

Hyperuniform point sets on flat tori: deterministic and probabilistic aspects

T. Stepaniuk

RICAM-Report 2019-03

HYPERUNIFORM POINT SETS ON FLAT TORI: DETERMINISTIC AND PROBABILISTIC ASPECTS

TETIANA A. STEPANYUK

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study hyperuniformity on flat tori. Hyperuniform point sets on the unit sphere have been studied by J. Brauchart, P. Grabner, W. Kusner and J. Ziefle in [3] and [4]. It is shown that point sets which are hyperuniform for large balls, small balls or balls of threshold order on the flat tori are uniformly distributed. Moreover, it is also shown that QMC–designs sequences for Sobolev classes, probabilistic point sets (with respect to jittered samplings) and some determinantal point process are hyperuniform.

Keywords: Hyperuniformity, flat tori, uniform distribution, QMC design, jittered sampling, determinantal process

Mathematics Subject Classification: 33C10, 65D30, 11K38.

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of hyperuniformity had been introduced by S. Torquato and F. Stillinger [20] to measure regularity of distributions of infinite particle systems in \mathbb{R}^d . A hyperuniform many–particle system [21] in d –dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^d is one in which normalized density fluctuations are completely suppressed at very large length scales, implying that the structure factor $S(\mathbf{k})$ tends to zero in the limit $|\mathbf{k}| \rightarrow 0$. Equivalently, a hyperuniform system is one in which the number variance of particles within a spherical observation window of radius R grows more slowly than the window volume in the large R –limit, i.e., slower than R^d .

Hyperuniformity found a number of different applications in physics and beyond physics (see e.g., [14] [19] [21]). For example, it was observed, that all perfect crystals, perfect quasicrystals and special disordered systems are hyperuniform. So, the hyperuniformity concept enables a unified framework to classify and structurally characterize crystals, quasicrystals and the exotic disordered varieties.

In [3] and [4] three regimes of hyperuniformity for sequences of point sets and for samples of points processes on the unit sphere were introduced and studied. The aim of present paper is to study hyperuniformity of deterministic and probabilistic point sets on flat tori in three regimes of hyperuniformity.

The author is supported by the Austrian Science Fund FWF projects F5503 and F5506-N26 (part of the Special Research Program (SFB) “Quasi-Monte Carlo Methods: Theory and Applications”).

Let $\Lambda = AZ^d$ be a lattice in \mathbb{R}^d , generated by some nonsingular square matrix $A = [v_1, \dots, v_d]$. Then we identify by

$$\Omega_\Lambda := \left\{ w : w = \sum_{j=1}^d \alpha_j v_j, \alpha_j \in [0, 1), j = 1, 2, \dots, d \right\}$$

the fundamental domain of the quotient space \mathbb{R}^d/Λ . The volume of Ω_Λ , denoted by $|\Lambda|$ equals $|\det A|$, and is called the co-volume of Λ . And let Λ^* be the dual lattice to Λ , that is, $\Lambda^* = \{w \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle w, v \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } v \in \Lambda\} = (A^t)^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$.

Let Δ be the Laplace–Beltrami operator on \mathbb{R}^d/Λ , which has the sequence of eigenvalues $(-4\pi^2 \langle w, w \rangle)_{w \in \Lambda^*}$ and a complete orthonormal system of eigenfunctions $f_w(u) = e^{2\pi \langle u, w \rangle}$, $w \in \Lambda^*$, such that

$$\Delta f_w + 4\pi^2 \langle w, w \rangle f_w = 0$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega_\Lambda} f_w(u) \overline{f_{w'}(u)} d\mu(u) = \delta_{w, w'}, \quad w, w' \in \Lambda^*,$$

where μ is the normalized Lebesgue measure in Ω_Λ .

Let $B(\mathbf{x}, R)$ denotes an Euclidean ball of radius R and with center \mathbf{x} , $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$. The d -dimensional volume of the ball $B(\mathbf{x}, R)$ equals

$$\text{Vol}(B(\mathbf{x}, R)) = \frac{\pi^{\frac{d}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2} + 1)} R^d.$$

The paper is organized as follows.

Section 2 contains the necessary background for Bessel functions, definition of hyperuniformity for all three regimes and a computable expression for the number variance.

In Section 3 we prove that sequences of point sets which are hyperuniform for large balls, small balls or balls of threshold order are uniformly distributed.

Section 4 gives the definition of QMC design sequences for Sobolev classes $W^{\alpha, 2}(\Omega_\Lambda)$. Here we prove that QMC design sequences are hyperuniform in all three regimes.

In Section 5 we consider the jittered sampling process on flat tori and show that it is hyperuniform in all three regimes.

In Section 6 we study hyperuniformity of random points on flat tori drawn from certain translation invariant determinantal processes. The expected Riesz energy of these determinantal processes on flat tori was computed in [18]. Riesz energy on flat tori was also studied in [9] and [10]. This process turns out to be hyperuniform for large and small balls and has a slightly weaker behavior in the threshold order regime.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Bessel functions. Bessel functions are solutions of Bessel's differential equation

$$z^2 \frac{d^2 x}{dz^2} + z \frac{dx}{dz} + (z^2 - u^2)x = 0,$$

where u and z can be arbitrarily complex.

For small arguments $0 < z \ll \sqrt{v+1}$, $v > 0$ the following asymptotic formula holds (see, e.g., [1] (9.1.7) and (9.1.10))

$$(2.1) \quad J_v(z) \sim \frac{1}{\Gamma(v+1)} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^v.$$

For large arguments z we will use the formula (see, e.g., [1] (9.2.1))

$$(2.2) \quad J_v(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} \left(\cos \left(z - \frac{v\pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4} \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{|z|}\right) \right), \quad -\pi < \arg z < \pi.$$

For Bessel function the following integral representation (see, e.g. [17] (3.6.2)) holds

$$(2.3) \quad \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + v\right) J_v(z) = 2\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{2}z\right)^v \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cos(z \cos t) \sin^{2v} t dt, \quad \operatorname{Re} > -\frac{1}{2}.$$

To calculate the integral involving Bessel function we will use the formula (see, e.g. [17] (3.8.1))

$$(2.4) \quad \int z^{v+1} J_v(z) dz = z^{v+1} J_{v+1}(z).$$

2.2. Hyperuniformity on the flat tori. As in [3] we will consider a sequence of finite point sets $(X_N)_{N \in A}$, $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, assuming that $\#X_N = N$. Also, the set $X_N = \{\mathbf{x}_1^{(N)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N^{(N)}\}$ consist of points depending on N , but we will omit this dependence for the ease of notation.

Definition 2.5. (Uniform distribution) A sequence of point sets $(X_N)_{N \in A}$ is called uniformly distributed on Ω_Λ , if for all balls $B(\mathbf{x}, R)$, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $R \in [0, \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{diam} \Omega_\Lambda]$ the relation

$$(2.6) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbb{1}_{B(\mathbf{x}, R)}(\mathbf{x}_j) = \operatorname{Vol}(B(\mathbf{x}, R))$$

holds.

It follows from the Weyl criterion (see, e.g., the book about the general theory of uniform distributions [16]), that (2.6) is equivalent to

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{k, j=1}^N e^{2\pi i \langle w, \mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_k \rangle} = 0 \quad \text{for all } w \in \Lambda^* \setminus \{0\}.$$

We will use the definition of hyperuniformity in terms of number variance.

