

Algebraic Constraints on Initial Values of Differential Equations

F. Leon Pritchard[†] and William Y. Sit[‡]

[†] Dept. of Math. and Comp. Sci., York College and Graduate Center, CUNY, U.S.A.

[‡] Dept. of Math., The City College of CUNY, U.S.A. (speaker)

June 25–28, 2009

Applications of Computer Algebra 2009

A Quotation from Gear, 2006

Interestingly, electrical networks were originally modelled with ODEs and a lot of sophisticated techniques were developed to reduce a network to ODEs. . . . Initially these were index one problems, so did not present the DAE difficulties of higher index problems, but newer modelling approaches have lead [sic] to index two problems (e.g., [19]) and the problems have become so large and non-linear that some aspects of them, such as *finding consistent initial conditions, are extremely challenging*.

Mechanical systems with constraints usually lead to **index three problems** that cannot be solved directly. *The constraints in a DAE restrict the solution to a manifold and usually we cannot easily find the ODE on that manifold.*

Main Steps of Our Approach

We combined and modified approaches of **Thomas, Rabier and Rheinboldt** but **with no restrictions** on input form.

- ◆ transformations to quasi-linear systems
- ◆ the concepts of *essential degree* and *algebraic index* and algorithms to compute these
- ◆ algorithms for *prolongation and completion*
- ◆ **generalized concepts** of quasi-linearity
- ◆ **sufficient conditions for existence and uniqueness theorem**
- ◆ **algorithm** to compute constraints on initial conditions
- ◆ **algorithm** to compute explicit vector field
- ◆ examples and **implementation** in *Axiom*

Transformations to Quasi-linear Systems

By adding new variables and the chain rule, we can convert:

- ◆ an explicit system with rational right hand sides to a explicit **polynomial** system.
- ◆ a non-autonomous system to an **autonomous** system
- ◆ a high order system to a **first order** system
- ◆ an analytic system (with some limitation) to a **differential algebraic system**
- ◆ a non-linear system to a **quasi-linear system** (**quasi-linearization**)

(Non-linear) First Order DAE System

- ◆ Algebraic Indeterminates:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{X} &= (X_1, \dots, X_n), \\ \mathbf{P} &= (P_1, \dots, P_n).\end{aligned}$$

Polynomials $f_i(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{P}) \in \mathbf{C}[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{P}]$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$.

- ◆ Dependent Variables: $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$

First Order Derivatives: $\dot{z} = \dot{z}_1, \dots, \dot{z}_n$ (with respect to t)

Any system of first order ordinary DAE:

$$f_i(z_1, \dots, z_n, \dot{z}_1, \dots, \dot{z}_n) = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m$$

Initial conditions: $z(0) = \mathbf{x}_0$ where $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbf{C}^n$.

Essential \mathbf{P} -degree Basis and \mathbf{P} -Strong Basis

- ◆ The *essential \mathbf{P} -degree* d of a non-zero ideal J of $\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{P}]$ is the least \mathbf{P} -degree of a finite set F generating J . Such an F is an *essential \mathbf{P} -degree basis*.
- ◆ A subset F of J is *\mathbf{P} -strong* if it generates all polynomials f in J of \mathbf{P} -degree $\leq d$ without involving cancellations of terms of \mathbf{P} -degree higher than the \mathbf{P} -degree of f .
- ◆ Specifically, $f = \sum_{j=1}^N h_j f_j$ with $h_j \in \mathbf{C}[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{P}]$, $h_j \neq 0$, $f_j \in F$ and $\mathbf{P}\text{-deg } h_j f_j \leq \mathbf{P}\text{-deg } f$.

Algorithm for Essential \mathbf{P} -Degree \mathbf{P} -Strong Basis

- ◆ Using a \mathbf{P} -degree compatible elimination term ordering where $\mathbf{X} < \mathbf{P}$, compute a Gröbner basis G of the ideal $J = (F)$.
- ◆ The essential \mathbf{P} -degree d is the least k such that the elements \mathbf{P} -degree $\leq k$ in G generates J .
- ◆ The set E_d of those elements of G is a \mathbf{P} -strong essential \mathbf{P} -degree basis of J .

