r\right)2\sigma^{2}}\right) dy$$
$$= \frac{\exp\left(\frac{\sigma^{2}\left(1+r-\alpha-rc/\alpha\right)^{2}}{2\left(\alpha-r\right)}\right)}{\exp\left(\frac{1+r-\alpha}{\alpha-r}\mu\right)} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^{2}\left(\alpha-r\right)\pi}{2}} \left[1-\exp\left(\frac{\sigma\left(1+r-\alpha-rc/\alpha\right)}{\sqrt{2\left(\alpha-r\right)}}\right)\right].$$

Hence

$$(I_1 + I_2)^{\alpha - r}$$

$$= \frac{\exp(\sigma^2 (1 + r - \alpha)^2/2)}{\exp(\mu (1 + r - \alpha))} \left[\frac{\sigma^2 (\alpha - r) \pi}{2} \right]^{(\alpha - r)/2} \left[1 + \operatorname{erf} \left(\frac{\sigma (1 + r - \alpha)}{\sqrt{2 (\alpha - r)}} \right) + \exp\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{2 (\alpha - r)} \left(-\frac{2 r c}{\alpha} (1 + r - \alpha) + \left(\frac{r c}{\alpha} \right)^2 \right) \right) \left[1 - \operatorname{erf} \left(\frac{\sigma (1 + r - \alpha - r c/\alpha)}{\sqrt{2 (\alpha - r)}} \right) \right] \right]^{\alpha - r}$$

Since computing $\text{FCTR}(p, q, \omega, \kappa_c)$ for arbitrary parameters $q \leq p$ is very challenging, we will do this for $p = \infty$ and q = 1, which—as already mentioned—corresponds to the integration problem. In this specific case, we have $\alpha = r + 1$ and

$$(I_1 + I_2)^{\alpha - r} = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2 \pi}{2}} \left[1 + \exp\left(\frac{(\sigma (\alpha - 1) c)^2}{2 \alpha^2}\right) \left[1 - \operatorname{erf}\left(-\frac{\sigma (\alpha - 1) c}{\alpha \sqrt{2}}\right) \right] \right]$$

This yields

$$FCTR(\infty, 1, \omega, \kappa_c) = \frac{(c-\alpha)\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}{2c} \left(\frac{c}{(c-\alpha)\sigma\sqrt{2\pi\alpha}}\right)^{\alpha} \exp\left(-\frac{\sigma^2(\alpha-1)^2}{2} - \mu(\alpha-1)\right) \\ \times \left[1 + \exp\left(\frac{(\sigma(\alpha-1)c)^2}{2\alpha^2}\right) \left[1 - \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{-\sigma(\alpha-1)c}{\alpha\sqrt{2}}\right)\right]\right].$$

As a numerical example we consider the case $\mu = 0$ and $\sigma = 1$. For fixed $\alpha \in \{1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5\}$ we numerically minimize³ FCTR($\infty, 1, \omega, \kappa_c$) as a function in c. The results together with the optimal c_* are presented in the following table:

α	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5
$\operatorname{FCTR}(\infty, 1, \omega, \kappa_{c_*})$	1.058	1.224	1.594	2.314	3.648
C_*	2.555	2.973	3.422	3.899	4.392

3.4 Logistic ρ and Exponential ψ

Consider $D = \mathbb{R}$,

$$\varrho(x) = \frac{\exp(x/\nu)}{\nu (1 + \exp(x/\nu))^2} \text{ and } \psi(x) = \exp(-b|x|)$$

 $^{^{3}\}mathrm{Using}$ the MATHEMATICA command <code>FindMinimum</code>

with parameters $\nu > 0$ and b > 0. Then

$$\omega(x) = \frac{\exp(x/\nu + b |x|)}{\nu (1 + \exp(x/\nu))^2}$$

which is quite complicated, in particular if one considers $\omega^{1/\alpha}$, and is not monotonic. Consider therefore

$$\kappa_a(x) = \exp(-a|x|)$$
 for some $a > 0$.