Definition 2.7. (Number variance) Let $(X_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of point sets on the flat tori. The number variance of the sequence for balls of opening radius R is given by

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} V(X_N, R) &:= \mathbb{V}_x \#(X_N \cap B(\mathbf{x}, R)) \\ &= \int_{\Omega_\Lambda} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \mathbb{1}_{B(\mathbf{x}, R)}(\mathbf{x}_j) - N \text{Vol}(B(\mathbf{x}, R)) \right)^2 d\mu(\mathbf{x}). \end{aligned}$$

A classic measure of uniform distribution is given by the L^2 -discrepancy

$$D_N^2(X_N) = \left(\int_0^{\frac{1}{2} \text{diam} \Omega_\Lambda} V(X_N, R) dR \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Definition 2.9. (Hyperuniformity). Let $(X_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of point sets in the Ω_Λ . A sequence is called

- **hyperuniform for large balls**, if

$$V(X_N, R) = o(N) \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty$$

for all $R \in (0, \frac{1}{2} \text{diam} \Omega_\Lambda)$;

- **hyperuniform for small balls**, if

$$V(X_N, R) = o(N \text{Vol}(B(\mathbf{x}, R_N))) \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty$$

and all sequences $(R_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$(1) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} R_N = 0$$

$$(2) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N \text{Vol}(B(\mathbf{x}, R_N)) = \infty, \text{ which is equivalent to } R_N N^{\frac{1}{d}} \rightarrow \infty;$$

- **hyperuniform for balls of threshold order**, if

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} V(X_N, tN^{-\frac{1}{d}}) = \mathcal{O}(t^{d-1}) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

The hyperuniformity on the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^d was studied in [3] and [4].

The Fourier series for the indicator function $g_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) := \mathbb{1}_{B(\mathbf{y}, R)}(\mathbf{x})$ of the Euclidean ball $B(\mathbf{x}, R)$ on the lattice Λ^* has the form

$$(2.10) \quad \mathbb{1}_{B(\mathbf{x}_j, R)}(\mathbf{x}) = \widehat{g}_{\mathbf{x}_j}(0) + \sum_{w \in \Lambda^* \setminus \{0\}} \widehat{g}_{\mathbf{x}_j}(w) e^{2\pi i \langle w, \mathbf{x} \rangle},$$

with Fourier coefficients

$$(2.11) \quad \widehat{g}_{\mathbf{x}_j}(w) = \int_{\Omega_\Lambda} \mathbb{1}_{B(\mathbf{x}_j, R)}(\mathbf{x}) e^{-2\pi i \langle w, \mathbf{x} \rangle} d\mu(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_j| \leq R} e^{-2\pi i \langle w, \mathbf{x} \rangle} d\mu(\mathbf{x}) = e^{-2\pi i \langle w, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle} a_w(R),$$

where

$$a_w(R) := \int_{|\mathbf{x}| \leq R} e^{-2\pi i \langle w, \mathbf{x} \rangle} d\mu(\mathbf{x}).$$

Then, the variance $V(X_N, R)$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} V(X_N, R) &= \int_{\Omega_\Lambda} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \mathbb{1}_{B(\mathbf{x}, R)}(\mathbf{x}_j) - N \text{Vol}(B(\mathbf{x}, R)) \right)^2 d\mu(\mathbf{x}) \\ (2.12) \quad &= \sum_{w \in \Lambda^* \setminus \{0\}} a_w(R) a_{-w}(R) \sum_{k, j=1}^N e^{-2\pi i \langle w, \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}_j \rangle} = \sum_{w \in \Lambda^* \setminus \{0\}} a_w(R) a_{-w}(R) \left| \sum_{j=1}^N e^{-2\pi i \langle w, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle} \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

The coefficients $a_w(R)$ can be computed by integrating in spherical coordinates. Using the spherical coordinate system with a radial coordinate r and angular coordinates $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{d-1}$, where the domain of each φ_j , except φ_{d-1} , is $[0, \pi)$, and the domain of φ_{d-1} is $[0, 2\pi)$, we obtain

$$(2.13) \quad a_w(R) = \int_0^R \int_0^\pi \dots \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-2\pi i r |w| \cos \varphi_1} r^{d-1} (\sin \varphi_1)^{d-2} \dots \sin \varphi_{d-2} d\varphi_1 \dots d\varphi_{d-1} dr.$$

Each of last $d-2$ integrals is a particular value of the beta-function, which can be rewritten in terms of gamma functions

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\pi \dots \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} (\sin \varphi_1)^{d-3} \dots (\sin \varphi_{d-3}) d\varphi_1 \dots d\varphi_{d-2} \\ (2.14) \quad &= 2\pi B\left(\frac{d-2}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) B\left(\frac{d-3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \dots B\left(1, \frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d-1}{2}\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (2.13) and (2.14) it follows, that

$$(2.15) \quad a_w(R) = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d-1}{2}\right)} \int_0^R \int_0^\pi e^{-2\pi i r |w| \cos \varphi} r^{d-1} (\sin \varphi)^{d-2} d\varphi dr.$$

Applying relations (2.3) and (2.4), we have that

$$(2.16) \quad \int_0^R r^{d-1} \int_0^\pi e^{-2\pi i r |w| \cos \varphi} (\sin \varphi)^{d-2} d\varphi dr \\ = \frac{\pi^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})}{(\pi |w|)^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} \int_0^R r^{\frac{d}{2}} J_{\frac{d}{2}-1}(2\pi r |w|) dr = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}} |w|^{\frac{d}{2}}} R^{\frac{d}{2}} J_{\frac{d}{2}}(2\pi R |w|).$$

So, formulas (2.15) and (2.16) imply

$$(2.17) \quad a_w(R) = R^{\frac{d}{2}} |w|^{-\frac{d}{2}} J_{\frac{d}{2}}(2\pi |w| R).$$

Finally, from (2.12) the variance $V(X_N, R)$ can be expressed as

$$(2.18) \quad V(X_N, R) = R^d \sum_{w \in \Lambda^* \setminus \{0\}} |w|^{-d} \left(J_{\frac{d}{2}}(2\pi |w| R) \right)^2 \sum_{k,j=1}^N e^{-2\pi i \langle w, \mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_k \rangle}.$$

3. HYPERUNIFORMITY FOR LARGE BALLS, SMALL BALLS AND BALLS OF THRESHOLD ORDER

3.1. Hyperuniformity for large balls.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $(X_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of point sets, which is hyperuniform for large balls. Then for all $w \in \Lambda^* \setminus \{0\}$*

$$(3.1) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k,j=1}^N e^{2\pi i \langle w, \mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_k \rangle} = 0.$$

As a consequence, sequences which are hyperuniform for large balls are uniformly distributed.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Using the definition of the hyperuniformity for large balls and (2.18), we have that for all $w \in \Lambda^* \setminus \{0\}$ and $R \in (0, \frac{1}{2} \text{diam} \Omega_\Lambda)$

$$0 = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{V(X_N, R)}{N} \geq R^d |w|^{-d} \left(J_{\frac{d}{2}}(2\pi |w| R) \right)^2 \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k,j=1}^N e^{-2\pi i \langle w, \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}_j \rangle},$$

which implies (3.1). □

Remark 3.2. Notice, that for values of R for which one of the values $J_{\frac{d}{2}}(2\pi |w_0| R)$ vanishes, nothing can be said about the limit (3.1) for $w = w_0$. But there are only countably many such values of R . Moreover, we can show that at most only one coefficient $J_{\frac{d}{2}}(2\pi |w| R)$ could vanish for a given value of $R \in (0, \frac{1}{2} \text{diam} \Omega_\Lambda)$.

Proof. We construct a point set, such that (3.1) holds for all $w \in \Lambda^* \setminus \{0, w_0\}$ and for $w = w_0$

$$(3.3) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k,j=1}^N e^{2\pi i \langle w_0, \mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_k \rangle} = \infty.$$

Let consider a positive measure $d\mu_0(\mathbf{x}) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \cos(2\pi \langle w_0, \mathbf{x} \rangle)\right) d\mu(\mathbf{x})$ on Ω_Λ . For every L there exists an $N(L) \asymp L^d$ such that there is a point set X_N for which

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N p(\mathbf{x}_j) = \int_{\Omega_\Lambda} p(\mathbf{x}) d\mu_0(\mathbf{x}),$$

for all trigonometric polynomials p of degree $\leq L$.