Prolongation of an Ideal

- ◆ *prolongation*: For arbitrary $h \in \mathbf{C}[\mathbf{X}]$, let $\nabla h = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial h}{\partial X_j} P_j$ in $\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{P}]$.
- ◆ The *prolongation ideal* J^* of an ideal J is the ideal generated by J , R , and ∇R , where $R = \sqrt{J \cap \mathbf{C}[\mathbf{X}]}$
- ◆ **Algorithm for Prolongation:**
The prolongation ideal can be computed from any generating set of J . We need only to prolong generators of R , which can be computed.
- ◆ Prolongation only introduces polynomials of \mathbf{P} -degree ≤ 1 .

Completion Ideal and Algebraic Index

◆ An ideal J is *complete* if $J = J^*$.

The intersection of complete ideals of $\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{P}]$ is complete.

The *completion ideal* of J is the smallest complete ideal \tilde{J} containing J .

◆ **Algorithm for Completion:** Just keep prolonging till it stops at \tilde{J} .

The *algebraic index* p is the smallest number of prolongation to obtain a complete ideal.

◆ Use of an essential \mathbf{P} -degree basis for J keeps \mathbf{P} -degree low.

Geometric Property

- ◆ *first jet domain* $V =$ algebraic set of zeros of J
- ◆ *initial domain* $W =$ algebraic set of zeros of $J \cap \mathbf{C}[\mathbf{X}]$
 $=$ algebraic set of zeros of R
- ◆ *projection* $\pi : V \longrightarrow W$
- ◆ *an open subset* $W^0 = \{\mathbf{x} \mid \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) \text{ is finite}\}$

- ◆ *tangent variety* $T(W) =$ algebraic set of zeros in \mathbf{C}^{2n} of
 $(R \cup \nabla R)$

- ◆ *J complete implies* $V \subseteq T(W)$

Quasi-Linearities and Associated Quasi-linear Ideal

- ◆ An ideal J of $\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{P}]$
 - (a) is (essentially) *quasi-linear* if $\text{edeg}_{\mathbf{P}}(J) \leq 1$.
 - (b) is *eventually quasi-linear* if its completion \tilde{J} is quasi-linear.

- ◆ Every J has an *associated quasi-linear ideal* J^{ℓ} , which is generated by the set of all polynomials of \mathbf{P} -degree at most 1 in J .
If E a \mathbf{P} -strong subset of J , then J^{ℓ} is generated by E_1 , the subset of E of \mathbf{P} -degree ≤ 1 .

Properties

- ◆ **Properties:** (i) J quasi-linear implies \tilde{J} quasi-linear;
(ii) $V \subseteq V^\ell$ and $W = W^\ell$ (hence $T(W) = T(W^\ell)$)
(iii) J is complete if and only if J^ℓ is complete
(iv) $\text{ind } J^\ell \leq \text{ind } J$.
- ◆ All are concepts: essential **P**-degree, prolongation, completion, quasi-linearities are ideal-theoretic, yet all algorithms are simple in an intuitive way, providing flexibility in implementation.

Existence and Uniqueness Theorems Summary

- ◆ Given arbitrary system of first order DAEs (or an ideal J), we can compute a Zariski-closed subset M of \mathbf{C}^n and find an **open subset M^0 as a finite irredundant union of non-empty Zariski open sets U_k .**
- ◆ The solution to the initial value problem for any $\mathbf{x}_0 \in U_k$ can be uniquely defined by a **vector field: $\dot{\psi}_{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{r}_k(\psi_{\mathbf{x}}(t))$,** where \mathbf{r}_k is a vector of rational functions in $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{X})^n$ defined on U_k .
- ◆ Furthermore, for any $\mathbf{x} \notin M$, **the initial value problem (J, \mathbf{x}) does not admit a solution** on interval $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ in \mathbf{C}^n for any $\epsilon > 0$.
- ◆ Note: the theorem, due to its generality, **allows for degenerate situations** such as M^0 is empty.