Hence the points x_{-n}, \ldots, x_n satisfying (12) are again given by (14).

To simplify the formulas to come, we use

$$\lambda := \frac{1}{\nu}$$
, i.e., $\omega(x) = \frac{\lambda \exp(\lambda x + b |x|)}{(1 + \exp(\lambda x))^2}$.

For $\|\omega^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1(D)}^{\alpha}$ and $\|\omega/\kappa_a\|_{L_{\infty}(D)}$ to be finite, we need to have

$$\lambda > b$$
 and $\lambda \ge a + b$

Since the integral in $\mathcal{E}_p^q(\omega, \kappa_a)$ becomes very complicated for this example we do not distinguish between $p \leq q$ and p > q. Instead we use the upper bound (13) here.

We first study $\|\omega/\kappa_a\|_{L_{\infty}(D)}$. Since ω and κ_a are symmetric, we can restrict the attention to $x \ge 0$. By substituting $z = \exp(\lambda x)$, we get that

$$\left\|\frac{\omega}{\kappa_a}\right\|_{L_{\infty}(D)} = \lambda \sup_{z \ge 1} \frac{z^{1+(a+b)/\lambda}}{(1+z)^2}.$$

When $a + b = \lambda$ the supremum is attained at $z = \infty$, otherwise it is attained at $z = (\lambda + a + b)/(\lambda - (a + b))$. Therefore

$$\left\|\frac{\omega}{\kappa_a}\right\|_{L_{\infty}(D)} = \frac{\lambda}{4} \left(1 + \frac{a+b}{\lambda}\right)^{1+(a+b)/\lambda} \left(1 - \frac{a+b}{\lambda}\right)^{1-(a+b)/\lambda},$$

with the convention that $0^0 := 1$, i.e., $\|\omega/\kappa_a\|_{L_{\infty}(D)} = \lambda$ if $a = \lambda - b$.

Indeed, the previous formula for $\|\omega/\kappa_a\|_{L_{\infty}(D)}$ can be shown by noting that

$$\begin{split} \lambda \left[\frac{\lambda + a + b}{\lambda - a - b} \right]^{1 + \frac{a + b}{\lambda}} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda + a + b}{\lambda - a - b} \right)^{-2} &= \lambda \left[\frac{\lambda + a + b}{\lambda - a - b} \right]^{1 + \frac{a + b}{\lambda}} \left(\frac{\lambda - (a + b)}{2\lambda} \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{4} \left[\frac{\lambda + a + b}{\lambda - a - b} \right]^{1 + \frac{a + b}{\lambda}} \left(1 - \frac{a + b}{\lambda} \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{4} \left[\frac{\lambda + a + b}{\lambda - a - b} \right]^{1 + \frac{a + b}{\lambda}} \left(1 - \frac{a + b}{\lambda} \right)^{1 - \frac{a + b}{\lambda}} \left(1 - \frac{a + b}{\lambda} \right)^{1 + \frac{a + b}{\lambda}} \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{4} \left(1 - \frac{a + b}{\lambda} \right)^{1 - \frac{a + b}{\lambda}} \left(\frac{\lambda + a + b}{\lambda - a - b} \cdot \frac{\lambda - a - b}{\lambda} \right)^{1 + \frac{a + b}{\lambda}}. \end{split}$$

As above,

$$\|\kappa_a^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1(D)}^{\alpha} = \left(\frac{2\alpha}{a}\right)^{\alpha}.$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1(D)}^{\alpha} &= \lambda \left(2 \int_0^\infty \frac{\exp((\lambda+b) x/\alpha)}{(1+\exp(\lambda x))^{2/\alpha}} \,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{\alpha} \\ &\geq \lambda \left(2 \int_0^\infty \frac{\exp(\lambda x/\alpha)}{(1+\exp(\lambda x/\alpha))^2} \,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

due to the fact that $1/(1+A)^{1/\alpha} \ge 1/(1+A^{1/\alpha})$ since $\alpha \ge 1$. Therefore

$$\|\omega^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1(D)}^{\alpha} \ge \lambda \left(\frac{\alpha}{\lambda}\right)^{\alpha}.$$