An example of such point set is an L -design with $N \asymp L^d$ points. The existence of L -designs consisting of N nodes, for any $N \geq CL^d$ on d -dimensional compact connected oriented Riemannian manifold was proved recently in [7]. Let consider $p(\mathbf{x}) = e^{2\pi i \langle w, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \rangle}$ for fixed $\mathbf{y} \in \Omega_\Lambda$. Then for all $w \in \Lambda^* \setminus \{0, w_0\}$, such that $|w| \leq L$, we get

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N e^{2\pi i \langle w, \mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{y} \rangle} = 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{y} \in \Omega_\Lambda,$$

so, (3.1) holds.

For $|w| = |w_0| \leq L$ for arbitrary $\mathbf{y} \in \Omega_\Lambda$ we obtain

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N e^{2\pi i \langle w_0, \mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{y} \rangle} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega_\Lambda} e^{2\pi i \langle w_0, \mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{y} \rangle} \cos(2\pi \langle w_0, \mathbf{x} \rangle) d\mu(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{4},$$

which yields

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k,j=1}^N e^{2\pi i \langle w_0, \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}_j \rangle} = \frac{1}{4} N.$$

Relation (3.4) implies (3.3). □

3.2. Hyperuniformity for small balls.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $(X_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of point sets, which is hyperuniform for small balls. Then $(X_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly distributed.*

Proof of Theorem 3.2. From the definition of the hyperuniformity for small balls and (2.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{V(X_N, R_N)}{N \text{Vol}(B(\mathbf{x}; R_N))} &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} R_N^d \sum_{w \in \Lambda^* \setminus \{0\}} |w|^{-d} \frac{\left(J_{\frac{d}{2}}(2\pi|w|R_N) \right)^2}{\text{Vol}(B(\mathbf{x}; R_N))} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k,j=1}^N e^{-2\pi i \langle w, \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}_j \rangle} \\
(3.5) \quad &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2} + 1)}{\pi^{\frac{d}{2}}} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{w \in \Lambda^* \setminus \{0\}} |w|^{-d} \left(J_{\frac{d}{2}}(2\pi|w|R_N) \right)^2 \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k,j=1}^N e^{-2\pi i \langle w, \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}_j \rangle} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The order of decreasing of w -th Fourier coefficient in (3.5) equals to

$$(3.6) \quad |w|^{-d} \left(J_{\frac{d}{2}}(2\pi|w|R_N) \right)^2 \asymp \begin{cases} R_N^d, & \text{if } |w| \ll \frac{1}{R_N}, \\ |w|^{-d-1} R_N^{-1}, & \text{if } |w| \gg \frac{1}{R_N}, \end{cases}$$

for $R_N \rightarrow 0$.

Here and further we use Vinogradov notations $a_n \ll b_n$ ($a_n \gg b_n$) to mean that there exists positive constant C independent of n , such that $a_n \leq Cb_n$ ($a_n \geq Cb_n$) and we write $a_n \asymp b_n$ to mean that $a_n \ll b_n$ and $a_n \gg b_n$.

From (3.5) and (3.6) we have that

$$R_N^d \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k,j=1}^N e^{-2\pi i \langle w, \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}_j \rangle} = 0.$$

Since $R_N N^{\frac{1}{d}} \rightarrow \infty$, then from last relation it follows that

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{k,j=1}^N e^{2\pi i \langle w, \mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_k \rangle} = 0$$

for all $w \in \Lambda^* \setminus \{0\}$. Theorem 3.2 is proved. \square

3.3. Hyperuniformity for balls of threshold order.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $(X_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of point sets, which is hyperuniform for balls of threshold order. Then $(X_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly distributed.*

Proof of Theorem 3.3. Using the definition of the hyperuniformity for balls of threshold order and (2.18), we obtain

$$V(X_N, tN^{-\frac{1}{d}}) \gg t^d N^{-1} |w|^{-d} \left(J_{\frac{d}{2}}(2\pi|w|tN^{-\frac{1}{d}}) \right)^2 \left| \sum_{j=1}^N e^{-2\pi i \langle w, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle} \right|^2.$$

For fixed $t > 0$, $w \in \Lambda^* \setminus \{0\}$ and $N \rightarrow \infty$, the asymptotic estimate (2.1) implies

$$V(X_N, tN^{-\frac{1}{d}}) \gg t^{2d} N^{-2} \sum_{k,j=1}^N e^{-2\pi i \langle w, \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}_j \rangle}.$$

So, the relation

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} V(X_N, tN^{-\frac{1}{d}}) = \mathcal{O}(t^{d-1})$$

holds only, if

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{k,j=1}^N e^{2\pi i \langle w, \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}_j \rangle} = 0.$$

So, the sequence $(X_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly distributed, and this completes the proof. \square

4. HYPERUNIFORMITY OF QMC DESIGN SEQUENCES

The notion of QMC design sequences for Sobolev spaces $\mathbb{H}^s(\mathbb{S}^d)$ on the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^d was introduced in [6]. In the same way we will write down the definition of QMC designs for Sobolev classes $W^{\alpha,2}(\Omega_\Lambda)$ on flat tori.

The Sobolev space $W^{\alpha,2}(\Omega_\Lambda)$, $\alpha > \frac{d}{2}$, consists of all functions f such that

$$\|f\|_{W^{\alpha,2}} := \left(\sum_{w \in \Lambda^*} (1 + 4\pi^2 |w|^2)^{-\alpha} |\hat{f}(w)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty,$$

where

$$\hat{f}(w) = \int_{\Omega_\Lambda} f(u) e^{-2\pi i \langle u, w \rangle} d\mu(u).$$

The worst-case error of the cubature rule $Q[X_N]$ in a space $W^{\alpha,2}(\Omega_\Lambda)$ of continuous functions on Ω_Λ is defined by

$$\text{wce}(Q[X_N]; W^{\alpha,2}) := \sup_{\substack{f \in W^{\alpha,2}, \\ \|f\|_{W^{\alpha,2}} \leq 1}} |Q[X_N](f) - \mathbb{I}(f)|,$$

where

$$\mathbb{I}(f) := \int_{\Omega_\Lambda} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mu(\mathbf{x}), \quad Q[X_N](f) := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N f(\mathbf{x}_i).$$

It was shown (see, e.g. [2]) that there exist sequences of point sets $(X_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $c > 0$, such that

$$(4.1) \quad |Q[X_N](f) - \mathbb{I}(f)| \leq cN^{-\frac{\alpha}{d}} \|f\|_{W^{\alpha,2}},$$

and for every $\alpha > \frac{d}{2}$ there exist $c > 0$ such that for every distribution of points X_N there exists a function $f \in W^{\alpha,2}(\Omega_\Lambda)$ with

$$(4.2) \quad |Q[X_N](f) - I(f)| \geq cN^{-\frac{\alpha}{d}} \|f\|_{W^{\alpha,2}}.$$

Analogous of inequalities (4.1) and (4.2) for spaces $\mathbb{H}^s(\mathbb{S}^d)$ on the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^d were obtained in [5], [11]–[13].