Methods for E&U Theorems

- ◆ The theorem for E&U of analytic solutions is **first proved** for any given initial value problem defined by a **complete quasi-linear ideal**, using a classical E&U theorem and a result on parametric linear systems.
- ◆ The computations of algebraic constraints and equivalent vector fields are made effective by introducing the *linear rank at a point*, proving its relation to matrix rank, obtaining an algorithm to compute this rank, and characterizing the **set of points with maximum linear rank** as precisely W^0 for quasi-linear ideals.
- ◆ The theorem is then **generalized to a quasi-linear ideal**, and by passing to the associated quasi-linear ideal, **further to an arbitrary ideal**, again “**effectively**.”

Algorithm for the General Case

- ◆ Given J , an ideal in $\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{P}]$.
Compute its completion ideal \tilde{J} .
- ◆ Compute a \mathbf{P} -strong essential \mathbf{P} -degree basis f_1, \dots, f_m of the associated quasi-linear ideal \tilde{J}^ℓ of \tilde{J} .
- ◆ Compute an irredundant set of Zariski open sets U_1, \dots, U_ν whose union is $M^0 = \widetilde{W}^0 = (\widetilde{W}^\ell)^0$.
- ◆ For $1 \leq k \leq \nu$, compute the **vectors of rational functions** \mathbf{r}_k on U_k using Cramer's Rule (or other algorithms for parametric linear system).
- ◆ For any initial condition \mathbf{x}_0 , use any U_k containing \mathbf{x}_0 to (numerically) **integrate the vector field** defined by \mathbf{r}_k .
- ◆ The symbolic part of the algorithm is implemented in Axiom.

Non Quasi-Linear Example

- ◆ $x(t), y(t)$ functions of t
- ◆ $p(t), q(t)$ their derivatives with respect to t

$$\begin{array}{rcl} & pq & = \quad xy \\ -yp & + 3xq & = 3x^2 + 6 \\ & 4q^2 & = \quad 9x^2 \\ & p^2 & = \quad x^2 - 4 \end{array}$$

- ◆ The ideal J corresponding to this system is complete and has essential \mathbf{P} -degree 2.

Illustration of the Algorithm

- ◆ An **essential P-degree basis** gives another presentation:

$$\begin{aligned} & q^2 = y^2 + 9, \\ 27p + & 6xyq = 4y^3 + 54y, \\ & (4y^2 + 54)q = 6xy^2 + 81x, \\ & 0 = 9x^2 - 4y^2 - 36. \end{aligned}$$

- ◆ *Associated quasi-linear ideal*: Retaining only the quasi-linear equations: $\text{rank}(J, \mathbf{x}) = 2$ whenever $27(4y^2 + 54) \neq 0$.

- ◆ The **explicit system** is

$$\mathbf{v} : p = \frac{2y}{3}, \quad q = \frac{3x}{2}.$$

- ◆ The **integral curve** for \mathbf{v} satisfying $x(0) = x_0, y(0) = y_0$ is

$$x = x_0 \cosh(t) + \frac{2}{3}y_0 \sinh(t), \quad y = y_0 \cosh(t) + \frac{3}{2}x_0 \sinh(t).$$

Comments on Example

- ◆ This solution exists and lies on the (complex) **hyperbola** $9x^2 - 4y^2 - 36 = 0$ whenever (x_0, y_0) does.
- ◆ The solution satisfies $q^2(t) = y^2(t) + 9$ for all t .
- ◆ When $2y_0^2 + 27 = 0$, we have $x_0^2 + 2 = 0$.
- ◆ The 4 points $(\pm\sqrt{-2}, \pm 3\sqrt{-3/2})$ are **equilibrium solutions** of J^ℓ .
- ◆ They are *not* solutions of J , nor are equilibrium points of \mathbf{v} .
- ◆ At each of these 4 initial conditions, (J^ℓ, \mathbf{x}) **does not have unique solutions**, but (J, \mathbf{x}) **does**.
- ◆ The sets of solutions for J^ℓ and J are not the same.