This gives

$$\operatorname{FCTR}(p,q,\omega,\kappa_a) \leq \left(\frac{2\lambda}{a}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{1}{4} \left(1 + \frac{a+b}{\lambda}\right)^{1+(a+b)/\lambda} \left(1 - \frac{a+b}{\lambda}\right)^{1-(a+b)/\lambda}$$

As before the right-hand side above is

$$\left(\frac{2\lambda}{\lambda-b}\right)^{\alpha}$$
 if $a = \lambda - b$.

Letting $x = a/\lambda$, the minimum is at $0 < x < 1 - b/\lambda$ that is the root of

$$x\left(\ln\left(1+\frac{b}{\lambda}+x\right)-\ln\left(1-\frac{b}{\lambda}-x\right)\right)-\alpha = 0.$$

Rounded values of the upper bound on FCTR for $\alpha = b = 1$ and various λ 's are⁴:

3.5 Student's ρ and ψ

Consider Student's *t*-distribution on $D = \mathbb{R}$

$$\varrho(x) = T_{\nu} \left(1 + \frac{x^2}{\nu}\right)^{-(\nu+1)/2} \quad \text{with} \quad T_{\nu} = \frac{\Gamma((\nu+1)/2)}{\sqrt{\nu \pi} \Gamma(\nu/2)} \quad \text{for} \quad \nu > 0.$$

Here Γ denotes Euler's Gamma function $\Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty t^{z-1} e^{-t} dt$. Let

$$\psi(x) = \left(1 + \frac{x^2}{\nu}\right)^{-b/2}$$
 and $\kappa_a(x) = (1 + |x|)^{-a}$

for a > 0 and $b \ge 0$. For $\|\omega^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1(D)}$, $\|\kappa_a^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1(D)}$, and $\|\omega/\kappa_a\|_{L_\infty(D)}$ to be finite, we have to assume that

$$\nu + 1 - b \ge a > \alpha$$

It is easy to see that

$$\|\kappa_a^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_1(D)}^{\alpha} = \left(\frac{2\alpha}{a-\alpha}\right)^{\alpha}.$$

⁴Computed with MATHEMATICA.

Hence the points x_{-n}, \ldots, x_n satisfying (12) are given by

$$x_i = -x_{-i} = \left(1 - \frac{i}{n}\right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{a-\alpha}} - 1 \quad \text{for } 0 \le i \le n.$$

To compute the norm of $\omega^{1/\alpha}$, make the change of variables $x/\sqrt{\nu} = t/\sqrt{\mu}$, where

$$\mu = \frac{\nu + 1 - b - \alpha}{\alpha}$$
 so that $\frac{\mu + 1}{2} = \frac{\nu + 1 - b}{2\alpha}$.

Then we get

$$\begin{split} \|\omega^{1/\alpha}\|_{L_{1}(D)}^{\alpha} &= T_{\nu} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(1 + \frac{x^{2}}{\nu} \right)^{-(\nu+1-b)/(2\,\alpha)} \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\alpha} \\ &= T_{\nu} \left(\frac{\nu}{\mu} \right)^{\alpha/2} T_{\mu}^{-\alpha} \left(T_{\mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(1 + \frac{t^{2}}{\mu} \right)^{-(\mu+1)/2} \mathrm{d}t \right)^{\alpha} = T_{\nu} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\nu}}{T_{\mu}\sqrt{\mu}} \right)^{\alpha}. \end{split}$$