Definition 4.3. Given $\alpha > \frac{d}{2}$, a sequence $(X_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ of N -point configurations in Ω_Λ with $N \rightarrow \infty$ is said to be a sequence of QMC designs for $W^{\alpha,2}(\Omega_\Lambda)$ if there exists $c(\alpha, d) > 0$, independent of N , such that

$$|Q[X_N](f) - I(f)| \leq c(\alpha, d)N^{-\frac{\alpha}{d}} \|f\|_{W^{\alpha,2}} \quad \text{for all } f \in W^{\alpha,2}(\Omega_\Lambda).$$

Since the point-evaluation functional is bounded in the space of real-valued functions $W^{\alpha,2}(\Omega_\Lambda)$ whenever $\alpha > \frac{d}{2}$, the Riesz representation theorem assures the existence of a reproducing kernel, which can be written in the form

$$K_{\Lambda,\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{w \in \Lambda^*} (1 + 4\pi^2|w|^2)^{-\alpha} e^{2\pi i \langle w, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \rangle} = \sum_{w \in \Lambda^*} (1 + 4\pi^2|w|^2)^{-\alpha} \cos(2\pi \langle w, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \rangle).$$

It can be easily verified that the kernel $K_{\Lambda,\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ has the reproducing kernel properties: (i) $K_{\Lambda,\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = K_{\Lambda,\alpha}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})$ for all $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \Omega_\Lambda$; (ii) $K_{\Lambda,\alpha}(\cdot, \mathbf{x}) \in W^{\alpha,2}(\Omega_\Lambda)$ for all fixed $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega_\Lambda$; and (iii) the reproducing property

$$(f, K_{\Lambda,\alpha}(\cdot, \mathbf{x}))_{W^{\alpha,2}} = f(\mathbf{x}) \quad \forall f \in W^{\alpha,2}(\Omega_\Lambda) \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega_\Lambda.$$

Using arguments, as in [6], it is possible to write down a computable expression for the worst-case error. Indeed

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{wce}(Q[X_N]; W^{\alpha,2}(\Omega_\Lambda))^2 &= \sup_{\substack{f \in W^{\alpha,2}, \\ \|f\|_{W^{\alpha,2}} \leq 1}} \left(f, \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N K_{\Lambda,\alpha}(\cdot, \mathbf{x}_j) - \int_{\Omega_\Lambda} K_{\Lambda,\alpha}(\cdot, \mathbf{x}) d\mu(\mathbf{x}) \right) \\ &= \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N K_{\Lambda,\alpha}(\cdot, \mathbf{x}_j) - \int_{\Omega_\Lambda} K_{\Lambda,\alpha}(\cdot, \mathbf{x}) d\mu(\mathbf{x}) \right\|_{W^{\alpha,2}}^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{w \in \Lambda^* \setminus \{0\}} (1 + 4\pi^2|w|^2)^{-\alpha} \sum_{k,j=1}^N e^{2\pi i \langle w, \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}_j \rangle}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the reproducing property of $K_{\Lambda,\alpha}$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $(X_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a QMC design sequence for $W^{\alpha,2}(\Omega_\Lambda)$, $\alpha > \frac{d+1}{2}$. Then $(X_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ is hyperuniform for large balls, small balls and balls of threshold order.*

Before proving of Theorem 4.1 we show that the following lemma takes place.

Lemma 4.2. *For any N -point set $X_N \in \Omega_\Lambda$ and $R \in (0, \frac{1}{2}\text{diam}\Omega_\Lambda)$ the relation*

$$V(X_N, R) \ll R^{d-1} N^2 \text{wce}(Q[X_N]; W^{\frac{d+1}{2}, 2})^2$$

holds.

Proof of Lemma 4.2. From (2.18), (3.6) and (4.4) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} V(X_N, R) &\ll R^{2d} \sum_{\substack{w \in \Lambda^* \setminus \{0\} \\ |w| \leq \frac{1}{R}}} \sum_{k, j=1}^N e^{-2\pi i \langle w, \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}_j \rangle} + R^{d-1} \sum_{\substack{w \in \Lambda^* \setminus \{0\} \\ |w| > \frac{1}{R}}} |w|^{-d-1} \sum_{k, j=1}^N e^{-2\pi i \langle w, \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}_j \rangle} \\ &\ll R^{d-1} \sum_{w \in \Lambda^* \setminus \{0\}} |w|^{-d-1} \sum_{j=1}^N e^{-2\pi i \langle w, \mathbf{x}_k - \mathbf{x}_j \rangle} \ll R^{d-1} N^2 \text{wce}(Q[X_N]; W^{\frac{d+1}{2}, 2})^2. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.2 is proved. \square

Notice that in the same way as it was shown for Sobolev spaces $\mathbb{H}^s(\mathbb{S}^d)$ on the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^d (see Theorem 9 in [6]) we can prove that the following statement is true

Lemma 4.3. *Given $\alpha > \frac{d}{2}$, let $(X_N)_N$ be a sequence of QMC designs for $W^{\alpha, 2}(\Omega_\Lambda)$. Then $(X_N)_N$ is a sequence of QMC designs for $W^{\beta, 2}(\Omega_\Lambda)$, for all $\frac{d}{2} < \beta \leq \alpha$.*

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Let $(X_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a QMC design sequence for $W^{\alpha, 2}(\Omega_\Lambda)$, $\alpha \geq \frac{d+1}{2}$, then by Lemma 4.3 it is a QMC sequence for $W^{\frac{d+1}{2}, 2}(\Omega_\Lambda)$. So

$$(4.5) \quad \text{wce}(Q[X_N]; W^{\frac{d+1}{2}, 2})^2 \ll N^{-\frac{d+1}{d}}.$$

Then, Lemma 4.2 and (4.5) imply that for any $R \in (0, \frac{1}{2}\text{diam}\Omega_\Lambda)$

$$(4.6) \quad V(X_N, R) \ll R^{d-1} N^{1-\frac{1}{d}}.$$

(i) Large ball regime: It follows immediately from (4.6), that for any $R \in (0, \frac{1}{2}\text{diam}\Omega_\Lambda)$

$$V(X_N, R) = o(N) \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty,$$

so $(X_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ is hyperuniform for large balls.

(ii) Small ball regime: Let $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} R_N = 0$ and

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N \text{Vol}(B(\cdot; R_N)) = \frac{\pi^{\frac{d}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2} + 1)} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N R_N^d = \infty.$$

Then, formula (4.6) yields

$$V(X_N, R_N) = \mathcal{O}(N^{1-\frac{1}{d}} R_N^{-1} \text{Vol}(B(\cdot, R_N))) = o(N R_N^d) \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

This proves the hyperuniformity for small balls.

(ii) **Threshold regime:** Let $R_N = tN^{-\frac{1}{d}}$, $R \in (0, \frac{1}{2}\text{diam}\Omega_\Lambda)$ and $t > 0$. From (4.6) we have

$$V(X_N, R) \ll (tN^{-\frac{1}{d}})^{d-1} N^{1-\frac{1}{d}} = t^{d-1} \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since $t > 0$ was arbitrary, then

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} V(X_N, tN^{-\frac{1}{d}}) = \mathcal{O}(t^{d-1}) \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

so $(X_N)_{N \in \mathbb{A}}$ is hyperuniform also for balls of threshold order.

Theorem 4.1 is proved. \square

5. HYPERUNIFORMITY OF JITTERED SAMPLING POINT PROCESS

Let consider an area-regular partitions $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \dots, A_N\}$ with $\cup_{j=1}^N A_j = \Omega_\Lambda$ and $A_j \cap A_k = \emptyset$, $k \neq j$ with small diameters: $\text{diam}(A_i) \leq CN^{-\frac{1}{d}}$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$. Here C is a constant that does not depend on N . The existence of such partitions was shown in [8].

The jittered sampling variance integral can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} V(X_N, R) &= \int_{\Omega_\Lambda} \int_{A_1} \dots \int_{A_N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \mathbb{1}_{B(\cdot, R)}(\mathbf{x}_j) - N\text{Vol}(B(\mathbf{x}, R)) \right)^2 d\mu_1(\mathbf{x}_1) \dots d\mu_N(\mathbf{x}_N) d\mu(\mathbf{x}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_j(\cdot) = N\mu(\cdot \cap A_j)$ is a probability measure.

