

A Short Introduction to Cyclic Convolutional Codes

Barbara Langfeld (joint work with Heide Gluesing-Luerssen and Wiland Schmale)

langfeld@ma.tum.de gluesing@math.rug.nl wiland.schmale@uni-oldenburg.de

1 Preliminaries: Block Codes, Submodules, and Convolutional Codes (CCs)

Throughout this text, \mathbb{F} denotes a finite field.

Encoding a message word $u \in \mathbb{F}^k$ can be done by applying an injective linear mapping $u \mapsto uG$ (see the box on the right). The set $\text{im}_{\mathbb{F}[z]} G$ is called an (n, k) -**block code**. Encoding a sequence of message words $\sum_{i=0}^t z^i u_i$ can be done similarly by applying an arbitrary injective $\mathbb{F}[z]$ -module homomorphism $\sum_{i=0}^t z^i u_i \mapsto (\sum_{i=0}^t z^i u_i)G$ (see the box on the right). If G is a matrix with \mathbb{F} -entries, then the z^j -term of $(\sum_{i=0}^t z^i u_i)G$ only depends on u_j . In this sense, the encoder $(\cdot)G$ has "no memory" and we could apply G to the single message words u_i as well. But if G has polynomial entries, then in general the z^j -term of $(\sum_{i=0}^t z^i u_i)G$ will not only depend on u_j (but of u_j, u_{j-1}, \dots). So $(\cdot)G$ has some kind of "memory". In this sense the (n, k) -submodule $\text{im}_{\mathbb{F}[z]} G$ has some advantage by comparison with block codes. If G is right invertible, then $\text{im}_{\mathbb{F}[z]} G$ is called a (n, k) -**convolutional code (CC)**. Right invertibility implies desirable properties such as the existence of a parity check matrix. (For more information see e.g. [McE98].)

	message words	\rightarrow	code words
(n, k) -block code	\mathbb{F}^k	\rightarrow	\mathbb{F}^n
$\text{im}_{\mathbb{F}} G$	u	\mapsto	uG
where $k \leq n, G \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times k}, \text{rank } G = k$			
(n, k) -submodule	$\mathbb{F}[z]^k$	\rightarrow	$\mathbb{F}[z]^n$
$\text{im}_{\mathbb{F}[z]} G$	$\sum_{i=0}^t z^i u_i$	\mapsto	$\sum_{i=0}^t z^i u_i G$
where $k \leq n, G \in \mathbb{F}[z]^{n \times k}, \text{rank } G = k$			
(n, k) -CC	An (n, k) -CC is an (n, k) -submodule $\text{im}_{\mathbb{F}[z]} G$ with G right invertible.		

Generator matrices G of an (n, k) -submodule $\text{im}_{\mathbb{F}[z]} G$ are not unique. We can try to find 'nice' ones, where 'nice' can be measured in terms of the **degree** of G :

$$\deg G := \sum \text{ of the } z\text{-degrees of the rows of } G \text{ (viewed as polynomials in } \mathbb{F}[z])$$

For example

$$\text{im}_{\mathbb{F}[z]} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \text{im}_{\mathbb{F}[z]} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & z^2 & z^2 \\ z & z^3+1 & z^3+1 \end{bmatrix};$$

the left matrix has degree 0, the right one has degree 5. We see that this submodule has a generator matrix with constant entries. It is not better than a block code!

We put this down more formally: Let c be an (n, k) -submodule (or -CC). Then

$$\delta := \min\{\deg G \mid \text{im}_{\mathbb{F}[z]} G = c\}$$

is called **complexity** of c . The set c is also called (n, k, δ) -**submodule (or -CC)**.

Note: Submodules of complexity 0 are in a sense block codes (because they have a constant generator matrix). A nonzero complexity is desirable!

2 How to Define Cyclic Convolutional Codes?

Standard assumption: $\gcd(n, \text{char } \mathbb{F}) = 1$

Cyclic Block Codes

The following diagram briefly recalls the well known definition of cyclic block codes and the characterization of cyclicity in the 'vector world' and in the 'polynomial world'.

$$\mathbb{F}^n \xrightleftharpoons[\text{'polynomialize'}]{\text{'vectorize'}} \mathbb{F}[x]/(x^n - 1) =: A$$

$$v = (v_0, \dots, v_{n-1}) \leftrightarrow p(v) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} v_i x^i$$

cyclic shift: $(v_{n-1}, v_0, \dots, v_{n-2}) \leftrightarrow$ multiplication with x : $p(v) \mapsto x \cdot p(v)$

c cyclic, i.e., invariant under cyclic shift $\iff p(c)$ ideal in A

If $g \in p(c) = (g)$ is chosen suitably (**generator polynomial**), then g contains all information about c (dimension, generator matrix, ...).