A Quasi-Linear Example

- ◆ $z_1(t), z_2(t), z_3(t)$ are functions of t
 $\dot{z}_1(t), \dot{z}_2(t), \dot{z}_3(t)$ are their derivatives with respect to t

$$\begin{array}{rclcl} & -z_2\dot{z}_2 & +z_1\dot{z}_3 & = & z_1^4 \\ -z_2\dot{z}_1 & & +2\dot{z}_3 & = & 5z_3 \\ z_3\dot{z}_1 & +z_1^2\dot{z}_2 & & = & 3z_2^2 \\ & -z_1\dot{z}_2 & +\dot{z}_3 & = & z_3 \end{array}$$

- ◆ **Algebraic constraints** found by symbolic computation:

$$z_1^2 = z_2, z_1^3 = z_3.$$

- ◆ **Explicit representation** found by symbolic computation:

$$\dot{z}_1 = \frac{z_3}{z_2}, \quad \dot{z}_2 = 2z_2, \quad \dot{z}_3 = 3z_3, \quad z_2 \neq 0.$$

Some Statistics on the Example

- ◆ J contains an algebraic constraint of total degree 7 in \mathbf{X} .
An essential \mathbf{P} -degree basis of the completion ideal consists of 7 binomials of \mathbf{P} -degree 1 and 4 binomial algebraic constraints.
- ◆ The ideal has index 3.

$X_1 > X_2 > X_3$	first prolongation	second prolongation
max deg in algebraic constraints	36	10
max coefficient in constraints	95 digits	30 digits
max \mathbf{P} -degree in system	4	4

Maple (dsolve) ran out of memory on a PC with 2GB DRAM.
Mathematica (DSolve) does not accept overdetermined systems. The same PC runs the Axiom algorithm.

Unconstrained and Underdetermined Ideals

- ◆ An ideal J in $\mathbf{C}[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{P}]$ is *unconstrained* if $J \cap \mathbf{C}[\mathbf{X}] = (0)$.
Unconstrained implies complete.
- ◆ J is *underdetermined* if $(\widetilde{W}^\ell)^0 = \emptyset$.
Intuitively, underdetermined means there is no initial conditions \mathbf{x}_0 that will guarantee a unique solution. Either some dependent variable will be arbitrary, or there are multiple integral curves through \mathbf{x}_0 .
- ◆ **These properties are algorithmically decidable.**

Underdetermined Quasi-Linear Example

- ◆ System (complete system, index 0):

$$\begin{aligned}(-x + y)\dot{x} + x\dot{y} + (x^2 - 1)\dot{z} &= 0 \\ y\dot{x} + (x^2 + 1)\dot{y} + x^3\dot{z} &= 0\end{aligned}$$

- ◆ Solutions: Every constant point is an **equilibrium solution**.
Explicit System: **No algebraic constraints**. k arbitrary.

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= k \\ \dot{y} &= k(x^4 - (x^3 + x^2 - 1)y) \\ \dot{z} &= k(-x^3 - x + (x^2 - x + 1)y)\end{aligned}$$

We can obtain unique solution by adding any quasi-linear equation $g(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{P}) = 0$, for example $\dot{x} = 1$.

Conclusions

- ◆ Approach is ideal theoretic, providing maximum flexibility in implementation
- ◆ Applies to all eventually quasi-linear systems without any transformation
- ◆ Applies to overdetermined as well as underdetermined systems
- ◆ Applies to non-linear systems either by a transformation or by dropping some non-linear equations
- ◆ Any system may be completed with no *a priori* conditions.
- ◆ Existence and Uniqueness theorem holds for computed initial conditions
- ◆ Provides equivalent explicit form ready for numerical methods and dynamical analysis