It is not hard to see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega_\Lambda} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \mathbb{1}_{B(\mathbf{x}, R)}(\mathbf{x}_j) - N\text{Vol}(B(\cdot, R)) \right)^2 d\mu(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \int_{\Omega_\Lambda} \sum_{k, j=1}^N \mathbb{1}_{B(\mathbf{x}_j, R)}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbb{1}_{B(\mathbf{x}_k, R)}(\mathbf{x}) d\mu(\mathbf{x}) - N^2 \text{Vol}(B(\cdot, R))^2 \\ (5.1) \quad &= \sum_{k, j=1}^N \text{Vol}(B(\mathbf{x}_j, R) \cap B(\mathbf{x}_k, R)) - N^2 \text{Vol}(B(\cdot, R))^2. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account (5.1) and integrating with respect to the probability measure $d\mu_1(\mathbf{x}_1)\dots d\mu_N(\mathbf{x}_N)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & V(X_N, R) \\
 &= \int_{A_1} \dots \int_{A_N} \sum_{k,j=1}^N \text{Vol}(B(\mathbf{x}_j, R) \cap B(\mathbf{x}_k, R)) d\mu_1(\mathbf{x}_1) \dots d\mu_N(\mathbf{x}_N) - N^2 \text{Vol}(B(\cdot, R))^2 \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{k,j=1, \\ k \neq j}}^N \int_{A_k} \int_{A_j} \text{Vol}(B(\mathbf{x}, R) \cap B(\mathbf{y}, R)) d\mu_k(\mathbf{x}) d\mu_j(\mathbf{y}) + N \text{Vol}(B(\cdot, R)) \\
 (5.2) \quad & - N^2 \text{Vol}(B(\cdot, R))^2 = N^2 \int_{\Omega_\Lambda} \int_{\Omega_\Lambda} \text{Vol}(B(\mathbf{x}, R) \cap B(\mathbf{y}, R)) d\mu(\mathbf{x}) d\mu(\mathbf{y}) \\
 & - \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{A_k} \int_{A_k} \text{Vol}(B(\mathbf{x}, R) \cap B(\mathbf{y}, R)) d\mu_k(\mathbf{x}) d\mu_k(\mathbf{y}) + \mathcal{N} \text{Vol}(B(\cdot, R)) - N^2 \text{Vol}(B(\cdot, R))^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Noticing that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\Omega_\Lambda} \int_{\Omega_\Lambda} \text{Vol}(B(\mathbf{x}, R) \cap B(\mathbf{y}, R)) d\mu(\mathbf{x}) d\mu(\mathbf{y}) \\
 &= \int_{\Omega_\Lambda} \int_{\Omega_\Lambda} \int_{\Omega_\Lambda} \mathbb{1}_{B(\mathbf{x}, R)}(\mathbf{z}) \mathbb{1}_{B(\mathbf{y}, R)}(\mathbf{z}) d\mu(\mathbf{z}) d\mu(\mathbf{x}) d\mu(\mathbf{y}) \\
 &= \int_{\Omega_\Lambda} \int_{\Omega_\Lambda} \int_{\Omega_\Lambda} \mathbb{1}_{B(\mathbf{z}, R)}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbb{1}_{B(\mathbf{z}, R)}(\mathbf{y}) d\mu(\mathbf{x}) d\mu(\mathbf{y}) d\mu(\mathbf{z}) = \text{Vol}(B(\cdot, R))^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

from (5.2) we have

$$V(X_N, R) = N \text{Vol}(B(\cdot, R)) - \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{A_k} \int_{A_k} \text{Vol}(B(\mathbf{x}, R) \cap B(\mathbf{y}, R)) d\mu_k(\mathbf{x}) d\mu_k(\mathbf{y}).$$

Using that fact that for any $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d : |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}| \leq 2R$ the following relation holds

$$\text{Vol}(B(\mathbf{x}, R) \cap B(\mathbf{y}, R)) \geq \text{Vol}\left(B\left(\cdot, R - \frac{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|}{2}\right)\right)$$

and also that for $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in A_k : |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}| \leq C_d N^{-\frac{1}{d}}$, we obtain the estimate

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} V(X_N, R) &\leq N \text{Vol}(B(\cdot, R)) - N \text{Vol}\left(B\left(\cdot, R - \frac{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|}{2}\right)\right) \\ &= \frac{\pi^{\frac{d}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2} + 1)} N \left(R^d - \left(R - \frac{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|}{2}\right)^d\right) \ll N^{1-\frac{1}{d}} R^{d-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, from (5.3) and proof of Theorem 4.1 we get the following statement.

Theorem 5.1. *The jittered sampling point process is hyperuniform in all three regimes.*

6. HYPERUNIFORMITY OF SOME DETERMINANTAL PROCESSES

Definition 6.1. A random point process (see, e.g., Chap. 4 in [15]) is called determinantal with kernel $K : \Omega_\Lambda \times \Omega_\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ if it is simple and the joint intensities with respect to a background measure μ are given by

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k) = \det(K(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq k},$$

for every $k \geq 1$ and $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k \in \Omega_\Lambda$.

In [15] it is shown that a determinantal process samples exactly N points if and only if it is associated to the projection of L^2 to an N -dimensional subspace H . Let ψ_1, \dots, ψ_N be an orthonormal basis of H , then the kernel is given by

$$(6.2) \quad K_H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{k=1}^N \psi_k(\mathbf{x}) \overline{\psi_k(\mathbf{y})}.$$

Definition 6.3. We say that K is a projection kernel if it is a Hermitian projection kernel; i.e., the integral operator in $L^2(\mu)$ with kernel K is self-adjoint and has eigenvalues 1 and 0.

Then by Macchi–Soshnikov’s theorem (see, e.g., Theorem 4.5.5 in [15]) the projection kernel K defines a determinantal process.

We will study the hyperuniformity of point sets, which are drawn from the determinantal point processes given by similar kernels as in [18]

$$(6.4) \quad K_N(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = K_N(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) := \sum_{w \in \Lambda^*} \kappa_N(w) e^{2\pi i \langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}, w \rangle}, \quad \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \Omega_\Lambda,$$

where the functions $\kappa = (\kappa_N)_{N \geq 0}$ have a finite support and are such, that $\kappa_N : \Lambda^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$.

Then, for these kernels we have that

$$(6.5) \quad \text{tr}(K_N) = \int_{\Omega_\Lambda} K_N(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) d\mu(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{w \in \Lambda^*} \kappa_N(w) = \#\text{supp } \kappa_N.$$

So, from (6.2) it follows, that the determinantal process, which is defined by the kernel (6.4), has N points, if

$$\int_{\Omega_\Lambda} K_N(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) d\mu(\mathbf{x}) = N.$$

From (2.8) and (5.1) we obtain

$$V(X_N, R) = \sum_{k,j=1}^N \text{Vol}(B(\mathbf{x}_j, R) \cap B(\mathbf{x}_k, R)) - N^2 \text{Vol}(B(\cdot, R))^2 = \sum_{k,j=1}^N g_R(\mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{x}_j),$$

where

$$g_R(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = g_R(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) := \text{Vol}(B(\mathbf{x}, R) \cap B(\mathbf{y}, R)) - \text{Vol}(B(\cdot, R))^2.$$

Formulas (2.10), (2.11) and (2.17) allow to write

$$\begin{aligned} g_R(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) &= \int_{\Omega_\Lambda} \mathbb{1}_{B(\mathbf{x}, R)}(\mathbf{z}) \mathbb{1}_{B(\mathbf{y}, R)}(\mathbf{z}) d\mu(\mathbf{z}) - \text{Vol}(B(\cdot, R))^2 \\ (6.6) \quad &= R^d \sum_{w \in \Lambda^* \setminus \{0\}} |w|^{-d} \left(J_{\frac{d}{2}}(2\pi|w|R) \right)^2 e^{-2\pi i \langle w, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \rangle}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the variance for the determinantal point process equals to

$$(6.7) \quad V(X_N, R) = \mathbb{E} \sum_{k,j=1}^N g_R(\mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{x}_j) = N g_R(0) + \mathbb{E} \sum_{\substack{k,j=1, \\ k \neq j}}^N g_R(\mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{x}_j).$$

To compute the expected value in the last formula we will use the following statement (see e.g., formula 1.2.2 from [15]).