Cyclic Submodules — First Idea

Use exactly the same definition as in the block code case, i.e. "invariance under the cyclic shift". Here, "cyclic shift" means shifting all coefficient vectors *simultaneously once*.

c cyclic \iff reasonable? $\iff c$ invariant under cyclic shift, i.e.

Here, the "polynomialize"-function p from the previous box is extended canonically: $p(\sum_{i=0}^t z^i u_i) = \sum_{i=0}^t z^i p(u_i)$

$g \in p(c) \iff x \cdot g \in p(c)$, where we have (of course) $x(\sum_{i=0}^t z^i u_i) = \sum_{i=0}^t z^i x u_i$

This definition is *not* fruitful, because it provides no new structure:

Theorem [Pir76], [Roo79]
A convolutional code that is invariant under the cyclic shift has complexity 0. In particular, it is a cyclic block code (in the sense discussed in 1).

Can we find a generalized concept of cyclicity that admits nontrivial cyclic submodules?

Cyclic Submodules — Idea of Piret

Use again "invariance under the cyclic shift", but generalize "shift": Do not shift all coefficient vectors simultaneously once, but different coefficient vectors differently often (according to rules, that yield a nice structure). See [Pir76] for details.

Let c be an (n, k) -submodule. Choose $m \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\gcd(n, m) = 1$. Define m -**cyclicity** via the condition

$$g = \sum_{i \geq 0} z^i v_i \in p(c) \implies x *_{m} g := \sum_{i \geq 0} z^i v_i(m^i) \in p(c)$$

The operation " $*_{m}$ " can be canonically extended to a multiplication on $A[z]$. $(A[z], +, *_{m})$ is an \mathbb{F} -Algebra, which is in general non-commutative. Pirets idea yields a first non-trivial and reasonable definition because:

c m -cyclic $\iff p(c)$ left ideal in $(A, +, *_{m})$.

Indeed, this notion of cyclicity can still be generalized.

Generalisation of Roos

The Definition

Generalize "shift" once more: Let a "shifted" coefficient vector be a linear combination of (multiply) shifted coefficient vectors (according to rules, that yield a nice structure). See [Roo79] and [GluS04] for details.

Let c be an (n, k) -submodule. Let $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}}(A)$, where $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}}(A)$ denotes the group of all \mathbb{F} -algebra automorphisms on A . Define σ -**cyclicity** via the condition

$$g = \sum_{i \geq 0} z^i v_i \in p(c) \implies x *_{\sigma} g := \sum_{i \geq 0} z^i \sigma^i(v_i) \in p(c)$$

The operation " $*_{\sigma}$ " can be canonically extended to a multiplication on $A[z]$. $A[z; \sigma] := (A[z], +, *_{\sigma})$ is an \mathbb{F} -Algebra, the **Piret-Algebra**, which is in general non-commutative; we put the coefficients always on the right hand side. Roos' concept of cyclicity contains that of Piret, it is more general, and it is also reasonable because:

c σ -cyclic $\iff p(c)$ left ideal in $A[z; \sigma]$.

We adopt the definition of Roos and give a **small Example**: Let $n = 3, \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_4 = \{0, 1, \alpha, \alpha^2\}, \sigma \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}}(A)$ defined via $\sigma(x) = \alpha x^2$. Consider $G := [1+z+z^2, \alpha+z+\alpha^2 z^2, \alpha^2+z+\alpha z^2] = [1, \alpha, \alpha^2] + z[1, 1, 1] + z^2[1, \alpha^2, \alpha]$. (We will use G both as matrix and as code word.)

The submodule $c := \text{im}_{\mathbb{F}[z]} G$ has dimension $k = 1$ and complexity $\delta = 2$. Moreover, G is right invertible. Thus c is a $(3, 1, 2)$ -CC. The submodule c is even a σ -cyclic CC. To prove this, believe that it is sufficient to show " $x *_{\sigma} p(G)$ " and " $\alpha^2 *_{\sigma} p(G) \in p(c)$ ". This can be done by hand:

$$g := p(G) = 1 + \alpha x + \alpha^2 x^2 + z(1 + x + x^2) + z^2(1 + \alpha^2 x + \alpha x^2)$$

Therefore c is σ -cyclic. One can show, that c is an MDS convolutional code (it has free distance 9; for the definitions of free distance and "MDS" cf. [McE98]).