Since

$$\frac{\omega(x)}{\kappa_a(x)} = T_{\nu} \left(1 + \frac{x^2}{\nu}\right)^{-(\nu+1-b)/2} (1+|x|)^a,$$

we have

$$\left\|\frac{\omega}{\kappa_a}\right\|_{L_{\infty}(D)} = T_{\nu} (1+\nu)^{(\nu+1-b)/2} \quad \text{for } a = \nu+1-b,$$

and

$$\left\|\frac{\omega}{\kappa_a}\right\|_{L_{\infty}(D)} = \frac{\omega(x_*)}{\kappa(x_*)} \quad \text{for} \quad x_* = \frac{\sqrt{(\nu+1-b)^2 + 4\,a\,\nu\,(\nu+1-b-a)} - (\nu+1-b)}{2\,(\nu+1-a-b)}$$

for $a < \nu + 1 - b$.

This gives

$$\operatorname{FCTR}(p,q,\omega,\kappa_a) \leq \begin{cases} (1+\nu)^{(\nu+1-b)/2} \left(\frac{2T_{\mu}}{\sqrt{\nu\mu}}\right)^{\alpha} & \text{for } a = \nu+1-b, \\ \frac{(1+x_*)^a}{\left(1+\frac{x_*^2}{\nu}\right)^{(\nu+1-b)/2}} \left(T_{\mu}\frac{2\alpha}{a-\alpha}\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\nu}}\right)^{\alpha} & \text{for } a \in (\alpha,\nu+1-b), \end{cases}$$

with equality whenever $p \leq q$.

In the following numerical experiments for fixed values of α , b and ν , we choose $a \in (\alpha, \nu + 1 - b]$ of the form $a = \alpha + k/10$ such that it gives the smallest value of the above bound on FCTR. For example:

References

 P. Davis and P. Rabinowitz, *Methods of Numerical Integration*, Second Ed., Academic Press, New York (NY), 1984.

- [2] M. Griebel and J. Oettershagen, Dimension-adaptive sparse grid quadrature for integrals with boundary singularities, in: J. Garcke and M. Griebel (eds.), *Sparse Grids and Applications*, Vol. **97** of Lecture Notes in Computational Science and Engineering, pp. 109–136. Springer, Berlin, 2014.
- [3] F. B. Hildebrand, *Introduction to Numerical Analysis*, McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York-Toronto-London, 1956.
- [4] P. Kritzer, F. Pillichshammer, L. Plaskota, and G. W. Wasilkowski, On efficient weighted integration via a change of variables, *submitted*, 2019.
- [5] F. Y. Kuo, L. Plaskota, and G. W. Wasilkowski, Optimal algorithms for doubly weighted approximation of univariate functions, *J. of Approximation Theory* **201** (2016), 30-47.
- [6] A. H. Stroud and D. Secrest, Gaussian Quadrature Formulas, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs (NJ), 1966.
- [7] G. W. Wasilkowski and H. Woźniakowski, Complexity of weighted approximation over ℝ¹,
 J. Approx. Theory 103 (2000), 223-251.

Authors' addresses:

Peter Kritzer Johann Radon Institute for Computational and Applied Mathematics (RICAM) Austrian Academy of Sciences Altenbergerstr. 69, 4040 Linz, Austria E-mail: peter.kritzer@oeaw.ac.at

Friedrich Pillichshammer Institut für Finanzmathematik und Angewandte Zahlentheorie Johannes Kepler Universität Linz Altenbergerstr. 69, 4040 Linz, Austria E-mail: friedrich.pillichshammer@jku.at

Leszek Plaskota Institute of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics Faculty of Mathematics, Informatics, and Mechanics University of Warsaw S. Banacha 2, 02-097 Warsaw, Poland E-mail: leszekp@mimuw.edu.pl

G. W. Wasilkowski
Computer Science Department, University of Kentucky
301 David Marksbury Building
329 Rose Street
Lexington, KY 40506, USA
E-mail: greg@cs.uky.edu