Proposition 6.1. *Let $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ be a projection kernel with trace N in Ω , and let $X_N = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) \in \Omega^N$ be N random points generated by the corresponding determinantal point process. Then, for any measurable $f : \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, we have*

$$\mathbb{E}_{X_N \in \Omega^N} \left(\sum_{k \neq j} f(\mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{x}_j) \right) = \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} (K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x})K(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}) - |K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})|^2) f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) d\mu(\mathbf{x}) d\mu(\mathbf{y}).$$

Applying Proposition 6.1 for (6.7) and using translation invariance, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
V(X_N, R) &= \int_{\Omega_\Lambda} \int_{\Omega_\Lambda} (K_N(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x})K_N(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}) - |K_N(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})|^2) g_R(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) d\mu(\mathbf{x}) d\mu(\mathbf{y}) \\
(6.8) \quad + Ng_R(0) &= Ng_R(0) - \int_{\Omega_\Lambda} \int_{\Omega_\Lambda} |K_N(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})|^2 g_R(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) d\mu(\mathbf{x}) d\mu(\mathbf{y}) \\
&= Ng_R(0) - \int_{\Omega_\Lambda} |K_N(\mathbf{x})|^2 g_R(\mathbf{x}) d\mu(\mathbf{x}).
\end{aligned}$$

Observing that

$$|K_N(\mathbf{x})|^2 = \sum_{w, w' \in \Lambda^*} \kappa_N(w) \kappa_N(w') e^{2\pi i \langle \mathbf{x}, w - w' \rangle},$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Omega_\Lambda} |K_N(\mathbf{x})|^2 g_R(\mathbf{x}) d\mu(\mathbf{x}) \\
(6.9) \quad &= \sum_{w, w' \in \Lambda^*} \kappa_N(w) \kappa_N(w') \sum_{\xi \in \Lambda^* \setminus \{0\}} R^d |\xi|^{-d} \left(J_{\frac{d}{2}}(2\pi |\xi| R) \right)^2 \int_{\Omega_\Lambda} e^{2\pi i \langle \mathbf{x}, w - w' \rangle} e^{-2\pi i \langle \mathbf{x}, \xi \rangle} d\mu(\mathbf{x}) \\
&= R^d \sum_{\substack{w, w' \in \Lambda^*, \\ w \neq w'}} \kappa_N(w) \kappa_N(w') |w - w'|^{-d} \left(J_{\frac{d}{2}}(2\pi |w - w'| R) \right)^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used that

$$\int_{\Omega_\Lambda} e^{2\pi i \langle \mathbf{x}, w - w' \rangle} e^{-2\pi i \langle \mathbf{x}, \xi \rangle} d\mu(\mathbf{x}) = \delta_{w' - w, \xi}, \quad w, w' \in \Lambda^*, \quad \xi \in \Lambda^* \setminus \{0\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{D}_N \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be an open subset with boundary of measure zero, such that $B(\mathbf{0}; c_0 N^{\frac{1}{d}}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_N \subseteq B(\mathbf{0}; c_0 N^{\frac{1}{d}} + c_1)$, where c_0 and c_1 are some positive constants. Define for $N \in \mathbb{N}$ the functions κ_N in the following way

$$\kappa_N(w) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } w \in \mathcal{D}_N \cap \Lambda^*, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Observe, that

$$(6.10) \quad \sum_{w \in \Lambda^*} \kappa_N(w) = \# \{ \mathbb{Z}^d \cap A^t \mathcal{D}_N \} \asymp N.$$

We choose constant c_0 and c_1 and the domain \mathcal{D}_N in such way that

$$(6.11) \quad \sum_{w \in \Lambda^*} \kappa_N(w) = N.$$

So, from (6.6), (6.8), (6.9) and (6.11) we get

$$(6.12) \quad \begin{aligned} V(X_N, R) &= \sum_{w' \in \Lambda^*} \kappa_N(w') g_R(0) - R^d \sum_{\substack{w, w' \in \Lambda^*, \\ w \neq w'}} \kappa_N(w) \kappa_N(w') |w - w'|^{-d} \left(J_{\frac{d}{2}}(2\pi|w - w'|R) \right)^2 \\ &= R^d \sum_{w' \in \Lambda^*} \kappa_N(w') \left(\sum_{w \in \Lambda^* \setminus \{0\}} |w|^{-d} \left(J_{\frac{d}{2}}(2\pi|w|R) \right)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{\substack{w \in \Lambda^*, \\ w \neq w'}} |w - w'|^{-d} \left(J_{\frac{d}{2}}(2\pi|w - w'|R) \right)^2 \right) \\ &= R^d \sum_{w' \in \Lambda^* \cap \mathcal{D}_N} \left(\sum_{w \in \Lambda^* \setminus \{0\}} |w|^{-d} \left(J_{\frac{d}{2}}(2\pi|w|R) \right)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{\substack{w \in \Lambda^* \cap \mathcal{D}_N, \\ w \neq w'}} |w - w'|^{-d} \left(J_{\frac{d}{2}}(2\pi|w - w'|R) \right)^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account, that for any $w' \in \Lambda^*$ and real-valued function f

$$\sum_{w \in \Lambda^* \setminus \{0\}} f(|w|) = \sum_{\substack{w \in \Lambda^*, \\ w \neq w'}} f(|w - w'|),$$

we have

$$(6.13) \quad V(X_N, R) = R^d \sum_{w' \in \Lambda^* \cap \mathcal{D}_N} \sum_{\substack{w \in \Lambda^*, w \notin \mathcal{D}_N, \\ w \neq w'}} |w - w'|^{-d} \left(J_{\frac{d}{2}}(2\pi|w - w'|R) \right)^2.$$

To estimate the sum from the last formula we will need the following lemma.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $M \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $a > 1$ and $d \geq 2$ be fixed. Then the following estimates hold*

$$(6.14) \quad \sum_{\substack{w' \in \Lambda^*, \\ |w'| \leq \frac{M}{2}}} \sum_{\substack{w \in \Lambda^*, \\ |w| \geq M}} |w - w'|^{-d-1} \ll M^{d-1};$$

$$(6.15) \quad \sum_{\substack{w' \in \Lambda^*, \\ \frac{M}{2} < |w'| < M}} \sum_{\substack{w \in \Lambda^*, \\ M+a \leq |w| < 2M}} |w - w'|^{-d-1} \ll M^{d-1} \ln \left(\frac{a + \frac{M}{2}}{a} \right);$$

$$(6.16) \quad \sum_{\substack{w' \in \Lambda^*, \\ |w'| < M}} \sum_{\substack{w \in \Lambda^*, \\ |w| \geq 2M}} |w - w'|^{-d-1} \ll M^{d-1}.$$

Proof of Lemma 6.2. Before we proceed, we state a simple fact, which we will use repeatedly:

$$(6.17) \quad \sum_{\substack{u \in \Lambda^*, \\ |u| > t}} |u|^{-d-1} \ll \sum_{k=[t]}^{\infty} \sum_{k \leq |u| < k+1} |u|^{-d-1} \ll \sum_{k=[t]}^{\infty} k^{-2} \ll t^{-1}.$$

Applying (6.17), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{w' \in \Lambda^*, \\ |w'| \leq \frac{M}{2}}} \sum_{\substack{w \in \Lambda^*, \\ |w| \geq M}} |w - w'|^{-d-1} &\ll M^d \sum_{\substack{w \in \Lambda^*, \\ |w| \geq \frac{M}{2}}} |w|^{-d-1} \ll M^{d-1}, \\ \sum_{\substack{w' \in \Lambda^*, \\ |w'| < M}} \sum_{\substack{w \in \Lambda^*, \\ |w| \geq 2M}} |w - w'|^{-d-1} &\ll M^d \sum_{\substack{w \in \Lambda^*, \\ |w| \geq M}} |w|^{-d-1} \ll M^{d-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the estimates (6.14) and (6.16) are proved.