3 Analyzing Cyclic CCs with the Aid of Gröbner-type Theory

Representing $A = \mathbb{F}[x]/(x^n - 1)$ as a Product of Fields

Let $x^n - 1 = \pi_1 \cdots \pi_r$ be the decomposition of $x^n - 1$ into (pairwise different) normalized prime factors. (The decomposition is unique up to permutation of the π_i .) Due to the Chinese Remainder Theorem we get the following isomorphism of rings:

$$p : A \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r \mathbb{F}[x]/(\pi_i) \times \cdots \times \mathbb{F}[x]/(\pi_r)$$

$$a \mapsto [a \bmod \pi_1, \dots, a \bmod \pi_r]$$

\iff The elements $\epsilon^{(i)} := p^{-1}([0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0, \dots])$ are called **primitive idempotent elements** of A . Note: $\sigma \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}}(A)$ permutes $\epsilon^{(1)}, \dots, \epsilon^{(r)}$.

\iff For $h \in A[z; \sigma]$ we call $h^{(i)} := \epsilon^{(i)} *_{\sigma} h$ the i -th component of h .

The box on the left displays how A can be represented as a product of fields, and how a polynomial h can be splitted into components. The order of the fields and thus the order of the components induces a 'term order' on the elements of $A[z; \sigma]$.

Since c is a σ -cyclic CC if and only if $p(c)$ is a left ideal in $A[z; \sigma]$, we can ask ourselves, how to find a 'nice' generator set of a left ideal in $A[z; \sigma]$. Some basic algebra shows that each such ideal has a finite generator set. The 'term order' together with a Buchberger-type algorithm can be used to generate a 'reduced' set of generators with nice properties. For σ -cyclic CCs these results can be strengthened. (For details see [GluS04].)

The following list gives several results that can be derived by evaluating this approach.

Results

cf. [GluS04], [GluL06], [GluS], [GluL]

- \iff If c is a σ -cyclic CC, then $p(c)$ left *principal* ideal. (The converse is only true under additional assumptions.)
- \iff If c is a σ -cyclic CC, then there exists a 'reduced' generator polynomial $g \in A[z; \sigma]$ for $p(c) = (g)$. As in the block code case, g contains all information about c (dimension, complexity, generator matrix, ...).
- \iff A minimal σ -cyclic CC is a σ -cyclic CC that has no non-trivial sub- σ -cyclic CCs. Each σ -cyclic CC c can be decomposed into a direct sum of $\mathbb{F}[z]$ -left modules $c = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s c_i$, where the c_i are minimal σ -cyclic CCs.
- \iff c is a minimal σ -cyclic CC if and only if its generator polynomial g satisfies $g = g^{(i)}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq r$.
- \iff For a minimal σ -cyclic CC c with $g = g^{(i)}$ and $k = \deg_x \pi_r$, the complexity of c is $\delta = k \cdot d$ for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, we have the following equivalence:
 - \iff For any $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ there exists a minimal (n, k, kd) - σ -cyclic CC with $g = g^{(i)}$.
- \iff Within the large class of cyclic convolutional codes, Reed-Solomon and BCH convolutional codes can be defined. They contain optimal or near optimal with respect to distance and performance (cf. [GluS]).
- For example, one can construct a class of cyclic one-dimensional MDS convolutional codes with a Reed-Solomon structure (cf. [GluL]).

... and many more ...

References

- [GluL06] Heide Gluesing-Luerssen, Barbara Langfeld: "On the Algebraic Parameters of Convolutional Codes with Cyclic Structure." *Journal of Algebra and Its Applications*, 5(1):53–76, 2006.
- [GluL] Heide Gluesing-Luerssen, Langfeld, Barbara: "A Class of One-Dimensional MDS Convolutional Codes." To appear in *Journal of Algebra and Its Applications*. [Preprint cs.IT/0412085]
- [GluS04] Heide Gluesing-Luerssen, Wiland Schmale: "On Cyclic Convolutional Codes." *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae* 82:183–237, 2004.
- [GluS] Heide Gluesing-Luerssen, Wiland Schmale: "On doubly-cyclic convolutional codes." To appear in *Applicable Algebra in Engineering, Communication and Computing*. [Preprint math.RA/0410317]
- [McE98] Robert J. McEliece: "The algebraic theory of convolutional codes." In: *Handbook of Coding Theory*, volume 1:1065–1138, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1998.
- [Pir76] Philippe Piret: "Structure and constructions of cyclic convolutional codes." *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 22:147–155, 1976.
- [Roo79] Cornelis Roos: "On the structure of convolutional and cyclic convolutional codes." *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 25:676–683, 1979.

Barbara Langfeld, May 2006