Now, let us show, that (6.15) is true.

Fix w' such that $\frac{M}{2} < |w'| < M$. Assume that $M - k \leq |w'| < M - k + 1$, where $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, [\frac{M}{2}]\}$. Then,

$$\{w : M + a \leq |w| \leq 2M\} \subset \{w : |w - w'| \geq a + k - 1\}.$$

Therefore, on basis of (6.17) for any such w' :

$$(6.18) \quad \sum_{\substack{w \in \Lambda^*, \\ M+a \leq |w| < 2M}} |w - w'|^{-d-1} \ll \sum_{\substack{w \in \Lambda^*, \\ |w - w'| \geq a+k-1}} |w - w'|^{-d-1} = \sum_{\substack{u \in \Lambda^*, \\ |u| \geq a+k-1}} |u|^{-d-1} \ll (a+k-1)^{-1}.$$

Hence, (6.18) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{w' \in \Lambda^*, \\ \frac{M}{2} < |w'| < M}} \sum_{\substack{w \in \Lambda^*, \\ M+a \leq |w| < 2M}} |w - w'|^{-d-1} &\ll \sum_{k=1}^{[\frac{M}{2}]} \sum_{\substack{w' \in \Lambda^*, \\ M-k \leq |w'| < M-k+1}} \sum_{\substack{w \in \Lambda^*, \\ M+a \leq |w| < 2M}} |w - w'|^{-d-1} \\ &\ll \sum_{k=1}^{[\frac{M}{2}]} (M-k)^{d-1} (a+k-1)^{-1} \ll M^{d-1} \ln \left(\frac{a + \frac{M}{2}}{a} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6.2 is proved. \square

From (3.6) and (6.16) it follows that

$$(6.19) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{w' \in \Lambda^* \cap \mathcal{D}_N} \sum_{\substack{w \in \Lambda^*, \\ |w| \geq 2c_0 N^{\frac{1}{d}}}} |w - w'|^{-d} \left(J_{\frac{d}{2}}(2\pi|w - w'|R) \right)^2 \\ & \ll R^{-1} \sum_{w' \in \Lambda^* \cap \mathcal{D}_N} \sum_{\substack{w \in \Lambda^*, \\ |w| \geq 2c_0 N^{\frac{1}{d}}}} |w - w'|^{-d-1} \ll R^{-1} N^{1-\frac{1}{d}}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (6.13) and (6.19), we get

$$(6.20) \quad V(X_N, R) = R^d \sum_{w' \in \Lambda^* \cap \mathcal{D}_N} \sum_{\substack{w \in \Lambda^*, w \notin \mathcal{D}_N, \\ |w| < 2c_0 N^{\frac{1}{d}}}} |w - w'|^{-d} \left(J_{\frac{d}{2}}(2\pi|w - w'|R) \right)^2 + \mathcal{O}\left(R^{d-1} N^{1-\frac{1}{d}}\right).$$

We will use the representation of number variance $V(X_N, R)$ from the formula (6.20) to prove the following Theorem.

Theorem 6.3. *The determinantal point process is hyperuniform for large and small balls. In the threshold regime the weaker property*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} V(X_N, tN^{-\frac{1}{d}}) = \mathcal{O}(t^{d-1} \ln t)$$

holds.

Proof of Theorem 6.3. (i) Large ball regime:

By the estimate (2.2) one can easily verify that for $R \in (0, \frac{1}{2} \text{diam} \Omega_\Lambda)$

$$(6.21) \quad \begin{aligned} & R^d \sum_{\substack{w' \in \Lambda^*, \\ c_0 N^{\frac{1}{d}} - 1 \leq |w'| < c_0 N^{\frac{1}{d}} + c_1 + 1}} \sum_{\substack{w \in \Lambda^*, w \notin \mathcal{D}_N, \\ |w| < 2c_0 N^{\frac{1}{d}}}} |w - w'|^{-d} \left(J_{\frac{d}{2}}(2\pi|w - w'|R) \right)^2 \\ & \ll R^{d-1} \sum_{\substack{w' \in \Lambda^*, \\ c_0 N^{\frac{1}{d}} - 1 \leq |w'| < c_0 N^{\frac{1}{d}} + c_1 + 1}} \sum_{\substack{w \in \Lambda^*, w \notin \mathcal{D}_N, \\ |w| < 2c_0 N^{\frac{1}{d}}}} |w - w'|^{-d-1} \ll R^{d-1} N^{1-\frac{1}{d}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (6.20), and (6.21) and Lemma 6.2 imply

$$(6.22) \quad \begin{aligned} V(X_N, R) & \ll R^{d-1} \sum_{\substack{w' \in \Lambda^*, \\ |w'| < c_0 N^{\frac{1}{d}} - 1}} \sum_{\substack{w \in \Lambda^*, \\ c_0 N^{\frac{1}{d}} + c_1 + 1 \leq |w| < 2c_0 N^{\frac{1}{d}}}} |w - w'|^{-d-1} + R^{d-1} N^{1-\frac{1}{d}} \\ & \ll R^{d-1} N^{1-\frac{1}{d}} \ln N. \end{aligned}$$

So, from (6.22) we have that

$$V(X_N, R) = \mathcal{O}(N^{1-\frac{1}{d}} \ln N) = o(N), \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty,$$

which implies, that the determinantal point process is hyperuniform for large balls.

(ii) **Small ball regime:** Let $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} R_N = 0$. Then by (6.20)

$$(6.23) \quad V(X_N, R) = R_N^d \sum_{w' \in \Lambda^* \cap \mathcal{D}_N} \sum_{\substack{w \in \Lambda^*, w \notin \mathcal{D}_N, \\ 0 < |w - w'| < \frac{1}{R_N}}} |w - w'|^{-d} \left(J_{\frac{d}{2}}(2\pi|w - w'|R_N) \right)^2 \\ + R_N^d \sum_{w' \in \Lambda^* \cap \mathcal{D}_N} \sum_{\substack{w \in \Lambda^*, w \notin \mathcal{D}_N, \\ \frac{1}{R_N} \leq |w - w'| < 3c_0 N^{\frac{1}{d}}}} |w - w'|^{-d} \left(J_{\frac{d}{2}}(2\pi|w - w'|R_N) \right)^2 + \mathcal{O}\left(R_N^{d-1} N^{1-\frac{1}{d}}\right).$$

Using (2.1), we get

$$(6.24) \quad R_N^d \sum_{w' \in \Lambda^* \cap \mathcal{D}_N} \sum_{\substack{w \in \Lambda^*, w \notin \mathcal{D}_N, \\ 0 < |w - w'| < \frac{1}{R_N}}} |w - w'|^{-d} \left(J_{\frac{d}{2}}(2\pi|w - w'|R_N) \right)^2 \\ \ll R_N^{2d} \sum_{w' \in \Lambda^* \cap \mathcal{D}_N} \sum_{\substack{w \in \Lambda^*, w \notin \mathcal{D}_N, \\ 0 < |w - w'| < \frac{1}{R_N}}} 1 \ll R_N^{2d} \sum_{m=[c_0 N^{\frac{1}{d}} - \frac{1}{R_N}]}^{[c_0 N^{\frac{1}{d}}] + 1} \sum_{m \leq |w'| < m+1} \sum_{k=1}^{[\frac{1}{R_N}] + 1} \sum_{k \leq |w - w'| < k+1} 1 \\ \ll R_N^{2d} \sum_{m=[c_0 N^{\frac{1}{d}} - \frac{1}{R_N}]}^{[c_0 N^{\frac{1}{d}}] + 1} m^{d-1} \sum_{k=1}^{[\frac{1}{R_N}] + 1} k^{d-1} \ll R_N^{d-1} N^{1-\frac{1}{d}}.$$

Applying (2.2), Lemma 6.2 and (6.17), we have

$$R_N^d \sum_{w' \in \Lambda^* \cap \mathcal{D}_N} \sum_{\substack{w \in \Lambda^*, w \notin \mathcal{D}_N, \\ \frac{1}{R_N} \leq |w - w'| < 3c_0 N^{\frac{1}{d}}}} |w - w'|^{-d} \left(J_{\frac{d}{2}}(2\pi|w - w'|R_N) \right)^2 \\ \ll R_N^{d-1} \sum_{w' \in \Lambda^* \cap \mathcal{D}_N} \sum_{\substack{w \in \Lambda^*, w \notin \mathcal{D}_N, \\ \frac{1}{R_N} \leq |w - w'| < 3c_0 N^{\frac{1}{d}}}} |w - w'|^{-d-1} \\ \ll R_N^{d-1} \sum_{\substack{w' \in \Lambda^*, \\ \frac{1}{2} c_0 N^{\frac{1}{d}} |w'| \leq c_0 N^{\frac{1}{d}} + 1}} \sum_{\substack{w \in \Lambda^*, w \notin \mathcal{D}_N, \\ \frac{1}{R_N} \leq |w - w'| < 3c_0 N^{\frac{1}{d}}}} |w - w'|^{-d-1} + R_N^{d-1} N^{1-\frac{1}{d}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6.25) &\ll R_N^{d-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{1}{2} c_o N^{\frac{1}{d}} \rfloor} \sum_{\substack{[c_o N^{\frac{1}{d}}] - k \leq |w'| < [c_o N^{\frac{1}{d}}] - k + 1 \\ w \in \Lambda^*, w \notin \mathcal{D}_N, \\ |w - w'| \geq \frac{1}{R_N} + k}} |w - w'|^{-d-1} + R_N^{d-1} N^{1-\frac{1}{d}} \\
 &\ll R_N^{d-1} N^{1-\frac{1}{d}} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{1}{2} c_o N^{\frac{1}{d}} \rfloor} \left(k + \frac{1}{R_N}\right)^{-1} \ll R_N^{d-1} N^{1-\frac{1}{d}} \ln(N^{\frac{1}{d}} R_N).
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (6.23), (6.24) and (6.25), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 V(X_N, R_N) &= \mathcal{O}\left(R_N^{d-1} N^{1-\frac{1}{d}} \ln(N^{\frac{1}{d}} R_N)\right) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\ln(N^{\frac{1}{d}} R_N)}{N^{\frac{1}{d}} R_N} N \text{Vol}(B(\cdot, R_N))\right) \\
 &= o\left(N \text{Vol}(B(\cdot, R_N))\right),
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $N^{\frac{1}{d}} R_N \rightarrow \infty$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. This proves hyperuniformity for small balls.

(iii) Threshold regime Let $R_N = tN^{-\frac{1}{d}}$, $R \in (0, \frac{1}{2} \text{diam} \Omega_\Lambda)$ and $t > 0$. From (6.23)–(6.25), we have

$$V(X_N, R) \ll (tN^{-\frac{1}{d}})^{d-1} N^{1-\frac{1}{d}} \ln t = t^{d-1} \ln t \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since $t > 0$ was arbitrary, then

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} V(X_N, tN^{-\frac{1}{d}}) = \mathcal{O}(t^{d-1} \ln t) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Theorem 6.3 is proved. □

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to express my huge gratitude to Peter Grabner, who posed this problem, gave many valuable advices and ideas and also for his support and fruitful discussions. Also I would like to thank to Dmitriy Bilyk for his valuable comments and help in proof of Lemma 6.2.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abramowitz, I.A. Stegun, Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables. Applied Mathematics Series. Washington D.C.; New York: United States Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards; Dover Publications, (1964).
- [2] L. Brandolini, Ch. Choirat, L. Colzani, G. Gigante, R. Seri, and Travaglini G., Quadrature rules and distribution of points on manifolds, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) 13 (2014), no. 4, 889–923.

- [3] J. S. Brauchart, P. J. Grabner, and W. Kusner, Hyperuniform point sets on the sphere: Deterministic aspects, *Constructive Approximation* (2018), 1–17.
- [4] J. S. Brauchart, P. J. Grabner, W. B. Kusner, and J. Ziefle, Hyperuniform point sets on the sphere: probabilistic aspects, arXiv:1809.02645.
- [5] J.S. Brauchart, K. Hesse, Numerical integration over spheres of arbitrary dimension, *Constr. Approx.* 25(1) (2007), 41-71.
- [6] J. S. Brauchart, E. B. Saff, I. H. Sloan, and R. S. Womersley, QMC designs: optimal order quasi Monte Carlo integration schemes on the sphere, *Math. Comp.* 83 (2014), no. 290, 2821–2851.
- [7] B. Gariboldi, G. Gigante, Optimal asymptotic bounds for designs on manifolds, arXiv:1811.12676.
- [8] G. Gigante and P. Leopardi, Diameter Bounded Equal Measure Partitions of Ahlfors Regular Metric Measure Spaces, *Discrete Comput Geometry* 57 (2017), no. 2, 419–430.
- [9] D. Hardin, E. Saff, B. Simanek and Y. Su, Next Order Energy Asymptotics for Riesz Potentials on Flat Tori, *IMRN* (2018).
- [10] D.P. Hardin, E.B. Saff and B. Simanek, Periodic Discrete Energy for Long-Range Potentials, *J. Math Physics* 55 (2014), 123509.
- [11] K. Hesse, A lower bound for the worst-case cubature error on spheres of arbitrary dimension, *Numer. Math.* 103(3) (2006), 413-433.
- [12] K. Hesse, I.H. Sloan, Optimal lower bounds for cubature error on the sphere S^2 , *J. Complexity* 21(6) (2005), 790-803.
- [13] K. Hesse, I.H. Sloan, Cubature over the sphere S^2 in Sobolev spaces of arbitrary order. *J. Approx. Theory* 141(2) (2006), 118-133.
- [14] Y. Jiao, T. Lau, H. Hatzikirou, M. Meyer-Hermann, J.C. Corbo, S. Torquato, Avian photoreceptor patterns represent a disordered hyperuniform solution to a multiscale packing problem. *Phys. Rev. E* 89, 022721 (2014).
- [15] M. Krishnapur Y. Peres J. Ben Hough and B. Virag, Zeros of Gaussian analytic functions and determinantal point processes, Providence, RI, vol. 51, University Lecture Series, American Mathematical Society, 2009.
- [16] L. Kuipers and H. Niederreiter, Uniform distribution of sequences, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1974.
- [17] W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, and R. P. Soni, Formulas and theorems for the special functions of mathematical physics, Third enlarged edition. *Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 52*, Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., New York, 1966.
- [18] J. Marzo and J. Ortega-Cerda, Expected Riesz energy of some determinantal processes on flat tori, *Constr. Approx.* (2018), 47:75–78.
- [19] E.C. Oğuz, J.E.S. Socolar, P.J. Steinhardt, S. Torquato, Hyperuniformity of quasicrystals. *Phys. Rev.B* 95 (2017), 054119.
- [20] S. Torquato and F. H. Stillinger, Local density fluctuations, hyperuniformity, and order metrics, *Phys. Rev. E* 68 (2003), no. 4, 041–113.
- [21] S. Torquato, Hyperuniform states of matter. *Phys. Rep.* 745 (2018), 1–95.

PRESENT ADDRESS: JOHANN RADON INSTITUTE FOR COMPUTATIONAL AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS (RICAM) AUSTRIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, ALTENBERGERSTRASSE 69 4040, LINZ, AUSTRIA; INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF NAS OF UKRAINE, 3, TERESHCHENKIVSKA ST., 01601, KYIV-4, UKRAINE

E-mail address: `tania_stepaniuk@ukr.net`